

[ISACV-176] [Relationship between asset and editor](#) Created: 17/12/11 Updated: 17/12/11 Due: 09/02/12

Status:	Open
Project:	ISA e-Government Core Vocabularies
Component/s:	None
Affects Version/s:	None
Fix Version/s:	None

Type:	Documentation	Priority:	Major
Reporter:	SHUKAIR Gofran	Assignee:	Unassigned
Resolution:	Unresolved	Votes:	0
Labels:	ADMS		
Remaining Estimate:	Not Specified		
Time Spent:	Not Specified		
Original Estimate:	Not Specified		
Environment:	ADMS Section 5 Conceptual model		

Participant:	DEKKERS Makx , SHUKAIR Gofran
CITnet Message:	

Description

When mapping between the repositories' data models and the latest ADMS conceptual model , I have noticed that all the repositories (At least the ones that i investigated ,i.e, Digitaliser , Xrepository , Semic.eu and the W3C technical reports) has the editor/responsible property of whatever was mapped to the Asset concept .

Maybe I missed the discussion , but why we do not have a relationship between asset and editor (or responsible) ? this property will provide a direct contact to the person who's responsible for the asset and who is qualified to answer questions about it ,for example, in the case of ADMS as an asset, Makx will be that person .

Comments

Comment by [DEKKERS Makx](#) [17/Dec/11 21:43]

See comments at

<https://webgate.ec.europa.eu/CITnet/confluence/display/ISACV/ADMS+Section+5+Conceptual+model?focusedCommentId=62161429#comment-62161429>

[ISACV-175] [Do not forget French Cecill licence](#) Created: 17/12/11 Updated: 17/12/11 Due: 09/02/12

Status:	Open
Project:	ISA e-Government Core Vocabularies
Component/s:	None
Affects Version/s:	None
Fix Version/s:	None

Type:	Documentation	Priority:	Major
Reporter:	SKLARß Sebastian	Assignee:	Unassigned
Resolution:	Unresolved	Votes:	0
Labels:	ADMS		
Remaining Estimate:	Not Specified		
Time Spent:	Not Specified		
Original Estimate:	Not Specified		
Environment:	ADMS Section 7 ADMS taxonomies		

Participant:	SKLARß Sebastian
CITnet Message:	

Description

French Core Components were issued under the French Cecill licence (CEA CNRS INRIA Logiciel Libre)

I see a tendency in forgetting this license (also on Joinup there is no standardised way of saying Cecill licence). This licence is compatible with GNU GPL but this does not say that it is the same.

Please do not forget this particular French Licence.

<https://webgate.ec.europa.eu/CITnet/confluence/display/ISACV/ADMS+Section+7+ADMS+taxonomies?focusedCommentId=62161367#comment-62161367>

[ISACV-174] [Licence Vocabulary and Licence Type](#) Created: 17/12/11 Updated: 17/12/11 Due: 09/02/12

Status:	Open
Project:	ISA e-Government Core Vocabularies
Component/s:	None
Affects Version/s:	None
Fix Version/s:	None

Type:	Documentation	Priority:	Major
Reporter:	SKLARß Sebastian	Assignee:	Unassigned
Resolution:	Unresolved	Votes:	0
Labels:	ADMS		
Remaining Estimate:	Not Specified		
Time Spent:	Not Specified		
Original Estimate:	Not Specified		
Environment:	ADMS Section 7 ADMS taxonomies		

Participant:	SKLARß Sebastian
CITnet Message:	

Description

The following should be possible to express: No particular licence information was given.

The Licence Type already features an "unknown IPR" so I feel that we will need a URI for Licence saying "no license information was provided by the federation partner"

Note that this is very different from saying "there is no licence".

<https://webgate.ec.europa.eu/CITnet/confluence/display/ISACV/ADMS+Section+7+ADMS+taxonomies?focusedCommentId=62161363#comment-62161363>

[ISACV-173] [XML unfriendly tags in description fields](#) Created: 17/12/11 Updated: 17/12/11

Status:	Open
Project:	ISA e-Government Core Vocabularies
Component/s:	None
Affects Version/s:	None
Fix Version/s:	None

Type:	Documentation	Priority:	Major
Reporter:	SKLARß Sebastian	Assignee:	Unassigned
Resolution:	Unresolved	Votes:	0
Labels:	ADMS		
Remaining Estimate:	Not Specified		
Time Spent:	Not Specified		
Original Estimate:	Not Specified		
Environment:	ADMS Section 5 Conceptual model, ADMS implementation		

Participant:	SKLARß Sebastian
CITnet Message:	

Description

Will we striptag HTML in descriptions or simply declare everything as CDATA which does not seem very usable and LOD-alike?

<https://webgate.ec.europa.eu/CITnet/confluence/display/ISACV/ADMS+Section+5+Conceptual+model?focusedCommentId=62161346#comment-62161346>

[ISACV-172] [Different line feeds in descriptions.](#) Created: 17/12/11 Updated: 17/12/11

Status:	Open
Project:	ISA e-Government Core Vocabularies
Component/s:	None
Affects Version/s:	None
Fix Version/s:	None

Type:	Documentation	Priority:	Major
Reporter:	SKLARß Sebastian	Assignee:	Unassigned
Resolution:	Unresolved	Votes:	0
Labels:	ADMS		
Remaining Estimate:	Not Specified		
Time Spent:	Not Specified		
Original Estimate:	Not Specified		
Environment:	ADMS Section 5 Conceptual model, ADMS implementation		

Participant:	SKLARß Sebastian
CITnet Message:	

Description

Here something that may become important in the implementation phase of ADMS and that we probably can not regulate at the conceptual model.

Descriptions might be long and have line feeds in different styles. Some repository will send "\n" others will use HTML line feeds (
) others will use strict XHTML notation (
).

I understand the ADMS federation policy as open and federative as possible:

Changes imposed to federation partners are kept to a minimum, everything that can be "corrected" on the central federation entry point side will be done.

So I imagine, that we will allow all possible line feeds to be in a description and that once there is a RDF Triple store on Joinup 2.0 this federation entry point will respect the several ways of making paragraphs / line feeds by converting the several line feeds that may exists into one rdf / XML compliant line feed.

<https://webgate.ec.europa.eu/CITnet/confluence/display/ISACV/ADMS+Section+5+Conceptual+model?focusedCommentId=62161346#comment-62161346>

[ISACV-171] [Explain "Example asset"](#) Created: 17/12/11 Updated: 17/12/11 Due: 09/02/12

Status:	Open
Project:	ISA e-Government Core Vocabularies
Component/s:	None
Affects Version/s:	None
Fix Version/s:	None

Type:	Documentation	Priority:	Major
Reporter:	SKLARß Sebastian	Assignee:	Unassigned
Resolution:	Unresolved	Votes:	0
Labels:	ADMS		
Remaining Estimate:	Not Specified		
Time Spent:	Not Specified		
Original Estimate:	Not Specified		
Environment:	ADMS Section 5 Conceptual model		

Participant:	DEKKERS Makx , SKLARß Sebastian
CITnet Message:	

Description

can you please precise the purpose of "Example Asset".

What is the requirement and business need for such a class?

The definition says:

Example Asset: sample of an Asset that a user can look at to determine whether or not it is relevant for their purposes

To my understanding:

a) Either this is the place where one can point to an Asset that was inserted by the Repository-owner himself to show best practices for providing metadata?

Then this example asset should be linked to Repository and not to Asset?

b) Or do you want to say this is instance/test data (XML) of an Asset (XSD) to show how to use a schema? Then this should be represented by the release concept (and a potential release type=test data that we do not have) and not by a class of its own?

<https://webgate.ec.europa.eu/CITnet/confluence/display/ISACV/ADMS+Section+5+Conceptual+model?focusedCommentId=62161338#comment-62161338>

Comments

Comment by [DEKKERS Makx](#) [17/Dec/11 21:31]

Sebastian, this relationship was added following discussion in the meeting on 24 October <https://webgate.ec.europa.eu/CITnet/confluence/display/ISACV/Virtual+Meeting+2011.10.24>.

From the meeting minutes (item 5):

- MZ suggests an "example resource". GS explains this is to already have a link to related datasets.

Further discussion welcome.

[ISACV-170] [Spelling error in title of section 4.1](#) Created: 17/12/11 Updated: 17/12/11 Due: 21/12/11

Status:	Open
Project:	ISA e-Government Core Vocabularies
Component/s:	None
Affects Version/s:	None
Fix Version/s:	None

Type:	Documentation	Priority:	Minor
Reporter:	SHUKAIR Gofran	Assignee:	GOEDERTIER Stijn
Resolution:	Unresolved	Votes:	0
Labels:	ADMS		
Remaining Estimate:	Not Specified		
Time Spent:	Not Specified		
Original Estimate:	Not Specified		

Participant:	GOEDERTIER Stijn , SHUKAIR Gofran
CITnet Message:	

Description

"One minor spelling error on the title of section 4.1: USE CASE 1: EXPLORE AND SEARCH FOR SEMANTIC ASSESTS it should be USE CASE 1: EXPLORE AND SEARCH FOR SEMANTIC ASSETS
"

[ISACV-169] [Reference to Process and methodology for Core Vocabularies](#)

Created: 17/12/11 Updated: 17/12/11 Due: 05/01/12

Status:	Open
Project:	ISA e-Government Core Vocabularies
Component/s:	None
Affects Version/s:	None
Fix Version/s:	None

Type:	Documentation	Priority:	Minor
Reporter:	LOUTAS Nikos	Assignee:	DEKKERS Makx
Resolution:	Unresolved	Votes:	0
Labels:	ADMS		
Remaining Estimate:	Not Specified		
Time Spent:	Not Specified		
Original Estimate:	Not Specified		
Environment:	ADMS Section 2 Approach		

Participant:	DEKKERS Makx , LOUTAS Nikos
CITnet Message:	

Description

Since the Process and methodology for Core Vocabularies is not available yet, I suggest to briefly describe it in this section.

Comments

Comment by [DEKKERS Makx](#) [17/Dec/11 21:19]

This process and methodology is now available on the wiki. We will have it published before the public review and include a link accordingly.

<https://webgate.ec.europa.eu/CITnet/confluence/display/ISACV/Process+and+Methodology+for+ADMS+and+CVWG>

Comment by [DEKKERS Makx](#) [17/Dec/11 21:18]

See comments at

<https://webgate.ec.europa.eu/CITnet/confluence/display/ISACV/ADMS+Section+2+Approach?focusedCommentId=60883296#comment-60883296>

[ISACV-168] [Use case 3 a specialization of use case 2](#) Created: 17/12/11 Updated: 17/12/11 Due: 21/12/11

Status:	Open
Project:	ISA e-Government Core Vocabularies
Component/s:	None
Affects Version/s:	None
Fix Version/s:	None

Type:	Documentation	Priority:	Major
Reporter:	LOUTAS Nikos	Assignee:	GOEDERTIER Stijn
Resolution:	Unresolved	Votes:	0
Labels:	ADMS		
Remaining Estimate:	Not Specified		
Time Spent:	Not Specified		
Original Estimate:	Not Specified		
Environment:	ADMS Section 4 Use cases		

Participant:	DEKKERS Makx , GOEDERTIER Stijn , LOUTAS Nikos
CITnet Message:	

Description

Use case 3 (similar information resources) looks like a specialization of use case 2 (linked information resources).

Comments

Comment by [DEKKERS Makx](#) [17/Dec/11 21:09]

See comments at

<https://webgate.ec.europa.eu/CITnet/confluence/display/ISACV/ADMS+Section+4+Use+cases?focusedCommentId=60883293#comment-60883293>

[ISACV-167] [Define who the user is](#) Created: 17/12/11 Updated: 17/12/11 Due: 21/12/11

Status:	Open
Project:	ISA e-Government Core Vocabularies
Component/s:	None
Affects Version/s:	None
Fix Version/s:	None

Type:	Documentation	Priority:	Major
Reporter:	LOUTAS Nikos	Assignee:	GOEDERTIER Stijn
Resolution:	Unresolved	Votes:	0
Labels:	ADMS		
Remaining Estimate:	Not Specified		
Time Spent:	Not Specified		
Original Estimate:	Not Specified		
Environment:	ADMS Section 4 Use cases		

Participant:	DEKKERS Makx , GOEDERTIER Stijn , LOUTAS Nikos
CITnet Message:	

Description

I think it'd be helpful in the use cases, if we defined who the user is, i.e. what is her profile? a developer? an end-user?

Comments

Comment by [DEKKERS Makx](#) [17/Dec/11 21:07]

See comments at

<https://webgate.ec.europa.eu/CITnet/confluence/display/ISACV/ADMS+Section+4+Use+cases?focusedCommentId=60883293#comment-60883293>

[ISACV-166] [Add examples](#) Created: 17/12/11 Updated: 17/12/11 Due: 21/12/11

Status:	Open
Project:	ISA e-Government Core Vocabularies
Component/s:	None
Affects Version/s:	None
Fix Version/s:	None

Type:	Documentation	Priority:	Minor
Reporter:	LOUTAS Nikos	Assignee:	DEKKERS Makx
Resolution:	Unresolved	Votes:	0
Labels:	ADMS		
Remaining Estimate:	Not Specified		
Time Spent:	Not Specified		
Original Estimate:	Not Specified		
Environment:	ADMS Section 5 Conceptual model		

Participant:	DEKKERS Makx , LOUTAS Nikos
CITnet Message:	

Description

it would be helpful if this section concluded with an example of a real asset described using ADMS. DERI could help you with this.

Comments

Comment by [DEKKERS Makx](#) [17/Dec/11 20:57]

Examples are being added to
<https://webgate.ec.europa.eu/CITnet/confluence/display/ISACV/ADMS+Section+5+Conceptual+model>

[ISACV-165] [In version 0.06 of the document the UML diagram is hard to read](#) Created: 17/12/11 Updated: 17/12/11 Due: 21/12/11

Status:	Open
Project:	<u>ISA e-Government Core Vocabularies</u>
Component/s:	None
Affects Version/s:	None
Fix Version/s:	None

Type:	Documentation	Priority:	Minor
Reporter:	<u>LOUTAS Nikos</u>	Assignee:	<u>DEKKERS Makx</u>
Resolution:	Unresolved	Votes:	0
Labels:	ADMS		
Remaining Estimate:	Not Specified		
Time Spent:	Not Specified		
Original Estimate:	Not Specified		
Environment:	ADMS Section 5 Conceptual model		

Participant:	<u>DEKKERS Makx</u> , <u>LOUTAS Nikos</u>
CITnet Message:	

Description

In version 0.06 of the document the UML diagram is hard to read.
<https://webgate.ec.europa.eu/CITnet/confluence/display/ISACV/ADMS+Section+5+Conceptual+model?focusedCommentId=60883298#comment-60883298>

[ISACV-164] [Put ID:URI attribute first](#) Created: 17/12/11 Updated: 17/12/11 Due: 21/12/11

Status:	Open
Project:	ISA e-Government Core Vocabularies
Component/s:	None
Affects Version/s:	None
Fix Version/s:	None

Type:	Documentation	Priority:	Minor
Reporter:	SKLARß Sebastian	Assignee:	DEKKERS Makx
Resolution:	Unresolved	Votes:	0
Labels:	ADMS		
Remaining Estimate:	Not Specified		
Time Spent:	Not Specified		
Original Estimate:	Not Specified		
Environment:	ADMS Section 5 Conceptual model		

Participant:	DEKKERS Makx , SKLARß Sebastian
CITnet Message:	

Description

Editorial comment on the diagram:

Can we have the ID:URI attribute uniformly at first place in the class diagramms?

<https://webgate.ec.europa.eu/CITnet/confluence/display/ISACV/ADMS+Section+5+Conceptual+model?focusedCommentId=60293636#comment-60293636>

[ISACV-163] [Add optional attribute on release to contain search index terms](#)

Created: 17/12/11 Updated: 17/12/11 Due: 09/02/12

Status:	Open
Project:	ISA e-Government Core Vocabularies
Component/s:	None
Affects Version/s:	None
Fix Version/s:	None

Type:	Documentation	Priority:	Major
Reporter:	SKLARß Sebastian	Assignee:	Unassigned
Resolution:	Unresolved	Votes:	0
Labels:	ADMS		
Remaining Estimate:	Not Specified		
Time Spent:	Not Specified		
Original Estimate:	Not Specified		
Environment:	ADMS Section 5 Conceptual model		

Participant:	DEKKERS Makx , SKLARß Sebastian
CITnet Message:	

Description

a comment for the next version of ADMS:

Can you please discuss the following optional attribute on release level that may improve findability:

release:SearchIndex (or so)... a federated repository may add its (Lucene or other) full text search index on an asset or a release.

This can be an answer to the following issues:

"How can we find artefacts inside an asset using ADMS"

"Which metadata is relevant for use case #1 enabling the federated search"

Reasoning:

In a repository you may be able to search an Asset by typing values of a codelist or parts of its attached pdf documentation.

The search engine index includes in addition to the metadata words and text snippets found in the the referenced binary documents once they are machine-readable (pdfs often, xml files in

various styles, text files)

(in semic it was the case, LOD-Portals may use the same search engine features).

This is "automatically obtained administrative" metadata (let's say max 100 words per asset) and can be used to increase findability of an asset in the federated search via ADMS.

For instance a codelist on marital status can have the following lucene Index entry:
"Personenstand, Einträge, Codelist, Version, Bundesamt für XY"

This somehow mixes functionality of the federation partner with Metadata in ADMS but the content of the federation can be more than just a simple mapping with down graded granularity based on the existing data model of a national repository. We can use process data also (in a very later ADMS 2.0)

Coming back to a potential Release:SearchIndex:

If this index- information is available - why not using it by simply allowing it to be passed to the ADMS-Federation with a dedicated string.

Comments

Comment by [DEKKERS Makx](#) [17/Dec/11 20:42]

See discussion at

<https://webgate.ec.europa.eu/CITnet/confluence/display/ISACV/ADMS+Section+5+Conceptual+model?focusedCommentId=60293557#comment-60293557>

[ISACV-162] [Use the common notation for cardinalities in the working draft](#)

Created: 17/12/11 Updated: 17/12/11 Due: 21/12/11

Status:	Open
Project:	ISA e-Government Core Vocabularies
Component/s:	None
Affects Version/s:	None
Fix Version/s:	None

Type:	Documentation	Priority:	Minor
Reporter:	SKLARß Sebastian	Assignee:	DEKKERS Makx
Resolution:	Unresolved	Votes:	0
Labels:	ADMS		
Remaining Estimate:	Not Specified		
Time Spent:	Not Specified		
Original Estimate:	Not Specified		
Environment:	ADMS Section 5 Conceptual model		

Participant:	DEKKERS Makx , SKLARß Sebastian
CITnet Message:	

Description

May I then suggest to use the common notation for cardinalities in the working draft?

So instead of "may have zero, one or more Publishers" just to use "0..*".

<https://webgate.ec.europa.eu/CITnet/confluence/display/ISACV/ADMS+Section+5+Conceptual+model?focusedCommentId=62161615#comment-62161615>

[ISACV-161] [Table Columns have a header "Identify" instead of correct "Identify"](#). Created: 17/12/11 Updated: 17/12/11 Due: 21/12/11

Status:	Open
Project:	ISA e-Government Core Vocabularies
Component/s:	None
Affects Version/s:	None
Fix Version/s:	None

Type:	Documentation	Priority:	Minor
Reporter:	SKLARß Sebastian	Assignee:	GOEDERTIER Stijn
Resolution:	Unresolved	Votes:	0
Labels:	ADMS		
Remaining Estimate:	Not Specified		
Time Spent:	Not Specified		
Original Estimate:	Not Specified		
Environment:	ADMS Section 4 Use cases		

Participant:	GOEDERTIER Stijn , SKLARß Sebastian
CITnet Message:	

Description

editorial comment:

Table Columns have a header "Identify" instead of correct "Identify".

<https://webgate.ec.europa.eu/CITnet/confluence/display/ISACV/ADMS+Section+4+Use+cases?focusedCommentId=60293529#comment-60293529>

[ISACV-160] Possible additions to Asset Types Created: 17/12/11 Updated: 17/12/11 Due: 09/02/12

Status:	Open
Project:	ISA e-Government Core Vocabularies
Component/s:	None
Affects Version/s:	None
Fix Version/s:	None

Type:	Documentation	Priority:	Major
Reporter:	GOEDERTIER Stijn	Assignee:	Unassigned
Resolution:	Unresolved	Votes:	0
Labels:	ADMS		
Remaining Estimate:	Not Specified		
Time Spent:	Not Specified		
Original Estimate:	Not Specified		
Environment:	ADMS Section 7 ADMS taxonomies, List of asset types		

Participant:	DEKKERS Makx , GOEDERTIER Stijn
CITnet Message:	

Description

- Business process model: Would you consider a "business process model" to be an organisational asset type? The EIF (v2) speaks of "business process alignment" as one of the recommendations at the organisational layer.
- Organisation model: Is this an additional organisational asset type?
- Business rule: Is this an additional organisational asset type? Business rules can constrain/define data models and actions, therefore, they could also be considered to be semantic assets...
- Software: Would you consider "Software" to be a technical interoperability asset? In my opinion it can be added to this list, as software is similar (but not the same) as "System/Service". Arguably not all software is to the benefit of interoperability, but this is also true for any other asset.

Comments

Comment by [DEKKERS Makx](#) [17/Dec/11 20:32]

See comments at

<https://webgate.ec.europa.eu/CITnet/confluence/display/ISACV/List+of+asset+types?focusedCommentId=58458571#comment-58458571>

[ISACV-159] [Generalize the concept of coverage](#) Created: 17/12/11 Updated: 17/12/11 Due: 09/02/12

Status:	Open
Project:	ISA e-Government Core Vocabularies
Component/s:	None
Affects Version/s:	None
Fix Version/s:	None

Type:	Documentation	Priority:	Major
Reporter:	Peep KÜNGAS	Assignee:	Unassigned
Resolution:	Unresolved	Votes:	0
Labels:	ADMS		
Remaining Estimate:	Not Specified		
Time Spent:	Not Specified		
Original Estimate:	Not Specified		
Environment:	ADMS Section 5 Conceptual model		

Participant:	DEKKERS Makx , Peep KÜNGAS
CITnet Message:	

Description

I suggest generalizing the concept of coverage, since in addition to the geographic dimension also other dimensions of assets exist (i.e. a registry of farm animals contains only information about horses, a population registry contains info only about citizens born in a corresponding country, an ontology of legal entities only describes those who have got funding from a particular governmental agency)

Comments

Comment by [DEKKERS Makx](#) [17/Dec/11 20:27]

See comments at

<https://webgate.ec.europa.eu/CITnet/confluence/display/ISACV/ADMS+Section+5+Conceptual+model?focusedCommentId=62685446#comment-62685446>

[ISACV-158] [Additions to Use cases](#) Created: 17/12/11 Updated: 17/12/11 Due: 21/12/11

Status:	Open
Project:	ISA e-Government Core Vocabularies
Component/s:	None
Affects Version/s:	None
Fix Version/s:	None

Type:	Documentation	Priority:	Major
Reporter:	Peep KÜNGAS	Assignee:	GOEDERTIER Stijn
Resolution:	Unresolved	Votes:	0
Labels:	ADMS		
Remaining Estimate:	Not Specified		
Time Spent:	Not Specified		
Original Estimate:	Not Specified		
Environment:	ADMS Section 4 Use cases		

Participant:	DEKKERS Makx , GOEDERTIER Stijn , Peep KÜNGAS
CITnet Message:	

Description

To Section 1.1 I would suggest adding domain coverage/subject's role dimension (i.e. data is only about citizens acting as public servants) in addition to domain, subject, spatial coverage, status, licence class, usage of semantic assets. Potentially also addressed interoperability level, where the asset belongs to, could be considered.

In Section 1.3 I think is important to emphasize that in addition to some default similarity metrics additional ones can be defined by using some language by the users since the notion of similarity is highly subjective.

Comments

Comment by [DEKKERS Makx](#) [17/Dec/11 20:09]

See comments at

<https://webgate.ec.europa.eu/CITnet/confluence/display/ISACV/ADMS+Section+4+Use+cases?focusedCommentId=57803236#comment-57803236>

[ISACV-157] Granularity of an asset Created: 17/12/11 Updated: 17/12/11 Due: 09/02/12	
Status:	Open
Project:	ISA e-Government Core Vocabularies
Component/s:	None
Affects Version/s:	None
Fix Version/s:	None

Type:	Documentation	Priority:	Major
Reporter:	PEREGO Andrea	Assignee:	Unassigned
Resolution:	Unresolved	Votes:	0
Labels:	ADMS		
Remaining Estimate:	Not Specified		
Time Spent:	Not Specified		
Original Estimate:	Not Specified		
Environment:	ADMS Section 5 Conceptual model		

Participant:	DEKKERS Makx , PEREGO Andrea
CITnet Message:	

Description

Coming back to this issue, may I ask which is the granularity of an asset? In other words, what does an ADMS asset data item correspond to? A code list, controlled vocabulary, etc.? Or, rather, an individual term from code lists, controlled vocabularies, etc.?

Comments

Comment by [DEKKERS Makx](#) [17/Dec/11 20:06]

See comments at

<https://webgate.ec.europa.eu/CITnet/confluence/display/ISACV/ADMS+Section+5+Conceptual+model?focusedCommentId=57803213#comment-57803213>

[ISACV-156] [The term release could be misunderstood](#) Created: 17/12/11 Updated: 17/12/11
Due: 09/02/12

Status:	Open
Project:	ISA e-Government Core Vocabularies
Component/s:	None
Affects Version/s:	None
Fix Version/s:	None

Type:	Documentation	Priority:	Major
Reporter:	GEHLERT Andreas	Assignee:	Unassigned
Resolution:	Unresolved	Votes:	0
Labels:	ADMS		
Remaining Estimate:	Not Specified		
Time Spent:	Not Specified		
Original Estimate:	Not Specified		
Environment:	ADMS Section 5 Conceptual model		

Participant:	DEKKERS Makx , GEHLERT Andreas
CITnet Message:	

Description

The term release could be misunderstood: Release for me means simply a version of something that is published, e.g. a linux kernel release 3.1.0. Although the linux kernel has many different versions, some of them are labelled as release to make them publicly available and to indicate "you can use this software". The terminologie "release" in ADMS is imho differently used.

Comments

Comment by [DEKKERS Makx](#) [17/Dec/11 19:59]

See comments at

<https://webgate.ec.europa.eu/CITnet/confluence/display/ISACV/ADMS+Section+5+Conceptual+model?focusedCommentId=57802914#comment-57802914>

[ISACV-155] [Why not have a version-class covering all version information?](#)

Created: 17/12/11 Updated: 17/12/11 Due: 09/02/12

Status:	Open
Project:	ISA e-Government Core Vocabularies
Component/s:	None
Affects Version/s:	None
Fix Version/s:	None

Type:	Documentation	Priority:	Major
Reporter:	GEHLERT Andreas	Assignee:	Unassigned
Resolution:	Unresolved	Votes:	0
Labels:	ADMS		
Remaining Estimate:	Not Specified		
Time Spent:	Not Specified		
Original Estimate:	Not Specified		
Environment:	ADMS Section 5 Conceptual model		

Participant:	DEKKERS Makx , GEHLERT Andreas
CITnet Message:	

Description

Assets may be related to other assets. Since version is an (intrinsic) attribute of asset, this means that every new version of an asset is itself a new asset. E.g. if I have standard xyz in 10 versions, I have 10 assets named standard xyz probably with similar descriptions. Why not have a version-class covering all version information as in other versioning systems including the relations between these versions?

CommentsComment by [DEKKERS Makx](#) [17/Dec/11 19:57]

See comments at

<https://webgate.ec.europa.eu/CITnet/confluence/display/ISACV/ADMS+Section+5+Conceptual+model?focusedCommentId=57802914#comment-57802914>

[ISACV-154] [Versioning of releases](#) Created: 17/12/11 Updated: 17/12/11 Due: 09/12/11

Status:	Open
Project:	ISA e-Government Core Vocabularies
Component/s:	None
Affects Version/s:	None
Fix Version/s:	None

Type:	Documentation	Priority:	Major
Reporter:	GEHLERT Andreas	Assignee:	Unassigned
Resolution:	Unresolved	Votes:	0
Labels:	ADMS		
Remaining Estimate:	Not Specified		
Time Spent:	Not Specified		
Original Estimate:	Not Specified		
Environment:	ADMS Section 5 Conceptual model		

Participant:	DEKKERS Makx , GEHLERT Andreas
CITnet Message:	

Description

While the conceptual resource (asset) has a version, the release (the asset's concretisation) has not. Why? I believe that there will most likely be more than one version of a release associated with an asset

Comments

Comment by [DEKKERS Makx](#) [17/Dec/11 19:54]

See comments at

<https://webgate.ec.europa.eu/CITnet/confluence/display/ISACV/ADMS+Section+5+Conceptual+model?focusedCommentId=57802914#comment-57802914>

[ISACV-153] [Multilingualism in ADMS](#) Created: 17/12/11 Updated: 17/12/11 Due: 09/02/12

Status:	Open
Project:	ISA e-Government Core Vocabularies
Component/s:	None
Affects Version/s:	None
Fix Version/s:	None

Type:	Documentation	Priority:	Major
Reporter:	SKLARß Sebastian	Assignee:	Unassigned
Resolution:	Unresolved	Votes:	0
Labels:	ADMS		
Remaining Estimate:	Not Specified		
Time Spent:	Not Specified		
Original Estimate:	Not Specified		
Environment:	ADMS Section 5 Conceptual model		

Participant:	DEKKERS Makx , SKLARß Sebastian
CITnet Message:	

Description

About multilingualism in ADMS: Here are some questions we should discuss.

1. To what extent ADMS will support multilingual issues?
ADMS Labels are in British English?
2. I suppose that descriptions will be in the language of the MS as Federation Partners can not translate whole Asset content and metadata into English. So to what extent then do we impose the use of English?
3. Do we have xml:lang support in the alternative title?
- 4 How can trilingual countries store their egov metadata in the ADMS-enabled Repository?
5. ADMS and Charset issues? (Bulgarian, Greek, French etc)

Comments

Comment by [DEKKERS Makx](#) [17/Dec/11 19:50]

Note also that in the Final Working Group Draft (since version 0.05,
<https://webgate.ec.europa.eu/CITnet/confluence/display/ISACV/ADMS+Section+5+Concept>

[ual+model](#)). the data type of all "human-readable text" is Text which is defined as a content string and an optional language tag.

Comment by [DEKKERS Makx](#) [17/Dec/11 19:44]

See comments at

<https://webgate.ec.europa.eu/CITnet/confluence/display/ISACV/ADMS+Section+5+Conceptual+model?focusedCommentId=57802880#comment-57802880> and

<https://webgate.ec.europa.eu/CITnet/confluence/display/ISACV/ADMS+Section+5+Conceptual+model?focusedCommentId=57802904#comment-57802904>

[ISACV-152] [Potentially, some assets may have complex lifecycles which cannot be linearized or mapped to the proposed scheme.](#) Created: 17/12/11 Updated: 17/12/11

Due: 09/12/11

Status:	Open
Project:	ISA e-Government Core Vocabularies
Component/s:	None
Affects Version/s:	None
Fix Version/s:	None

Type:	Documentation	Priority:	Major
Reporter:	Dimitris ALEXANDROU	Assignee:	Unassigned
Resolution:	Unresolved	Votes:	0
Labels:	ADMS		
Remaining Estimate:	Not Specified		
Time Spent:	Not Specified		
Original Estimate:	Not Specified		
Environment:	ADMS Section 5 Conceptual model, ADMS Section 7 ADMS taxonomies		

Participant:	DEKKERS Makx , Dimitris ALEXANDROU
CITnet Message:	

Description

My second remark concerns the Status of an Asset. It also relates to Section 7 but for uniformity reasons I post it here. According to the proposed flow, an Asset is first under development, then published, then deprecated and finally withdrawn. Potentially, the lifecycle is not linear, but may stages may be bypassed (e.g. an asset may be withdrawn after being released). If the Status actually refers to the Asset's lifecycle, shouldn't be dependent on its Asset Type? Legislations have completely different lifecycles from API Specifications (sample Asset Types taken from Section 7). A Legislation Asset, must first be proposed (Bill or Law Proposal), then ratified (Law), then modified/amended (Law Amendment), then perhaps withdrawn. An API Specification may follow the proposed lifycle (Published, Under development, Deprecated, Withdrawn). Potentially, some assets may have complex lifecycles which cannot be linearized or mapped to the proposed scheme.

Comments

Comment by [DEKKERS Makx](#) [17/Dec/11 18:40]

See comments at

<https://webgate.ec.europa.eu/CITnet/confluence/display/ISACV/ADMS+Section+5+Conceptual+model?focusedCommentId=57802755#comment-57802755>



[ISACV-151] [Do not correlate the Status of a Release with the Status of an Asset](#) Created: 17/12/11 Updated: 17/12/11 Due: 09/02/12

Status:	Open
Project:	ISA e-Government Core Vocabularies
Component/s:	None
Affects Version/s:	None
Fix Version/s:	None

Type:	Documentation	Priority:	Major
Reporter:	Dimitris ALEXANDROU	Assignee:	Unassigned
Resolution:	Unresolved	Votes:	0
Labels:	ADMS		
Remaining Estimate:	Not Specified		
Time Spent:	Not Specified		
Original Estimate:	Not Specified		
Environment:	ADMS Section 5 Conceptual model		

Participant:	DEKKERS Makx , Dimitris ALEXANDROU
CITnet Message:	

Description

I have some remarks regarding the association of Asset, Release and Status. According to the conceptual model document, Status serves as indication of the maturity of an Asset or Release, where the Release is an implementation (or let's say a concretization) of an Asset. Taking into account the proposed Status vocabulary (Published, Under development, Deprecated, Withdrawn), we may have a case where the status of an Asset (e.g. of asset type Legislation) is Withdrawn and the status of the corresponding Release is Under development. My point is that we should not correlate the Status of a Release with the Status of an Asset. The former is an indication of a tangible thing, which is the Release, whereas the later is indeed the indication of an Asset's maturity.

Comments

Comment by [DEKKERS Makx](#) [17/Dec/11 18:37]

See comments at

<https://webgate.ec.europa.eu/CITnet/confluence/display/ISACV/ADMS+Section+5+Conceptual+model?focusedCommentId=57802755#comment-57802755>

[ISACV-150] [What is the intention of geographic coverage?](#) Created: 17/12/11 Updated: 17/12/11 Due: 09/02/12

Status:	Open
Project:	ISA e-Government Core Vocabularies
Component/s:	None
Affects Version/s:	None
Fix Version/s:	None

Type:	Documentation	Priority:	Major
Reporter:	GEHLERT Andreas	Assignee:	Unassigned
Resolution:	Unresolved	Votes:	0
Labels:	ADMS		
Remaining Estimate:	Not Specified		
Time Spent:	Not Specified		
Original Estimate:	Not Specified		
Environment:	ADMS Section 5 Conceptual model		

Participant:	DEKKERS Makx , GEHLERT Andreas
CITnet Message:	

Description

What is the intention of geographic coverage?

As Germany is federally organised, it is more important to know for which administrative level the assets applies, e.g. we have standards, which apply to the federal government only (SAGA) and have little or no impact on the counties and local governments. I guess this information should be covered in the metadata as well.

Comments

Comment by [DEKKERS Makx](#) [17/Dec/11 18:28]

See comments at

<https://webgate.ec.europa.eu/CITnet/confluence/display/ISACV/ADMS+Section+5+Conceptual+model?focusedCommentId=57802964#comment-57802964>

[ISACV-145] [To add a maintenance and versioning attribute for ADMS Repository](#) Created: 09/12/11 Updated: 09/12/11 Due: 09/02/12

Status:	Open
Project:	ISA e-Government Core Vocabularies
Component/s:	None
Affects Version/s:	None
Fix Version/s:	None

Type:	Documentation	Priority:	Major
Reporter:	SKLARß Sebastian	Assignee:	Unassigned
Resolution:	Unresolved	Votes:	0
Labels:	ADMS		
Remaining Estimate:	Not Specified		
Time Spent:	Not Specified		
Original Estimate:	Not Specified		
Environment:	ADMS Conceptual Model		

Participant:	SKLARß Sebastian
CITnet Message:	

Description

<https://webgate.ec.europa.eu/CITnet/confluence/display/ISACV/ADMS+Section+5+Conceptual+model?focusedCommentId=60293637#comment-60293637>

Here a maintenance and versioning attribute for ADMS Repository :

The amount of new metadata to support when taking part in the ADMS federation should of course be limited to a minimum.


But there is one organisational metadata that could be worth adding in REPOSITORY:

The 0..* Repository:SupportedADMSVersion where the federation partner will tell what ADMS version his repository is able to speak.

[ISACV-140] To determine how to classify documents that contain several assets of different types Created: 08/12/11 Updated: 15/12/11 Due: 09/02/12

Status:	Open
Project:	ISA e-Government Core Vocabularies
Component/s:	None
Affects Version/s:	None
Fix Version/s:	None

Type:	Documentation	Priority:	Major
Reporter:	SKLARß Sebastian	Assignee:	DEKKERS Makx
Resolution:	Unresolved	Votes:	0
Labels:	ADMS		
Remaining Estimate:	Not Specified		
Time Spent:	Not Specified		
Original Estimate:	Not Specified		

Attachments:	 screenshot-1.jpg
Participant:	DEKKERS Makx , SKLARß Sebastian
CITnet Message:	

Description

See also <https://webgate.ec.europa.eu/CITnet/jira/browse/ISACV-116>

Here the example I due for argumenting having 0..* relationship for asset types.

In SEMIC.EU was and in Joinup there will be the Asset INTERPRANA.

<http://www.semic.eu/semic/view/Asset/Asset.SingleView.xhtml?id=59>

This asset is a 200 pages document containing
UML Diagramms,
Example Data
Glossary and Definitions
Use Case documentation
specification on transport protocol.

It is an all in one-handbook for communication with the egovernment portal within the region of Friuli Venezia-Giulia .

The contributors of these assets provide their project solutions "fit-to-project purpose" without a careful modulariation for reuse and optimising packages to best fit in a repository

with only one resource type storing allowed.

Comments

Comment by [SKLARß Sebastian](#) [15/Dec/11 10:56]

Makx, please check whether asset type can be restricted to 1..1 or whether other requirements demand the asset type to be set to 1..*

Comment by [SKLARß Sebastian](#) [15/Dec/11 10:54]

The screenshot above shows several asset types (UML Model, WSDL File, Specification) that are all linked to one asset. (XWaffe)

Anyway: I understand that some best practices demand not to allow one asset having several asset types.

Imaginating a federation with the XRepository one will have to split this XWaffe-Asset into 5 different assets copying the same metadata:

The only metadata that will be different is the Asset:AssetType.

The accessURL of these 5 assets will be the same (as this content will not be split this way in the original repository)

So concerning this issue I could live with 1..1 asset:asset type with knowing and accepting that there will be redundant metadata whenever an asset is not split that way in the original repository)

[ISACV-139] [ADMS should be able to store Asset Types as defined for ebRIM Omar Schema Repositories](#) Created: 08/12/11 Updated: 08/12/11 Due: 09/02/12

Status:	Open
Project:	ISA e-Government Core Vocabularies
Component/s:	None
Affects Version/s:	None
Fix Version/s:	None

Type:	Documentation	Priority:	Major
Reporter:	SKLARß Sebastian	Assignee:	Unassigned
Resolution:	Unresolved	Votes:	0
Labels:	ADMS		
Remaining Estimate:	Not Specified		
Time Spent:	Not Specified		
Original Estimate:	Not Specified		
Environment:	ADMS Taxonomy, Asset Types, Mapping		

Participant:	SKLARß Sebastian
CITnet Message:	

Description

See also <https://webgate.ec.europa.eu/CITnet/jira/browse/ISACV-115> (UML model attached there)

Important Asset types of the XRepository (respecting German XÖV Standardisation process as outlined in the XÖV Handbook:

<http://www.xoev.de/sixcms/media.php/13/X%D6V%20Handbuch%20V1.pdf>)

An Asset can be a specification being linked to the following asset types:

1. UML Model,
2. Diagramm (Model using another notation)
3. Schemafile
4. WSDL File
5. Documentation
6. Other
 - a. BIE(Fachkomponente)
 - b. ACC (Kernkomponente)
 - c. Datatype (Datentyp)
 - d. Codelist
 - i. Being split into a normal Codelist and
 - ii. A Codelist in OASIS GeneriCode Format storing in addition to codelist (codelist agency,

version, name) a URN

This is just one way of looking at the world but ADMS should be able to store this kind of information on an asset's type.

Comments

Comment by [SKLARß Sebastian](#) [08/Dec/11 19:29]

Just found the "new one" from 2005 that some MS repository might have been migrated to - but I am speculating here.

Can we add:

<http://www.oasis-open.org/committees/regrep/documents/3.0/specs/regrep-rim-3.0-os.pdf>

to the "existing work" section of ADMS and check from time to time that with ADMS we are somehow "compatible" to the ebRIM?

Comment by [SKLARß Sebastian](#) [08/Dec/11 17:09]

And to precise for Repositories in the eGovernment domain:

The list above of asset types to be supported is not an entire German invention:

The choice of these asset types come from the OASIS Electronic business eXtensible Markup Language (ebXML) — Part 3

and its OMAR reference implementation that was used in SEMIC.EU technology (so also in the Finnish Repository) and in the XRepository.

Opting for ebXML support for Semantic Repositories was a decision at this time (2004-2006) to allow for a federation by using standardised data and processes.

<http://www.oasis-open.org/committees/regrep/documents/2.0/specs/ebRim.pdf>

Today it looks outdated (most probably there is a 3.0) and now we define ADMS and have the Joinup-Platform, but this was the standard that was mature at this time and used by people willing to store something "semantically" in a repository.

This was the time before DRUPAL conquered the world and all these semantic repositories became quite let's say "a bit less semantic and intelligent".

In other words: finding a way to store ebXML alike Asset types will ease up the integration of several different MS repositories.

ebRIM (electronic business Registry Information Model) in the OMAR reference implementation was the ADMS of that time and some Member States opted for this solution.

- Notice that this is a blueprint for registers and not a data exchange format.

Small anecdote: We had some minor issues in the past about the 4 digits area code for phone numbers that is described on page 32.

4 digits were quite too small to store an area code of a phone number so we had to do something out of the standard here.

[ISACV-138] [Error in conceptual model diagram: Asset:Alternative Name should have data type Text](#) Created: 08/12/11 Updated: 08/12/11 Due: 07/12/11 Resolved: 08/12/11

Status:	Closed
Project:	ISA e-Government Core Vocabularies
Component/s:	None
Affects Version/s:	None
Fix Version/s:	None

Type:	Documentation	Priority:	Minor
Reporter:	SKLARß Sebastian	Assignee:	DEKKERS Makx
Resolution:	Fixed	Votes:	0
Labels:	ADMS		
Remaining Estimate:	0 minutes		
Time Spent:	Not Specified		
Original Estimate:	Not Specified		
Environment:	ADMS Conceptual Model		

Participant:	DEKKERS Makx , SKLARß Sebastian
CITnet Message:	

Description

In the diagram of the conceptional model the asset class contains "Alternative Name".
No Name Attribute is visible

Comments

Comment by [DEKKERS Makx](#) [08/Dec/11 14:04]

Solved in version 0.06 at
<https://webgate.ec.europa.eu/CITnet/confluence/display/ISACV/ADMS+Section+5+Conceptual+model>

The property Alternative Name is now defined as:

Alternative Name: Text [0..*]

[ISACV-122] [To create XML schema for ADMS](#) Created: 06/12/11 Updated: 17/12/11 Due: 05/01/12

Status:	Open
Project:	ISA e-Government Core Vocabularies
Component/s:	None
Affects Version/s:	None
Fix Version/s:	None

Type:	Documentation	Priority:	Major
Reporter:	DEKKERS Makx	Assignee:	FRADE RODRIGUES Joao
Resolution:	Unresolved	Votes:	0
Labels:	ADMS		
Remaining Estimate:	Not Specified		
Time Spent:	Not Specified		
Original Estimate:	Not Specified		
Environment:	ADMS schemas		

Participant:	DEKKERS Makx , FRADE RODRIGUES Joao
CITnet Message:	

Description

As decided in 29 November meeting.
<https://webgate.ec.europa.eu/CITnet/confluence/display/ISACV/Virtual+Meeting+2011.11.29>

[ISACV-121] [To create RDF schema for ADMS](#) Created: 06/12/11 Updated: 17/12/11 Due: 05/01/12

Status:	Open
Project:	ISA e-Government Core Vocabularies
Component/s:	None
Affects Version/s:	None
Fix Version/s:	None

Type:	Documentation	Priority:	Major
Reporter:	DEKKERS Makx	Assignee:	ARCHER Phil
Resolution:	Unresolved	Votes:	0
Labels:	ADMS		
Remaining Estimate:	Not Specified		
Time Spent:	Not Specified		
Original Estimate:	Not Specified		
Environment:	ADMS schemas		

Participant:	ARCHER Phil , DEKKERS Makx
CITnet Message:	

Description

As decided in 29 November meeting.
<https://webgate.ec.europa.eu/CITnet/confluence/display/ISACV/Virtual+Meeting+2011.11.29>

[ISACV-120] [To verify list of Asset Types in mapping exercise and public comment](#) Created: 06/12/11 Updated: 06/12/11 Due: 06/02/12

Status:	Open
Project:	ISA e-Government Core Vocabularies
Component/s:	None
Affects Version/s:	None
Fix Version/s:	None

Type:	Documentation	Priority:	Major
Reporter:	DEKKERS Makx	Assignee:	Unassigned
Resolution:	Unresolved	Votes:	0
Labels:	ADMS		
Remaining Estimate:	Not Specified		
Time Spent:	Not Specified		
Original Estimate:	Not Specified		
Environment:	ADMS Taxonomies		

Participant:	DEKKERS Makx
CITnet Message:	

Description

As decided in 29 November meeting.
<https://webgate.ec.europa.eu/CITnet/confluence/display/ISACV/Virtual+Meeting+2011.11.29>

[ISACV-119] [To update the ADMS model removing Web Page and adding Document type](#) Created: 06/12/11 Updated: 06/12/11 Due: 05/12/11 Resolved: 06/12/11

Status:	Closed
Project:	ISA e-Government Core Vocabularies
Component/s:	None
Affects Version/s:	None
Fix Version/s:	None

Type:	Documentation	Priority:	Major
Reporter:	DEKKERS Makx	Assignee:	DEKKERS Makx
Resolution:	Fixed	Votes:	0
Labels:	ADMS		
Remaining Estimate:	0 minutes		
Time Spent:	Not Specified		
Original Estimate:	Not Specified		
Environment:	ADMS Conceptual model		

Participant:	DEKKERS Makx
CITnet Message:	

Description

As decided in 29 November meeting.
<https://webgate.ec.europa.eu/CITnet/confluence/display/ISACV/Virtual+Meeting+2011.11.29>

Comments

Comment by [DEKKERS Makx](#) [06/Dec/11 17:24]
Included in Final Working Group Draft at
<https://webgate.ec.europa.eu/CITnet/confluence/display/ISACV/ADMS+Working+Group+Draft>

[ISACV-118] [To propose a controlled vocabulary for type of documentation](#)

Created: 06/12/11 Updated: 06/12/11 Due: 05/12/11 Resolved: 06/12/11

Status:	Closed
Project:	ISA e-Government Core Vocabularies
Component/s:	None
Affects Version/s:	None
Fix Version/s:	None

Type:	Documentation	Priority:	Major
Reporter:	DEKKERS Makx	Assignee:	Unassigned
Resolution:	Fixed	Votes:	0
Labels:	ADMS		
Remaining Estimate:	0 minutes		
Time Spent:	Not Specified		
Original Estimate:	Not Specified		
Environment:	ADMS Taxonomies		

Participant:	DEKKERS Makx
CITnet Message:	

Description

As decided in 29 November meeting.

<https://webgate.ec.europa.eu/CITnet/confluence/display/ISACV/Virtual+Meeting+2011.11.29>

Proposal from Fadi Maali to be included in next version

Comments

Comment by [DEKKERS Makx](#) [06/Dec/11 17:22]

Included in Final Working Group Draft at

<https://webgate.ec.europa.eu/CITnet/confluence/display/ISACV/ADMS+Working+Group+Draft>

[ISACV-117] [To gather further comments on Asset Types](#) Created: 06/12/11 Updated: 06/12/11 Due: 06/02/12

Status:	Open
Project:	ISA e-Government Core Vocabularies
Component/s:	None
Affects Version/s:	None
Fix Version/s:	None

Type:	Documentation	Priority:	Major
Reporter:	DEKKERS Makx	Assignee:	Unassigned
Resolution:	Unresolved	Votes:	0
Labels:	ADMS		
Remaining Estimate:	Not Specified		
Time Spent:	Not Specified		
Original Estimate:	Not Specified		
Environment:	ADMS Taxonomies		

Participant:	DEKKERS Makx
CITnet Message:	


Description

As decided in 29 November meeting.
<https://webgate.ec.europa.eu/CITnet/confluence/display/ISACV/Virtual+Meeting+2011.11.29>

[ISACV-116] [To send examples of assets with several asset types](#) Created: 06/12/11
Updated: 17/12/11 Due: 05/12/11 Resolved: 17/12/11

Status:	Closed
Project:	ISA e-Government Core Vocabularies
Component/s:	None
Affects Version/s:	None
Fix Version/s:	None

Type:	Documentation	Priority:	Major
Reporter:	DEKKERS Makx	Assignee:	SKLARß Sebastian
Resolution:	Fixed	Votes:	0
Labels:	ADMS		
Remaining Estimate:	0 minutes		
Time Spent:	Not Specified		
Original Estimate:	Not Specified		
Environment:	ADMS Taxonomies		

Attachments:	 Interprana.zip
Participant:	DEKKERS Makx , SKLARß Sebastian
CITnet Message:	

Description

As decided in 29 November meeting.
<https://webgate.ec.europa.eu/CITnet/confluence/display/ISACV/Virtual+Meeting+2011.11.29>

Comments

Comment by [DEKKERS Makx](#) [17/Dec/11 18:22]

See <https://webgate.ec.europa.eu/CITnet/jira/browse/ISACV-140>

Comment by [DEKKERS Makx](#) [07/Dec/11 19:29]

Sebastian, if you allow me to use your words in slightly different structure, you are saying

- this asset is a all-in-one handbook
- this asset contains a number of assets (service descriptions, event descriptions, security requirements, message structures, DTDs and XML schemas)

It is indeed hard to classify the document as a whole (maybe "Semantic Implementation Guideline"?) but maybe the question is whether there is a need for something like best

practice?

To give another example, <http://www.semic.eu/semic/> or <http://joinup.ec.europa.eu/> could be called an Interoperability Asset, too, but it's not very user-friendly to leave it up to the user to figure out what he or she can use...

Comment by [SKLARß Sebastian](#) [07/Dec/11 19:05]

Here the example I due for argumenting having 0..* relationship for asset types.

In SEMIC.EU was and in Joinup there will be the Asset INTERPRANA.

<http://www.semic.eu/semic/view/Asset/Asset.SingleView.xhtml?id=59>

This asset is a 200 pages document containing
UML Diagramms,
Example Data
Glossary and Definitions
Use Case documentation
specification on transport protocol.


It is an all in one-handbook for communication with the egovernment portal within the region of Friuli Venezia-Giulia .

The contributors of these assets provide their project solutions "fit-to-project purpose" without a careful modulariation for reuse and optimising packages to best fit in a repository with only one resource type storing allowed.

[ISACV-115] [To to send examples of the asset types of SEMIC.EU and XRepository](#) Created: 06/12/11 Updated: 07/12/11 Due: 05/12/11

Status:	Open
Project:	ISA e-Government Core Vocabularies
Component/s:	None
Affects Version/s:	None
Fix Version/s:	None

Type:	Documentation	Priority:	Major
Reporter:	DEKKERS Makx	Assignee:	SKLARß Sebastian
Resolution:	Unresolved	Votes:	0
Labels:	ADMS		
Remaining Estimate:	Not Specified		
Time Spent:	Not Specified		
Original Estimate:	Not Specified		
Environment:	ADMS Taxonomies		

Attachments:	 screenshot-1.jpg
Participant:	DEKKERS Makx , SKLARß Sebastian
CITnet Message:	

Description

As decided in 29 November meeting.
<https://webgate.ec.europa.eu/CITnet/confluence/display/ISACV/Virtual+Meeting+2011.11.29>

Comments

Comment by [SKLARß Sebastian](#) [07/Dec/11 20:23]

As for Asset types of the German XRepository:

I attached (with the permission of the client, Notice: XRepository is published as Open Source and will be available on joinup too) a UML data model showing the different asset types (artefact types) that these semantic interoperability solutions can have.

Important Asset types of the XRepository (respecting German XÖV Standardisation process as outlined in the XÖV Handbook:

<http://www.xoev.de/sixcms/media.php/13/X%D6V%20Handbuch%20V1.pdf>)

An Asset can be a specification being linked to the following asset types:

1. UML Model,
2. Diagramm (Model using another notation)
3. Schemafile
4. WSDL File
5. Documentation
6. Other
 - a. BIE(Fachkomponente)
 - b. ACC (Kernkomponente)
 - c. Datatype (Datentyp)
 - d. Codelist
 - i. Being split into a normal Codelist and
 - ii. A Codelist in OASIS GeneriCode Format storing in addition to codelist (codelist agency, version, name) a URN


This is just one way of looking at the world but ADMS should be able to store this kind of information on an asset's type.

[ISACV-114] [To identify and report the issues on the use of Name and Title.](#)

Created: 06/12/11 Updated: 07/12/11 Due: 05/12/11

Status:	Open
Project:	ISA e-Government Core Vocabularies
Component/s:	None
Affects Version/s:	None
Fix Version/s:	None

Type:	Documentation	Priority:	Major
Reporter:	DEKKERS Makx	Assignee:	SKLARß Sebastian
Resolution:	Unresolved	Votes:	0
Labels:	ADMS		
Remaining Estimate:	Not Specified		
Time Spent:	Not Specified		
Original Estimate:	Not Specified		
Environment:	ADMS Conceptual model		

Attachments:	 screenshot-1.jpg
Participant:	DEKKERS Makx , SKLARß Sebastian
CITnet Message:	

Description

As decided in 29 November meeting.

<https://webgate.ec.europa.eu/CITnet/confluence/display/ISACV/Virtual+Meeting+2011.11.29>

Comments

Comment by [DEKKERS Makx](#) [07/Dec/11 19:05]

Sebastian, you have identified an error in the diagram. Indeed there is no data type Name. The property Alternative Name should be defined as:

Alternative Name: Text [0..*]

I think it should be [0..*] not [1..*] as some Assets may not have an alternative name.

Comment by [SKLARß Sebastian](#) [07/Dec/11 18:42]

In the diagram of the conceptional model the asset class contains "Alternative Name". No Name Attribute is visible.

In the use case section #4 (screenshot attached) we talk about alternative title that is explained with "Alternative Name" and a title attribut for assets.

<https://webgate.ec.europa.eu/CITnet/confluence/display/ISACV/ADMS+Section+4+Use+cases>

I suggest to decide for title or name and to update the use cases here?

[ISACV-113] [To clarify the use of alternative title on the specification](#) Created: 06/12/11 Updated: 06/12/11 Due: 05/12/11 Resolved: 06/12/11

Status:	Closed
Project:	ISA e-Government Core Vocabularies
Component/s:	None
Affects Version/s:	None
Fix Version/s:	None

Type:	Documentation	Priority:	Major
Reporter:	DEKKERS Makx	Assignee:	DEKKERS Makx
Resolution:	Fixed	Votes:	0
Labels:	ADMS		
Remaining Estimate:	0 minutes		
Time Spent:	Not Specified		
Original Estimate:	Not Specified		
Environment:	ADMS Conceptual model		

Participant:	DEKKERS Makx
CITnet Message:	

Description

As decided in 29 November meeting.
<https://webgate.ec.europa.eu/CITnet/confluence/display/ISACV/Virtual+Meeting+2011.11.29>

Comments

Comment by [DEKKERS Makx](#) [06/Dec/11 15:03]
Included in Final Working Group Draft at
<https://webgate.ec.europa.eu/CITnet/confluence/display/ISACV/ADMS+Working+Group+Draft>

[ISACV-112] [To include a multilingualism section on the ADMS specification](#)

Created: 06/12/11 Updated: 06/12/11 Due: 05/12/11 Resolved: 06/12/11

Status:	Closed
Project:	ISA e-Government Core Vocabularies
Component/s:	None
Affects Version/s:	None
Fix Version/s:	None

Type:	Documentation	Priority:	Major
Reporter:	DEKKERS Makx	Assignee:	DEKKERS Makx
Resolution:	Fixed	Votes:	0
Labels:	ADMS		
Remaining Estimate:	0 minutes		
Time Spent:	Not Specified		
Original Estimate:	Not Specified		
Environment:	ADMS Conceptual model		

Participant:	DEKKERS Makx
CITnet Message:	

Description

As decided in 29 November meeting.

<https://webgate.ec.europa.eu/CITnet/confluence/display/ISACV/Virtual+Meeting+2011.11.29>

Comments

Comment by [DEKKERS Makx](#) [06/Dec/11 15:01]

Included in Final Working Group Draft at

<https://webgate.ec.europa.eu/CITnet/confluence/display/ISACV/ADMS+Working+Group+Draft>

[ISACV-111] [To further explore multilingualism](#) Created: 06/12/11 Updated: 06/12/11 Due: 06/02/12

Status:	Open
Project:	ISA e-Government Core Vocabularies
Component/s:	None
Affects Version/s:	None
Fix Version/s:	None

Type:	Documentation	Priority:	Major
Reporter:	DEKKERS Makx	Assignee:	Unassigned
Resolution:	Unresolved	Votes:	0
Labels:	ADMS		
Remaining Estimate:	Not Specified		
Time Spent:	Not Specified		
Original Estimate:	Not Specified		
Environment:	ADMS Use cases		

Participant:	DEKKERS Makx
CITnet Message:	

Description

As decided in 29 November meeting.

<https://webgate.ec.europa.eu/CITnet/confluence/display/ISACV/Virtual+Meeting+2011.11.29>

[ISACV-110] [To provide further comments on ADMS use cases](#) Created: 06/12/11
 Updated: 06/12/11 Due: 06/02/12

Status:	Open
Project:	ISA e-Government Core Vocabularies
Component/s:	None
Affects Version/s:	None
Fix Version/s:	None

Type:	Documentation	Priority:	Major
Reporter:	DEKKERS Makx	Assignee:	Unassigned
Resolution:	Unresolved	Votes:	0
Labels:	ADMS		
Remaining Estimate:	Not Specified		
Time Spent:	Not Specified		
Original Estimate:	Not Specified		
Environment:	ADMS Use cases		

Participant:	DEKKERS Makx
CITnet Message:	

Description

As decided in 29 November meeting.
<https://webgate.ec.europa.eu/CITnet/confluence/display/ISACV/Virtual+Meeting+2011.11.29>

[ISACV-97] [Users must be able to explore or search for assets on the basis of the type of publisher/owner of the asset](#) Created: 29/11/11 Updated: 06/12/11 Resolved: 06/12/11

Status:	Closed
Project:	ISA e-Government Core Vocabularies
Component/s:	None
Affects Version/s:	None
Fix Version/s:	None

Type:	New Feature	Priority:	Major
Reporter:	PERISTERAS Vassilios	Assignee:	Unassigned
Resolution:	Fixed	Votes:	0
Labels:	ADMS		
Remaining Estimate:	0 minutes		
Time Spent:	Not Specified		
Original Estimate:	Not Specified		

Participant:	DEKKERS Makx , PERISTERAS Vassilios
CITnet Message:	

Description

we definitely need a property to capture the "type of" the owner of the asset: we want users to be able to be able to query e.g. specs available from: national semantic asset repositories, international standardization bodies, international organizations (e.g. EC, UN, OECD), other governmental sources (e.g. local/regional government), ...

Comments

Comment by [DEKKERS Makx](#) [06/Dec/11 17:38]

Included in Final Working Group Draft at

<https://webgate.ec.europa.eu/CITnet/confluence/display/ISACV/ADMS+Working+Group+Draft>

[ISACV-96] [Focus ADMS to mapping of the most elementary elements in a repository](#) Created: 29/11/11 Updated: 29/11/11

Status:	Open
Project:	ISA e-Government Core Vocabularies
Component/s:	None
Affects Version/s:	None
Fix Version/s:	None

Type:	New Feature	Priority:	Major
Reporter:	Josef HRUŠKA	Assignee:	Unassigned
Resolution:	Unresolved	Votes:	0
Labels:	ADMS		
Remaining Estimate:	Not Specified		
Time Spent:	Not Specified		
Original Estimate:	Not Specified		

Participant:	Josef HRUŠKA
CITnet Message:	

Description

This is rather general comment.

There have been some internal discussion (on the national level) regarding the mapping aims of ADMS, of which conclusion is to recommend to focus (if needed, possible, adapt as much as possible) ADMS to mapping of the most elementary ... well... elements in a repository. That means in our view data elements in the meaning of ISO 11179. Code lists and vocabularies could be also regarded as part of the mapping if required. Any other assets in our view are assets of "higher structure" (e.g. xml schema) that contain several basic structures (e.g. data elements).

However we are aware of the goal of ADMS to describe "any" interoperability asset, we are of the view that trying to map anything that is structured into a more complex level will currently be very hard task, if not unattainable at all, due to different legal basis and administrative organisation related to the assets/elements in the member states.

The purpose of this comment is not to change or limit the scope of ADMS, just to ensure that it contains necessary "functions" to allow mapping of assets on the basic (low) level of the asset structures, thus supporting the practical side of mapping or rather implementation of ADMS in the national repositories.

[ISACV-95] [Maybe Asset types in the table are not a controlled vocabulary of asset types yet](#) Created: 26/11/11 Updated: 06/12/11 Due: 29/11/11 Resolved: 06/12/11

Status:	Closed
Project:	ISA e-Government Core Vocabularies
Component/s:	None
Affects Version/s:	None
Fix Version/s:	None

Type:	Documentation	Priority:	Major
Reporter:	ZENG Marcia lei	Assignee:	Unassigned
Resolution:	Fixed	Votes:	0
Labels:	ADMS		
Remaining Estimate:	0 minutes		
Time Spent:	Not Specified		
Original Estimate:	Not Specified		
Environment:	ADMS Section 7 ADMS taxonomies		

Participant:	DEKKERS Makx , ZENG Marcia lei
CITnet Message:	

Description

[Interoperability level] does not seem to tie with the asset types, though. So, the current list of asset types will link these two distensions. Maybe temporarily these are not a controlled vocabulary of asset types yet, and is 'examples of asset types'.

<https://webgate.ec.europa.eu/CITnet/confluence/display/ISACV/ADMS+Section+7+ADMS+taxonomies?focusedCommentId=57147404#comment-57147404>

Comments

Comment by [DEKKERS Makx](#) [06/Dec/11 15:13]

As decided in 29 November meeting.

<https://webgate.ec.europa.eu/CITnet/confluence/display/ISACV/Virtual+Meeting+2011.11.29>

[ISACV-94] [Keep adms:subject \(or dc:subject\) as a relationship.](#) Created: 26/11/11
Updated: 06/12/11 Due: 29/11/11 Resolved: 06/12/11

Status:	Closed
Project:	ISA e-Government Core Vocabularies
Component/s:	None
Affects Version/s:	None
Fix Version/s:	None

Type:	Documentation	Priority:	Major
Reporter:	ZENG Marcia lei	Assignee:	Unassigned
Resolution:	Fixed	Votes:	0
Labels:	ADMS		
Remaining Estimate:	0 minutes		
Time Spent:	Not Specified		
Original Estimate:	Not Specified		
Environment:	ADMS Section 5 Conceptual model		

Participant:	DEKKERS Makx , ZENG Marcia lei
CITnet Message:	

Description

<https://webgate.ec.europa.eu/CITnet/confluence/display/ISACV/ADMS+Section+5+Conceptual+model?focusedCommentId=57376772#comment-57376772>

Comments

Comment by [DEKKERS Makx](#) [06/Dec/11 17:17]

As decided in 29 November meeting.

<https://webgate.ec.europa.eu/CITnet/confluence/display/ISACV/Virtual+Meeting+2011.11.29>

[ISACV-93] [Re-name adms:Subject as skos:Concept](#) Created: 26/11/11 Updated: 06/12/11 Due: 29/11/11 Resolved: 06/12/11

Status:	Closed
Project:	ISA e-Government Core Vocabularies
Component/s:	None
Affects Version/s:	None
Fix Version/s:	None

Type:	Documentation	Priority:	Major
Reporter:	ZENG Marcia lei	Assignee:	Unassigned
Resolution:	Fixed	Votes:	0
Labels:	ADMS		
Remaining Estimate:	0 minutes		
Time Spent:	Not Specified		
Original Estimate:	Not Specified		
Environment:	ADMS Section 5 Conceptual model		

Participant:	DEKKERS Makx , ZENG Marcia lei
CITnet Message:	

Description

2. adms:subject (or dc:subject) is a relationship between entities. This is good. Only when something (a concept, a person, a place...) become a topic/subject/theme of a work, such relationship apply. This 'something' may be included in a subject controlled vocabulary or authority. When that thing is not a topic/subject of a work, it can exist as a thing, a concept, a person, etc. by itself. Therefore 'adms:Subject' is not a real class. It is equal to owl:Thing or skos:Concept.

<https://webgate.ec.europa.eu/CITnet/confluence/display/ISACV/ADMS+Section+5+Conceptual+model?focusedCommentId=57376772#comment-57376772>

Comments

Comment by [DEKKERS Makx](#) [06/Dec/11 17:35]

Included in Final Working Group Draft at

<https://webgate.ec.europa.eu/CITnet/confluence/display/ISACV/ADMS+Working+Group+Draft>

[ISACV-92] [Re-name adms:Concept to something else.](#) Created: 26/11/11 Updated: 06/12/11
Due: 29/11/11 Resolved: 06/12/11

Status:	Closed
Project:	ISA e-Government Core Vocabularies
Component/s:	None
Affects Version/s:	None
Fix Version/s:	None

Type:	Documentation	Priority:	Major
Reporter:	ZENG Marcia lei	Assignee:	Unassigned
Resolution:	Fixed	Votes:	0
Labels:	ADMS		
Remaining Estimate:	0 minutes		
Time Spent:	Not Specified		
Original Estimate:	Not Specified		
Environment:	ADMS Section 5 Conceptual model		

Participant:	DEKKERS Makx , ZENG Marcia lei
CITnet Message:	

Description

1, I think 'Concept' class' name may be confusion. It is about the the component (e.g., controlled terms) of an asset type (e.g., controlled vocabularies). The word 'concept' has been used widely.

e.g., skos:Concept is a class that seemed to cover all what adms:Subject class content.

<https://webgate.ec.europa.eu/CITnet/confluence/display/ISACV/ADMS+Section+5+Conceptual+model?focusedCommentId=57376772#comment-57376772>

Comments

Comment by [DEKKERS Makx](#) [06/Dec/11 17:35]

Included in Final Working Group Draft at

<https://webgate.ec.europa.eu/CITnet/confluence/display/ISACV/ADMS+Working+Group+Draft>

[ISACV-91] Change the name of relations between two Assets from "Last version" into "Current version" Created: 26/11/11 Updated: 06/12/11 Due: 29/11/11 Resolved: 06/12/11

Status:	Closed
Project:	ISA e-Government Core Vocabularies
Component/s:	None
Affects Version/s:	None
Fix Version/s:	None

Type:	Documentation	Priority:	Major
Reporter:	SKLARß Sebastian	Assignee:	Unassigned
Resolution:	Fixed	Votes:	0
Labels:	ADMS		
Remaining Estimate:	0 minutes		
Time Spent:	Not Specified		
Original Estimate:	Not Specified		
Environment:	ADMS Section 5 Conceptual model		

Participant:	DEKKERS Makx , SKLARß Sebastian
CITnet Message:	

Description

As an improvement for the UML Diagram (1.2) we should change the name of relations between two Assets from "Last version" into "Current version" which should be described as the latest version of an Asset.

<https://webgate.ec.europa.eu/CITnet/confluence/display/ISACV/ADMS+Section+5+Conceptual+model?focusedCommentId=56033392#comment-56033392>

Comments

Comment by [DEKKERS Makx](#) [06/Dec/11 17:35]

Included in Final Working Group Draft at

<https://webgate.ec.europa.eu/CITnet/confluence/display/ISACV/ADMS+Working+Group+Draft>

[ISACV-90] [Remove "that is being described" from definition of Asset type](#)

Created: 26/11/11 Updated: 06/12/11 Due: 29/11/11 Resolved: 06/12/11

Status:	Closed
Project:	ISA e-Government Core Vocabularies
Component/s:	None
Affects Version/s:	None
Fix Version/s:	None

Type:	Documentation	Priority:	Major
Reporter:	SKLARß Sebastian	Assignee:	Unassigned
Resolution:	Fixed	Votes:	0
Labels:	ADMS		
Remaining Estimate:	0 minutes		
Time Spent:	Not Specified		
Original Estimate:	Not Specified		
Environment:	ADMS Section 5 Conceptual model		

Participant:	DEKKERS Makx , SKLARß Sebastian
CITnet Message:	

Description

2. "Asset type: type of Asset that is being described" change into:

"Asset type: type of an Asset (e.g. metadata schema, code list, etc.)"

<https://webgate.ec.europa.eu/CITnet/confluence/display/ISACV/ADMS+Section+5+Conceptual+model?focusedCommentId=56033391#comment-56033391>

Comments

Comment by [DEKKERS Makx](#) [06/Dec/11 17:34]

Included in Final Working Group Draft at

<https://webgate.ec.europa.eu/CITnet/confluence/display/ISACV/ADMS+Working+Group+Draft>

[ISACV-89] Replace "implementation" by "version/representation" for Release Created: 26/11/11 Updated: 06/12/11 Due: 29/11/11 Resolved: 06/12/11

Status:	Closed
Project:	ISA e-Government Core Vocabularies
Component/s:	None
Affects Version/s:	None
Fix Version/s:	None

Type:	Documentation	Priority:	Major
Reporter:	SKLARß Sebastian	Assignee:	Unassigned
Resolution:	Fixed	Votes:	0
Labels:	ADMS		
Remaining Estimate:	0 minutes		
Time Spent:	Not Specified		
Original Estimate:	Not Specified		
Environment:	ADMS Section 5 Conceptual model		

Participant:	DEKKERS Makx , SKLARß Sebastian
CITnet Message:	

Description

1. "Release: an implementation of an asset that can be accessed or downloaded"

I think that "implementation" can easily lead to misunderstanding here because this might be conceived as a piece of software for example relying on a certain code list. Maybe it is better to say:

"Release: a version/representation of an asset that can be accessed or downloaded" So it becomes clear that the code list itself is the asset.

<https://webgate.ec.europa.eu/CITnet/confluence/display/ISACV/ADMS+Section+5+Conceptual+model?focusedCommentId=56033391#comment-56033391>

Comments

Comment by [DEKKERS Makx](#) [06/Dec/11 17:34]

Included in Final Working Group Draft at

<https://webgate.ec.europa.eu/CITnet/confluence/display/ISACV/ADMS+Working+Group+Draft>

[ISACV-88] Raise the level of importance of the attribute "alternative title" for the finding task to highly important Created: 26/11/11 Updated: 27/11/11 Due: 29/11/11

Status:	Open
Project:	ISA e-Government Core Vocabularies
Component/s:	None
Affects Version/s:	None
Fix Version/s:	None

Type:	Documentation	Priority:	Major
Reporter:	SKLARß Sebastian	Assignee:	GOEDERTIER Stijn
Resolution:	Unresolved	Votes:	0
Labels:	ADMS		
Remaining Estimate:	Not Specified		
Time Spent:	Not Specified		
Original Estimate:	Not Specified		

Participant:	GOEDERTIER Stijn , SKLARß Sebastian
CITnet Message:	

Description

2. I would suggest to raise the level of importance of the attribute "alternative title" for the finding task to highly important (A)

Reason: The original title of an asset is at least as important as the English translation of it which will be stored in "title" for finding an asset. It is very likely that users might enter the original title in this case (e.g. if he is already aware that such an asset exists).

<https://webgate.ec.europa.eu/CITnet/confluence/display/ISACV/ADMS+Section+4+Use+cases?focusedCommentId=56033389#comment-56033389>

Comments

Comment by [GOEDERTIER Stijn](#) [27/Nov/11 23:09]

In v0.03, I have raised the importance of the attribute "alternative title" to highly important, although I am not sure that this property is used to capture the original title of an asset in its original language, as you say.

[ISACV-87] Indicating levels of importance with numbers "1-3" or with "A-C" rather than with symbols Created: 26/11/11 Updated: 06/12/11 Due: 29/11/11 Resolved: 06/12/11

Status:	Closed
Project:	ISA e-Government Core Vocabularies
Component/s:	None
Affects Version/s:	None
Fix Version/s:	None

Type:	Documentation	Priority:	Major
Reporter:	SKLARß Sebastian	Assignee:	GOEDERTIER Stijn
Resolution:	Fixed	Votes:	0
Labels:	ADMS		
Remaining Estimate:	0 minutes		
Time Spent:	Not Specified		
Original Estimate:	Not Specified		

Participant:	GOEDERTIER Stijn , SKLARß Sebastian
CITnet Message:	

Description

1. There are three different symbols in the table to indicate the importance of an attribute for a certain task.

--> I would suggest indicating these levels rather with numbers "1-3" or with "A-C". It makes it much easier to refer to them.

<https://webgate.ec.europa.eu/CITnet/confluence/display/ISACV/ADMS+Section+4+Use+cases?focusedCommentId=56033389#comment-56033389>

Comments

Comment by [GOEDERTIER Stijn](#) [27/Nov/11 23:08]

I would prefer keeping the current indicators, as they are borrowed from both FRBR and FRSAD.

FRBR International Federation of Library Associations and Institutions (2008). Functional requirements for bibliographic records.

<http://www.ifla.org/publications/functional-requirements-for-bibliographic-records>

FRSAD International Federation of Library Associations and Institutions (2010). Functional

Requirements for Subject Authority Data (FRSAD), A Conceptual Model.
<http://www.ifla.org/en/node/1297>

[ISACV-86] [List Status in the high importance properties for select use case](#)

Created: 26/11/11 Updated: 06/12/11 Due: 29/11/11 Resolved: 06/12/11

Status:	Closed
Project:	ISA e-Government Core Vocabularies
Component/s:	None
Affects Version/s:	None
Fix Version/s:	None

Type:	Documentation	Priority:	Major
Reporter:	SHUKAIR Gofran	Assignee:	GOEDERTIER Stijn
Resolution:	Fixed	Votes:	0
Labels:	ADMS		
Remaining Estimate:	0 minutes		
Time Spent:	Not Specified		
Original Estimate:	Not Specified		
Environment:	ADMS Section 4 Use cases		

Participant:	DEKKERS Makx , GOEDERTIER Stijn , SHUKAIR Gofran
CITnet Message:	

Description

I suggest that status should be listed in the high importance properties for select use case

<https://webgate.ec.europa.eu/CITnet/confluence/display/ISACV/ADMS+Section+4+Use+cases?focusedCommentId=55148813#comment-55148813>

Comments

Comment by [DEKKERS Makx](#) [06/Dec/11 14:53]

As decided in 29 November meeting.

<https://webgate.ec.europa.eu/CITnet/confluence/display/ISACV/Virtual+Meeting+2011.11.29>

Comment by [GOEDERTIER Stijn](#) [27/Nov/11 22:19]

Status will be a "high importance" attribute for the "select" user task as from v0.03. Please note that it already was a "medium importance" attribute for the "Identify" user task.

To indicate the relative importance of a property / relationship, I have used to FRBR [1] as a guideline. The text now will read:

To select semantic assets, high importance is attributed to metadata properties and

relationships that are a significant indicator of the asset's content (format, asset type, core concept, concept, status) or that may signal requirements for viewing or reusing the asset (licence, language). Medium importance is attributed to metadata that only in specific cases indicate an asset's content (domain, subject, spatial coverage, usage)

[1] <http://www.ifla.org/publications/functional-requirements-for-bibliographic-records>

Please let me know whether you agree with this update.

[ISACV-85] [Add EXPLORE user task](#) Created: 26/11/11 Updated: 06/12/11 Due: 29/11/11 Resolved: 06/12/11

Status:	Closed
Project:	ISA e-Government Core Vocabularies
Component/s:	None
Affects Version/s:	None
Fix Version/s:	None

Type:	Documentation	Priority:	Major
Reporter:	BREAM Carol	Assignee:	GOEDERTIER Stijn
Resolution:	Fixed	Votes:	0
Labels:	ADMS		
Remaining Estimate:	0 minutes		
Time Spent:	Not Specified		
Original Estimate:	Not Specified		
Environment:	ADMS Section 4 Use cases		

Participant:	BREAM Carol , DEKKERS Makx , GOEDERTIER Stijn
CITnet Message:	

Description

I just read the IFLA Working group report on Functional requirements for Subject Authority data (FRSAD). They added another user task to those described in FRBR below. This is the EXPLORE relationship.

<https://webgate.ec.europa.eu/CITnet/confluence/display/ISACV/ADMS+Section+4+Use+cases?focusedCommentId=53740497#comment-53740497>

And:

Marcia Zeng:

Please add back the 'explore' functional requirement:

Further rationale at:

<https://webgate.ec.europa.eu/CITnet/confluence/display/ISACV/ADMS+Section+4+Use+cases?focusedCommentId=55542633#comment-55542633>

Comments

Comment by [DEKKERS Makx](#) [06/Dec/11 14:53]

As decided in 29 November meeting.

<https://webgate.ec.europa.eu/CITnet/confluence/display/ISACV/Virtual+Meeting+2011.11.29>

Comment by [GOEDERTIER Stijn](#) [29/Nov/11 10:11]

Thanks, Carol and Marcia for sharing this improvement. The explore user task has been incorporated into use case 1 (v0.03). Should I remove use case 2 and use case 3?

<https://webgate.ec.europa.eu/CITnet/confluence/display/ISACV/ADMS+Section+4+Use+cases?focusedCommentId=53740497#comment-53740497>

[ISACV-84] [Rework and enrich semantic statements \(definitions\) for adms attributes](#) Created: 25/11/11 Updated: 06/12/11 Resolved: 06/12/11

Status:	Closed
Project:	ISA e-Government Core Vocabularies
Component/s:	None
Affects Version/s:	None
Fix Version/s:	None

Type:	Documentation	Priority:	Major
Reporter:	SKLARß Sebastian	Assignee:	Unassigned
Resolution:	Fixed	Votes:	0
Labels:	ADMS		
Remaining Estimate:	0 minutes		
Time Spent:	Not Specified		
Original Estimate:	Not Specified		

Participant:	BREAM Carol , DEKKERS Makx , SKLARß Sebastian
CITnet Message:	

Description

Here a suggestion for improvement of the documentation around the current conceptual data model:

1.

Release: an implementation of an asset that can be accessed or downloaded

I think that "implementation" can easily lead to misunderstanding here because this might be conceived as a piece of software for example relying on a certain code list.

Maybe it is better to say:

Release: a version/representation of an asset that can be accessed or downloaded

2.

Some definitions could be enriched by examples (once we agreed on the available types) for a better understanding.

Asset type: type of Asset that is being described
to be enriched with examples to:

Asset type: type of an Asset (e.g. metadata schema, code list, taxonomy)

Comments

Comment by [DEKKERS Makx](#) [26/Nov/11 21:35]

Split into two issues: <https://webgate.ec.europa.eu/CITnet/jira/browse/ISACV-89> and <https://webgate.ec.europa.eu/CITnet/jira/browse/ISACV-90>

Comment by [BREAM Carol](#) [25/Nov/11 23:15]

I agree with these comments - much clearer for "decision makers" in organisations; who may have no background in the "technical" terminology..

[ISACV-82] [Replace reference to RFC4646 by RFC5646](#) Created: 24/11/11 Updated: 06/12/11
Due: 29/11/11 Resolved: 06/12/11

Status:	Closed
Project:	ISA e-Government Core Vocabularies
Component/s:	None
Affects Version/s:	None
Fix Version/s:	None

Type:	Documentation	Priority:	Minor
Reporter:	SKLARß Sebastian	Assignee:	DEKKERS Makx
Resolution:	Fixed	Votes:	0
Labels:	ADMS		
Remaining Estimate:	0 minutes		
Time Spent:	Not Specified		
Original Estimate:	Not Specified		
Environment:	ADMS Section 7 ADMS taxonomies		

Participant:	DEKKERS Makx , SKLARß Sebastian
CITnet Message:	

Description

Just a minor thing:

In the document and in the conceptional model RFC 4646 is quoted as one possible reference for languages.

RFC was obsoleted by RF5646 so probably we should use the latest stable RFC.

<https://webgate.ec.europa.eu/CITnet/confluence/display/ISACV/ADMS+Section+7+ADMS+taxonomies?focusedCommentId=55543223#comment-55543223>

Comments

Comment by [DEKKERS Makx](#) [06/Dec/11 17:36]

Included in Final Working Group Draft at

<https://webgate.ec.europa.eu/CITnet/confluence/display/ISACV/ADMS+Working+Group+Draft>

Comment by [DEKKERS Makx](#) [24/Nov/11 13:12]

Thanks Sebastian. I will create a minor issue in JIRA to be taken care of in the next version.

[ISACV-81] [Edit section on subject vocabularies](#) Created: 23/11/11 Updated: 06/12/11 Due: 29/11/11 Resolved: 06/12/11

Status:	Closed
Project:	ISA e-Government Core Vocabularies
Component/s:	None
Affects Version/s:	None
Fix Version/s:	None

Type:	Documentation	Priority:	Minor
Reporter:	ZENG Marcia lei	Assignee:	DEKKERS Makx
Resolution:	Fixed	Votes:	0
Labels:	ADMS		
Remaining Estimate:	0 minutes		
Time Spent:	Not Specified		
Original Estimate:	Not Specified		
Environment:	ADMS Section 7 ADMS taxonomies		

Participant:	DEKKERS Makx , ZENG Marcia lei
CITnet Message:	

Description

Section 1.8 Subject vocabularies

1. delete 'a'.
2. add 'representing concepts' after the word 'terms'.

It becomes: "...and it should be recommended that terms representing concepts should be assigned ..."

<https://webgate.ec.europa.eu/CITnet/confluence/display/ISACV/ADMS+Section+7+ADMS+taxonomies?focusedCommentId=55542632#comment-55542632>

Comments

Comment by [DEKKERS Makx](#) [06/Dec/11 17:36]

Included in Final Working Group Draft at

<https://webgate.ec.europa.eu/CITnet/confluence/display/ISACV/ADMS+Working+Group+Draft>

[ISACV-80] [Add recommendations to section on subject vocabularies](#) Created: 23/11/11 Updated: 23/11/11 Due: 29/11/11

Status:	Draft
Project:	ISA e-Government Core Vocabularies
Component/s:	None
Affects Version/s:	None
Fix Version/s:	None

Type:	Documentation	Priority:	Major
Reporter:	ZENG Marcia lei	Assignee:	DEKKERS Makx
Resolution:	Unresolved	Votes:	0
Labels:	ADMS		
Remaining Estimate:	Not Specified		
Time Spent:	Not Specified		
Original Estimate:	Not Specified		
Environment:	ADMS Section 7 ADMS taxonomies		

Participant:	DEKKERS Makx , ZENG Marcia lei
CITnet Message:	Remember to use the workflow action Submit Issue when your request is ready to be processed.

Description

Section 1.8 Subject vocabularies

Suggest to consider these at the end of the first paragraph:

1. Use of the vocabularies that are already released as Linked data is desired.
2. Provide concept's unique http URI when it is available.
3. When using a multilingual vocabulary, if a non-English subject term is to be used, be sure to also provide preferred English term.

<https://webgate.ec.europa.eu/CITnet/confluence/display/ISACV/ADMS+Section+7+ADMS+taxonomies?focusedCommentId=55542631#comment-55542631>

[ISACV-79] [Maybe the whole resource type is un-applicable?](#) Created: 23/11/11 Updated: 06/12/11 Due: 29/11/11 Resolved: 06/12/11

Status:	Closed
Project:	ISA e-Government Core Vocabularies
Component/s:	None
Affects Version/s:	None
Fix Version/s:	None

Type:	Documentation	Priority:	Major
Reporter:	ZENG Marcia lei	Assignee:	Unassigned
Resolution:	Fixed	Votes:	0
Labels:	ADMS		
Remaining Estimate:	0 minutes		
Time Spent:	Not Specified		
Original Estimate:	Not Specified		
Environment:	ADMS Section 7 ADMS taxonomies		

Participant:	DEKKERS Makx , ZENG Marcia lei
CITnet Message:	

Description

Section 1.1 Interoperability level, Asset type and Resource Type Vocabularies

Resource Type

1. The problem of this column in this table is to fix the 'asset type' with 'resource type'. One asset (e.g., a thesaurus) can be released in various resource types, text only, datasets, etc.
2. All of these asset types can be considered as text, e.g., the specification of metadata is also expressed as text.
3. I do not understand why 'metadata' is there for those asset types. Is this the distinguishing between structured and unstructured data?

Maybe the whole resource type is un-applicable?

<https://webgate.ec.europa.eu/CITnet/confluence/display/ISACV/ADMS+Section+7+ADMS+taxonomies?focusedCommentId=55542628#comment-55542628>

Comments

Comment by [DEKKERS Makx](#) [06/Dec/11 17:17]

As decided in 29 November meeting.

<https://webgate.ec.europa.eu/CITnet/confluence/display/ISACV/Virtual+Meeting+2011.11.29>

[ISACV-78] [What is the geographic reference?](#) Created: 23/11/11 Updated: 06/12/11 Due: 29/11/11
Resolved: 06/12/11

Status:	Closed
Project:	ISA e-Government Core Vocabularies
Component/s:	None
Affects Version/s:	None
Fix Version/s:	None

Type:	Documentation	Priority:	Major
Reporter:	ZENG Marcia lei	Assignee:	DEKKERS Makx
Resolution:	Fixed	Votes:	0
Labels:	ADMS		
Remaining Estimate:	0 minutes		
Time Spent:	Not Specified		
Original Estimate:	Not Specified		
Environment:	ADMS Section 7 ADMS taxonomies		

Participant:	DEKKERS Makx , ZENG Marcia lei
CITnet Message:	

Description

Section 1.1 Interoperability level, Asset type and Resource Type Vocabularies

Asset Type

3. What is the geographic reference? = Gazetteers? An example would be helpful

<https://webgate.ec.europa.eu/CITnet/confluence/display/ISACV/ADMS+Section+7+ADMS+taxonomies?focusedCommentId=55542627#comment-55542627>

Comments

Comment by [DEKKERS Makx](#) [06/Dec/11 17:18]

As decided in 29 November meeting.

<https://webgate.ec.europa.eu/CITnet/confluence/display/ISACV/Virtual+Meeting+2011.11.29>

Comment by [DEKKERS Makx](#) [23/Nov/11 12:17]

Yes gazetteers would be classified as geographic reference, as would geonames, FAO Geopolitical Ontology etc.

[ISACV-77] [Add holders of others that do not belong to the specified types](#)

Created: 23/11/11 Updated: 06/12/11 Due: 29/11/11 Resolved: 06/12/11

Status:	Closed
Project:	ISA e-Government Core Vocabularies
Component/s:	None
Affects Version/s:	None
Fix Version/s:	None

Type:	Documentation	Priority:	Major
Reporter:	ZENG Marcia lei	Assignee:	Unassigned
Resolution:	Fixed	Votes:	0
Labels:	ADMS		
Remaining Estimate:	0 minutes		
Time Spent:	Not Specified		
Original Estimate:	Not Specified		
Environment:	ADMS Section 7 ADMS taxonomies		

Participant:	DEKKERS Makx , ZENG Marcia lei
CITnet Message:	

Description

Section 1.1 Interoperability level, Asset type and Resource Type Vocabularies

Asset Type

1. If this is a list of specified asset Types, for each category there is a need for a holder of others that do not belong to the specified types. Usually an upper level general term is a holder or an 'other' slot is used a holder.

<https://webgate.ec.europa.eu/CITnet/confluence/display/ISACV/ADMS+Section+7+ADMS+taxonomies?focusedCommentId=55542627#comment-55542627>

Comments

Comment by [DEKKERS Makx](#) [06/Dec/11 15:13]

As decided in 29 November meeting.

<https://webgate.ec.europa.eu/CITnet/confluence/display/ISACV/Virtual+Meeting+2011.11.29>

Comment by [DEKKERS Makx](#) [23/Nov/11 12:14]

The idea is that if there is something that does not fit one of the types, a new type should be

added. A type "other" does not survive additions to the list; e.g. if you have A, B, other and then update the list to be A, B, C, other, the two "other"s have different semantics, and if you have classified something of type C as other before the update of the list, it will be wrongly classified after the update.

[ISACV-76] [What does "Interoperability Level" mean?](#) Created: 23/11/11 Updated: 06/12/11
Due: 29/11/11 Resolved: 06/12/11

Status:	Closed
Project:	ISA e-Government Core Vocabularies
Component/s:	None
Affects Version/s:	None
Fix Version/s:	None

Type:	Documentation	Priority:	Major
Reporter:	ZENG Marcia lei	Assignee:	DEKKERS Makx
Resolution:	Fixed	Votes:	0
Labels:	ADMS		
Remaining Estimate:	0 minutes		
Time Spent:	Not Specified		
Original Estimate:	Not Specified		
Environment:	ADMS Section 7 ADMS taxonomies		

Participant:	DEKKERS Makx , ZENG Marcia lei
CITnet Message:	

Description

Section 1.1 Interoperability level, Asset type and Resource Type Vocabularies

"The table below gives the relationships between the vocabularies for the entities Interoperability Level, Asset Type and Resource Type."

EIF Interoperability Level Asset Type Resource Type

I could not tell why these are called 'Entities Interoperability Level'. They are general categories, aren't they?

The labels also do not indicate they have either 'levels' or 'interoperability' action.

<https://webgate.ec.europa.eu/CITnet/confluence/display/ISACV/ADMS+Section+7+ADMS+taxonomies?focusedCommentId=55542626#comment-55542626>

Comments

Comment by [DEKKERS Makx](#) [06/Dec/11 17:17]

As decided in 29 November meeting.

<https://webgate.ec.europa.eu/CITnet/confluence/display/ISACV/Virtual+Meeting+2011.11.2>

9

Comment by [DEKKERS Makx](#) [23/Nov/11 12:12]

Marcia, these terms are from the European Interoperability Framework v2.0 (http://ec.europa.eu/isa/documents/isa_annex_ii_eif_en.pdf). I will add that as explanation with the reference.

[ISACV-75] [Revise definitions of controlled vocabularies, taxonomies, thesauri and ontologies](#) Created: 23/11/11 Updated: 06/12/11 Due: 29/11/11 Resolved: 06/12/11

Status:	Closed
Project:	ISA e-Government Core Vocabularies
Component/s:	None
Affects Version/s:	None
Fix Version/s:	None

Type:	Documentation	Priority:	Major
Reporter:	ZENG Marcia lei	Assignee:	DEKKERS Makx
Resolution:	Fixed	Votes:	0
Labels:	ADMS		
Remaining Estimate:	0 minutes		
Time Spent:	Not Specified		
Original Estimate:	Not Specified		
Environment:	ADMS Section 7 ADMS taxonomies		

Participant:	DEKKERS Makx , ZENG Marcia lei
CITnet Message:	

Description

Section 1. Controlled Vocabularies. Line 3, when explanation 'controlled vocabularies':

I checked the source and did not find such oversimplified and problematic statement. The explanation of taxonomies and ontologies are major problems. Also since this is not a published tangible product we probably should not cite it.

I wonder: 1. Maybe not to include ontologies – this document has not included an ontology in the list of controlled vocabularies. 2. Maybe we do not need to define them because the types and features have no clear boundary. 3. Probably we can use the definitions from the ISO25964-1 if needed. I have the copy.

<https://webgate.ec.europa.eu/CITnet/confluence/display/ISACV/ADMS+Section+7+ADMS+taxonomies?focusedCommentId=55542625#comment-55542625>

Comments

Comment by [DEKKERS Makx](#) [06/Dec/11 17:18]

As decided in 29 November meeting.

<https://webgate.ec.europa.eu/CITnet/confluence/display/ISACV/Virtual+Meeting+2011.11.2>

[9](#)

Comment by [DEKKERS Makx](#) [23/Nov/11 12:08]

Marcia, I did copy the characterizations verbatim from the source, but I am happy to use the definitions from the ISO standard. Can you propose text?

[ISACV-74] [ADMS Asset similar to the notion "dataset series"](#) Created: 23/11/11
Updated: 06/12/11 Due: 29/11/11 Resolved: 06/12/11

Status:	Closed
Project:	ISA e-Government Core Vocabularies
Component/s:	None
Affects Version/s:	None
Fix Version/s:	None

Type:	Documentation	Priority:	Major
Reporter:	PEREGO Andrea	Assignee:	DEKKERS Makx
Resolution:	Fixed	Votes:	0
Labels:	ADMS		
Remaining Estimate:	0 minutes		
Time Spent:	Not Specified		
Original Estimate:	Not Specified		
Environment:	ADMS section 5 Conceptual Model		

Participant:	DEKKERS Makx , PEREGO Andrea
CITnet Message:	

Description

As far as I can understand, an ADMS Asset is something conceptually different from a dataset. A dataset is a collection of data, whereas I see an ADMS Asset as a collection of (1 or more) datasets (its "data items") sharing some characteristics - in particular, they can be used to describe one or more "domains". For this reason, I think that an ADMS Asset is more similar to the notion "dataset series", as defined in ISO 19115:2003 ("collection of datasets sharing the same product specification") and used in INSPIRE for geospatial information.

<https://webgate.ec.europa.eu/CITnet/confluence/display/ISACV/ADMS+Section+5+Conceptual+model?focusedCommentId=55542618#comment-55542618>

Comments

Comment by [DEKKERS Makx](#) [06/Dec/11 17:16]

As decided in 29 November meeting.

<https://webgate.ec.europa.eu/CITnet/confluence/display/ISACV/Virtual+Meeting+2011.11.29>

Comment by [DEKKERS Makx](#) [23/Nov/11 12:09]

Maybe we should postpone a decision on how an ADMS Asset relates to classes in other schemas? It seems to me that there is no obvious match. For example, it seems to me that

<http://xmlns.com/foaf/spec/> could be described as an ADMS Asset, but is it a "dataset series"?

[ISACV-73] [Change cardinality of Asset Type](#) Created: 21/11/11 Updated: 06/12/11 Due: 29/11/11
Resolved: 06/12/11

Status:	Closed
Project:	ISA e-Government Core Vocabularies
Component/s:	None
Affects Version/s:	None
Fix Version/s:	None

Type:	Documentation	Priority:	Major
Reporter:	BORRAS John	Assignee:	DEKKERS Makx
Resolution:	Fixed	Votes:	0
Labels:	ADMS		
Remaining Estimate:	0 minutes		
Time Spent:	Not Specified		
Original Estimate:	Not Specified		
Environment:	ADMS Section 5 Conceptual model		

Participant:	BORRAS John , DEKKERS Makx
CITnet Message:	

Description

On our last call it was suggested that an Asset could consist of more than one Asset Type, eg a policy document could relate to several levels of interoperability. We haven't seen the specific examples of this yet but it would mean a change to the cardinality of this relationship.

<https://webgate.ec.europa.eu/CITnet/confluence/display/ISACV/ADMS+Section+5+Conceptual+model?focusedCommentId=55542091#comment-55542091>

Comments

Comment by [DEKKERS Makx](#) [06/Dec/11 17:25]

As decided in 29 November meeting.

<https://webgate.ec.europa.eu/CITnet/confluence/display/ISACV/Virtual+Meeting+2011.11.29>

[ISACV-72] [Revise quote from EIFv1](#) Created: 21/11/11 Updated: 06/12/11 Due: 29/11/11 Resolved: 06/12/11

Status:	Closed
Project:	ISA e-Government Core Vocabularies
Component/s:	None
Affects Version/s:	None
Fix Version/s:	None

Type:	Documentation	Priority:	Major
Reporter:	BORRAS John	Assignee:	DEKKERS Makx
Resolution:	Fixed	Votes:	0
Labels:	ADMS		
Remaining Estimate:	0 minutes		
Time Spent:	Not Specified		
Original Estimate:	Not Specified		
Environment:	ADMS Section 1 Background and objectives		

Participant:	BORRAS John , DEKKERS Makx
CITnet Message:	

Description

The second paragraph of section 1.1 refers to the EIF v1. This has now been superseded by EIF v2 so the reference and footnote need updating.

<https://webgate.ec.europa.eu/CITnet/confluence/display/ISACV/ADMS+Section+1+Background+and+objectives?focusedCommentId=55541994#comment-55541994>

Comments

Comment by [DEKKERS Makx](#) [06/Dec/11 17:33]

Included in Final Working Group Draft at

<https://webgate.ec.europa.eu/CITnet/confluence/display/ISACV/ADMS+Working+Group+Draft>

Comment by [DEKKERS Makx](#) [21/Nov/11 17:44]

Good point. However, the quote is from version 1.0. I propose to change the quote to "Semantic interoperability enables organisations to process information from external sources in a meaningful manner. It ensures that the precise meaning of exchanged information is understood and preserved throughout exchanges between parties" which is the quote from version 2, section 4.5 (URL http://ec.europa.eu/isa/documents/isa_annex_ii_eif_en.pdf)

[ISACV-70] [Correct additional info of Oriol Bausà Peris](#) Created: 21/11/11 Updated: 06/12/11
Due: 29/11/11 Resolved: 06/12/11

Status:	Closed
Project:	ISA e-Government Core Vocabularies
Component/s:	None
Affects Version/s:	None
Fix Version/s:	None

Type:	Documentation	Priority:	Major
Reporter:	Oriol BAUSÀ PERIS	Assignee:	DEKKERS Makx
Resolution:	Fixed	Votes:	0
Labels:	ADMS		
Remaining Estimate:	0 minutes		
Time Spent:	Not Specified		
Original Estimate:	Not Specified		
Environment:	ADMS section 12 Acknowledgements		

Participant:	DEKKERS Makx , Oriol BAUSÀ PERIS
CITnet Message:	

Description

<https://webgate.ec.europa.eu/CITnet/confluence/display/ISACV/ADMS+Section+12+Acknowledgements?focusedCommentId=55541838#comment-55541838>

In the acknowledgment list, I am presented as an expert from Greece and it should be:

External Expert, CEN Workshops GITB and BII

Comments

Comment by [DEKKERS Makx](#) [06/Dec/11 17:36]

Included in Final Working Group Draft at

<https://webgate.ec.europa.eu/CITnet/confluence/display/ISACV/ADMS+Working+Group+Draft>

[ISACV-69] [To share a sufficiently stable version of the ADMS with indication of what are the core concepts, properties, and relationships](#) Created: 14/11/11 Updated: 06/12/11 Due: 14/11/11 Resolved: 06/12/11

Status:	Closed
Project:	ISA e-Government Core Vocabularies
Component/s:	None
Affects Version/s:	None
Fix Version/s:	None

Type:	Documentation	Priority:	Major
Reporter:	DEKKERS Makx	Assignee:	DEKKERS Makx
Resolution:	Fixed	Votes:	0
Labels:	ADMS		
Remaining Estimate:	0 minutes		
Time Spent:	Not Specified		
Original Estimate:	Not Specified		
Environment:	ADMS mapping		

Participant:	DEKKERS Makx
CITnet Message:	

Description

Report November 9 meeting, item 14
<https://webgate.ec.europa.eu/CITnet/confluence/download/attachments/52037106/ADMS+WG+Virtual+Meeting+2011.11.09+-+Minutes+-+v0.03.docx?version=1&modificationDate=1321025119000>

[ISACV-68] [To see how the name space document of the ADMS will be published](#) Created: 14/11/11 Updated: 14/11/11

Status:	Open
Project:	ISA e-Government Core Vocabularies
Component/s:	None
Affects Version/s:	None
Fix Version/s:	None

Type:	Documentation	Priority:	Major
Reporter:	DEKKERS Makx	Assignee:	ARCHER Phil
Resolution:	Unresolved	Votes:	0
Labels:	ADMS		
Remaining Estimate:	Not Specified		
Time Spent:	Not Specified		
Original Estimate:	Not Specified		
Environment:	ADMS namespace		

Participant:	ARCHER Phil , DEKKERS Makx
CITnet Message:	

Description

Report November 9 meeting, item 13
<https://webgate.ec.europa.eu/CITnet/confluence/download/attachments/52037106/ADMS+WG+Virtual+Meeting+2011.11.09+-+Minutes+-+v0.03.docx?version=1&modificationDate=1321025119000>

Andrea Perego to support

[ISACV-67] [To connect with the Publications Office](#) Created: 14/11/11 Updated: 06/12/11 Due: 18/11/11 Resolved: 06/12/11

Status:	Closed
Project:	ISA e-Government Core Vocabularies
Component/s:	None
Affects Version/s:	None
Fix Version/s:	None

Type:	Meeting	Priority:	Major
Reporter:	DEKKERS Makx	Assignee:	PERISTERAS Vassilios
Resolution:	Fixed	Votes:	0
Labels:	ADMS		
Remaining Estimate:	0 minutes		
Time Spent:	Not Specified		
Original Estimate:	Not Specified		

Participant:	DEKKERS Makx , PERISTERAS Vassilios
CITnet Message:	

Description

Report November 9 meeting, item 11
<https://webgate.ec.europa.eu/CITnet/confluence/download/attachments/52037106/ADMS+WG+Virtual+Meeting+2011.11.09+-+Minutes+-+v0.03.docx?version=1&modificationDate=1321025119000>

Comments

Comment by [DEKKERS Makx](#) [06/Dec/11 17:37]

As decided in 29 November meeting.
<https://webgate.ec.europa.eu/CITnet/confluence/display>

[ISACV-66] [To add link to last version to the relationships of an Asset](#) Created: 14/11/11 Updated: 06/12/11 Due: 14/11/11 Resolved: 06/12/11

Status:	Closed
Project:	ISA e-Government Core Vocabularies
Component/s:	None
Affects Version/s:	None
Fix Version/s:	None

Type:	Documentation	Priority:	Major
Reporter:	DEKKERS Makx	Assignee:	DEKKERS Makx
Resolution:	Fixed	Votes:	0
Labels:	ADMS		
Remaining Estimate:	0 minutes		
Time Spent:	Not Specified		
Original Estimate:	Not Specified		
Environment:	ADMS modelling		

Participant:	DEKKERS Makx
CITnet Message:	

Description

Report November 9 meeting, item 10
<https://webgate.ec.europa.eu/CITnet/confluence/display/ISACV/Virtual+Meeting+2011.11.09>

Comments

Comment by [DEKKERS Makx](#) [06/Dec/11 17:38]
Included in Final Working Group Draft at
<https://webgate.ec.europa.eu/CITnet/confluence/display/ISACV/ADMS+Working+Group+Draft>

[ISACV-65] [To challenge the list of asset types, hereby concentrating on the semantic layer.](#) Created: 14/11/11 Updated: 06/12/11 Due: 18/11/11 Resolved: 06/12/11

Status:	Closed
Project:	ISA e-Government Core Vocabularies
Component/s:	None
Affects Version/s:	None
Fix Version/s:	None

Type:	Documentation	Priority:	Major
Reporter:	BORRAS John	Assignee:	Unassigned
Resolution:	Fixed	Votes:	0
Labels:	ADMS		
Remaining Estimate:	0 minutes		
Time Spent:	Not Specified		
Original Estimate:	Not Specified		
Environment:	ADMS Taxonomies		

Participant:	BORRAS John , DEKKERS Makx
CITnet Message:	

Description

Report of November 9 meeting, item 9
<https://webgate.ec.europa.eu/CITnet/confluence/download/attachments/52037106/ADMS+WG+Virtual+Meeting+2011.11.09+-+Minutes+-+v0.03.docx?version=1&modificationDate=1321025119000>

Comments

Comment by [DEKKERS Makx](#) [06/Dec/11 15:13]

As decided in 29 November meeting.

<https://webgate.ec.europa.eu/CITnet/confluence/display/ISACV/Virtual+Meeting+2011.11.29>

[ISACV-64] [To investigate options for FileFormat / MediaType](#) Created: 14/11/11
Updated: 06/12/11 Due: 18/11/11 Resolved: 06/12/11

Status:	Closed
Project:	ISA e-Government Core Vocabularies
Component/s:	None
Affects Version/s:	None
Fix Version/s:	None

Type:	Documentation	Priority:	Major
Reporter:	DEKKERS Makx	Assignee:	ARCHER Phil
Resolution:	Fixed	Votes:	0
Labels:	ADMS		
Remaining Estimate:	0 minutes		
Time Spent:	Not Specified		
Original Estimate:	Not Specified		

Participant:	ARCHER Phil , DEKKERS Makx , SHUKAIR Gofran
CITnet Message:	

Description

Report on November 9 meeting, item 8
<https://webgate.ec.europa.eu/CITnet/confluence/download/attachments/52037106/ADMS+WG+Virtual+Meeting+2011.11.09+-+Minutes+-+v0.03.docx?version=1&modificationDate=1321025119000>

Comments

Comment by DEKKERS Makx [06/Dec/11 17:29]
As decided in 29 November meeting. https://webgate.ec.europa.eu/CITnet/confluence/display/ISACV/Virtual+Meeting+2011.11.29
Comment by DEKKERS Makx [20/Nov/11 19:29]
What is the status of that dcat issue? Any suggestions from that group?
Comment by SHUKAIR Gofran [18/Nov/11 1:29]
This is similar to dcat issues: http://www.w3.org/egov/IG/track/issues/42

[ISACV-63] To verify in the mapping exercise that everything the existing repositories need is available in the model

Created: 14/11/11 Updated: 06/12/11 Due: 25/11/11 Resolved:

06/12/11

Status:	Closed
Project:	ISA e-Government Core Vocabularies
Component/s:	None
Affects Version/s:	None
Fix Version/s:	None

Type:	Documentation	Priority:	Major
Reporter:	DEKKERS Makx	Assignee:	GOEDERTIER Stijn
Resolution:	Fixed	Votes:	0
Labels:	ADMS		
Remaining Estimate:	0 minutes		
Time Spent:	Not Specified		
Original Estimate:	Not Specified		
Environment:	ADMS modelling		

Participant:	DEKKERS Makx , GOEDERTIER Stijn
CITnet Message:	

Description

Report 9 November meeting, item 6
<https://webgate.ec.europa.eu/CITnet/confluence/download/attachments/52037106/ADMS+WG+Virtual+Meeting+2011.11.09+-+Minutes+-+v0.03.docx?version=1&modificationDate=1321025119000>

Comments

Comment by [DEKKERS Makx](#) [06/Dec/11 17:26]

As decided in 29 November meeting.
<https://webgate.ec.europa.eu/CITnet/confluence/display/ISACV/Virtual+Meeting+2011.11.29>

[ISACV-62] [To create new version of ADMS Conceptual model without namespaces](#) Created: 14/11/11 Updated: 06/12/11 Due: 14/11/11 Resolved: 06/12/11

Status:	Closed
Project:	ISA e-Government Core Vocabularies
Component/s:	None
Affects Version/s:	None
Fix Version/s:	None

Type:	Documentation	Priority:	Major
Reporter:	DEKKERS Makx	Assignee:	DEKKERS Makx
Resolution:	Fixed	Votes:	0
Labels:	ADMS		
Remaining Estimate:	0 minutes		
Time Spent:	Not Specified		
Original Estimate:	Not Specified		

Participant:	DEKKERS Makx
CITnet Message:	

Description

Report of 89 November meeting, item 6
<https://webgate.ec.europa.eu/CITnet/confluence/download/attachments/52037106/ADMS+WG+Virtual+Meeting+2011.11.09+-+Minutes+-+v0.03.docx?version=1&modificationDate=1321025119000>

[ISACV-61] To provide feedback on use cases Created: 14/11/11 Updated: 06/12/11 Due: 18/11/11 Resolved: 06/12/11	
Status:	Closed
Project:	ISA e-Government Core Vocabularies
Component/s:	None
Affects Version/s:	None
Fix Version/s:	None

Type:	Documentation	Priority:	Major
Reporter:	DEKKERS Makx	Assignee:	Unassigned
Resolution:	Fixed	Votes:	0
Labels:	ADMS		
Remaining Estimate:	0 minutes		
Time Spent:	Not Specified		
Original Estimate:	Not Specified		
Environment:	ADMS Use cases		

Participant:	DEKKERS Makx , GOEDERTIER Stijn
CITnet Message:	

Description

Report on meeting 9 November, item 5
<https://webgate.ec.europa.eu/CITnet/confluence/download/attachments/52037106/ADMS+WG+Virtual+Meeting+2011.11.09+-+Minutes+-+v0.03.docx?version=1&modificationDate=1321025119000>

Comments

Comment by [DEKKERS Makx](#) [06/Dec/11 14:52]
 As decided in 29 November meeting.
<https://webgate.ec.europa.eu/CITnet/confluence/display/ISACV/Virtual+Meeting+2011.11.29>

Comment by [GOEDERTIER Stijn](#) [29/Nov/11 10:15]
 Several WG members commented on v0.02 via the wiki page:
<https://webgate.ec.europa.eu/CITnet/confluence/display/ISACV/ADMS+Section+4+Use+cases>

All comments have been raised as issues. Comments raised before 25/12 will be discussed in the Meeting of 29/11.



[ISACV-60] To include property to refer to concepts inside the asset Created: 14/11/11 Updated: 06/12/11 Due: 14/11/11 Resolved: 06/12/11	
Status:	Closed
Project:	ISA e-Government Core Vocabularies
Component/s:	None
Affects Version/s:	None
Fix Version/s:	None

Type:	Documentation	Priority:	Major
Reporter:	DEKKERS Makx	Assignee:	DEKKERS Makx
Resolution:	Fixed	Votes:	0
Labels:	ADMS		
Remaining Estimate:	0 minutes		
Time Spent:	Not Specified		
Original Estimate:	Not Specified		

Participant:	DEKKERS Makx , SHUKAIR Gofran
CITnet Message:	

Comments

Comment by DEKKERS Makx [06/Dec/11 17:19]
As decided in 29 November meeting. https://webgate.ec.europa.eu/CITnet/confluence/display/ISACV/Virtual+Meeting+2011.11.29
Comment by DEKKERS Makx [20/Nov/11 19:34]
The range of dct:Subject is (as far as I can see) wider, probably rdfs:Resource. Not sure if we should try to redefine ranges of existing terms?
Comment by SHUKAIR Gofran [18/Nov/11 1:30]
dct:subject with a skos:Concept as range looks sufficient. dcat also defines also theme property to describe the main category of dataset e.g. education, health...

[ISACV-59] [Share an example from the XRepository of a semantic asset that is of multiple types](#) Created: 11/11/11 Updated: 06/12/11 Resolved: 06/12/11

Status:	Closed
Project:	ISA e-Government Core Vocabularies
Component/s:	None
Affects Version/s:	None
Fix Version/s:	None

Type:	Task	Priority:	Major
Reporter:	GOEDERTIER Stijn	Assignee:	SKLARß Sebastian
Resolution:	Fixed	Votes:	0
Labels:	ADMS		
Remaining Estimate:	0 minutes		
Time Spent:	Not Specified		
Original Estimate:	Not Specified		

Participant:	DEKKERS Makx , GOEDERTIER Stijn , SKLARß Sebastian
CITnet Message:	

Description

Action from ADMS WG meeting
<https://webgate.ec.europa.eu/CITnet/confluence/display/ISACV/Virtual+Meeting+2011.11.09>

Comments

Comment by [DEKKERS Makx](#) [06/Dec/11 17:25]
 As decided in 29 November meeting.
<https://webgate.ec.europa.eu/CITnet/confluence/display/ISACV/Virtual+Meeting+2011.11.29>

[ISACV-58] [Update the mapping template and to invite repository owners to take part in the mapping exercise](#) Created: 11/11/11 Updated: 06/12/11 Resolved: 06/12/11

Status:	Closed
Project:	ISA e-Government Core Vocabularies
Component/s:	None
Affects Version/s:	None
Fix Version/s:	None

Type:	Task	Priority:	Major
Reporter:	GOEDERTIER Stijn	Assignee:	Unassigned
Resolution:	Fixed	Votes:	0
Labels:	ADMS		
Remaining Estimate:	0 minutes		
Time Spent:	Not Specified		
Original Estimate:	Not Specified		

Participant:	DEKKERS Makx , GOEDERTIER Stijn
CITnet Message:	

Description

Action from November 9 WG meeting

<https://webgate.ec.europa.eu/CITnet/confluence/display/ISACV/Virtual+Meeting+2011.11.09>

Comments

Comment by [DEKKERS Makx](#) [06/Dec/11 17:26]

As decided in 29 November meeting.

<https://webgate.ec.europa.eu/CITnet/confluence/display/ISACV/Virtual+Meeting+2011.11.29>

[ISACV-57] [Consider the use case of non-digital assets](#) Created: 11/11/11 Updated: 29/11/11

Status:	Open
Project:	ISA e-Government Core Vocabularies
Component/s:	None
Affects Version/s:	None
Fix Version/s:	None

Type:	Task	Priority:	Minor
Reporter:	GOEDERTIER Stijn	Assignee:	Unassigned
Resolution:	Unresolved	Votes:	0
Labels:	ADMS, usecase		
Remaining Estimate:	Not Specified		
Time Spent:	Not Specified		
Original Estimate:	Not Specified		

Participant:	GOEDERTIER Stijn , Peep KÜNGAS
CITnet Message:	

Description

Following a discussion on media types, Andrea Perigo raised the question whether assets can also be published in paper format or should we restrict providing metadata of assets in digital format only.

Noel Cuschieri wondered whether ADMS should also allow the use case to identify a suitable contact person to obtain non-digital assets.

Comments

Comment by [GOEDERTIER Stijn](#) [29/Nov/11 9:17]

If I understand it correctly, the demands of Noel Cuschieri and Peep Küngas are different:

- Noel wonders whether it would be useful to have a contact person relationship/property to be able to obtain semantic asset releases that are available in non-digital form.
- Peep argues that it is still useful to make available semantic assets that describe non-electronic information processing systems. These semantic assets could be available in either digital or non-digital form.

To address Noel's concern, **the WG must decide whether the current "publisher" relationship for asset will provide sufficient information for the user to obtain a non-**

digital semantic asset. Please note that currently, the ADMS specification does not impose any constraint on which publisher information is exchanged (only a URI or ID will be exchanged).

Comment by [Peep KÜNGAS](#) [25/Nov/11 0:07]

One of the potential use cases for collecting metadata about assets in non-digital format would be to facilitate transfer from non-electronic information processing systems to electronic ones. Imagine performing analysis of a non-electronic information processing system with and without metadata about its artifacts. Obviously with such metadata less efforts should be devoted during the analysis preparation phase. Thus from the perspective of media types it could even make sense to have support for a non-electronic media type.

[ISACV-56] [Provide information on the stability of DCAT](#) Created: 11/11/11 Updated: 14/11/11 Due: 25/11/11

Status:	Draft
Project:	ISA e-Government Core Vocabularies
Component/s:	None
Affects Version/s:	None
Fix Version/s:	None

Type:	Task	Priority:	Minor
Reporter:	GOEDERTIER Stijn	Assignee:	ARCHER Phil
Resolution:	Unresolved	Votes:	0
Labels:	ADMS		
Remaining Estimate:	Not Specified		
Time Spent:	Not Specified		
Original Estimate:	Not Specified		

Participant:	ARCHER Phil , GOEDERTIER Stijn
CITnet Message:	Remember to use the workflow action Submit Issue when your request is ready to be processed.

Description

ADMS WG meeting of November 9 2011: enquire about the stability of DCAT.

[ISACV-55] [Range of dcterms:publisher should be dcterms:Agent](#) Created: 07/11/11
Updated: 06/12/11 Due: 09/11/11 Resolved: 06/12/11

Status:	Closed
Project:	ISA e-Government Core Vocabularies
Component/s:	None
Affects Version/s:	None
Fix Version/s:	None

Type:	Documentation	Priority:	Major
Reporter:	ARCHER Phil	Assignee:	Unassigned
Resolution:	Fixed	Votes:	0
Labels:	ADMS		
Remaining Estimate:	0 minutes		
Time Spent:	Not Specified		
Original Estimate:	Not Specified		
Environment:	ADMS conceptual model		

Participant:	ARCHER Phil , DEKKERS Makx
CITnet Message:	

Description

<https://webgate.ec.europa.eu/CITnet/confluence/display/ISACV/ADMS+Section+5+Conceptual+model?focusedCommentId=53739819#comment-53739819>

I notice you've defined the range of dcterms:publisher as foaf:Organisation, but DCMI defines its range as dcterms:Agent - which might lead an inference engine to think the two were equivalent, just by using it

Comments

Comment by [DEKKERS Makx](#) [06/Dec/11 17:29]

As decided in 29 November meeting.

<https://webgate.ec.europa.eu/CITnet/confluence/display/ISACV/Virtual+Meeting+2011.11.29>

[ISACV-54] [Make URI property lowercase: adms:uri](#) Created: 07/11/11 Updated: 06/12/11
Due: 09/11/11 Resolved: 06/12/11

Status:	Closed
Project:	ISA e-Government Core Vocabularies
Component/s:	None
Affects Version/s:	None
Fix Version/s:	None

Type:	Documentation	Priority:	Major
Reporter:	ARCHER Phil	Assignee:	Unassigned
Resolution:	Fixed	Votes:	0
Labels:	ADMS		
Remaining Estimate:	0 minutes		
Time Spent:	Not Specified		
Original Estimate:	Not Specified		
Environment:	ADMS conceptual model, RDF schema		

Participant:	ARCHER Phil , DEKKERS Makx
CITnet Message:	

Description

<https://webgate.ec.europa.eu/CITnet/confluence/display/ISACV/ADMS+Section+5+Conceptual+model?focusedCommentId=53739819#comment-53739819>

I've made URI lower case (i.e. adms:uri) to be consistent with keeping property names lower case. I've also made this a sub property of dcterms:identifier and given it a range of xsd:anyURI, i.e. requiring a URI, not just any old string.

Comments

Comment by [DEKKERS Makx](#) [06/Dec/11 17:29]

As decided in 29 November meeting.

<https://webgate.ec.europa.eu/CITnet/confluence/display/ISACV/Virtual+Meeting+2011.11.29>

[ISACV-53] [Use dcat:keyword for adms:keyword](#) Created: 07/11/11 Updated: 06/12/11 Due: 09/11/11 Resolved: 06/12/11

Status:	Closed
Project:	ISA e-Government Core Vocabularies
Component/s:	None
Affects Version/s:	None
Fix Version/s:	None

Type:	Documentation	Priority:	Major
Reporter:	ARCHER Phil	Assignee:	Unassigned
Resolution:	Fixed	Votes:	0
Labels:	ADMS		
Remaining Estimate:	0 minutes		
Time Spent:	Not Specified		
Original Estimate:	Not Specified		
Environment:	ADMS conceptual model		

Participant:	ARCHER Phil , DEKKERS Makx
CITnet Message:	

Description

<https://webgate.ec.europa.eu/CITnet/confluence/display/ISACV/ADMS+Section+5+Conceptual+model?focusedCommentId=53739819#comment-53739819>

dcat:keyword is identical to adms:keyword

Comments

Comment by [DEKKERS Makx](#) [06/Dec/11 17:29]

As decided in 29 November meeting.

<https://webgate.ec.europa.eu/CITnet/confluence/display/ISACV/Virtual+Meeting+2011.11.29>

[ISACV-52] [Use dcat:Distribution for adms:Release](#) Created: 07/11/11 Updated: 06/12/11 Due: 09/11/11 Resolved: 06/12/11

Status:	Closed
Project:	ISA e-Government Core Vocabularies
Component/s:	None
Affects Version/s:	None
Fix Version/s:	None

Type:	Documentation	Priority:	Major
Reporter:	DEKKERS Makx	Assignee:	Unassigned
Resolution:	Fixed	Votes:	0
Labels:	ADMS		
Remaining Estimate:	0 minutes		
Time Spent:	Not Specified		
Original Estimate:	Not Specified		
Environment:	ADMS conceptual model		

Participant:	DEKKERS Makx , PEREGO Andrea , SHUKAIR Gofran
CITnet Message:	

Description

<https://webgate.ec.europa.eu/CITnet/confluence/display/ISACV/ADMS+Section+5+Conceptual+model?focusedCommentId=53739819#comment-53739819>

adms:Release is identical to dcat:Distribution (as are the related properties)

Comments

Comment by [DEKKERS Makx](#) [06/Dec/11 17:28]

As decided in 29 November meeting.

<https://webgate.ec.europa.eu/CITnet/confluence/display/ISACV/Virtual+Meeting+2011.11.29>

Comment by [PEREGO Andrea](#) [28/Nov/11 14:04]

+1 from me to Gofran's proposal. adms:Release may be similar to dcat:Distribution, but they are not equivalent.

Comment by [SHUKAIR Gofran](#) [18/Nov/11 1:40]

They are indeed very similar however I'm inclined to keep them separated and have adms:Release as rdfs:subClassof dcat:Distribution. For one reason, adms:Release looks more specific than dcat:Distribution. This gives us more flexibility describing properties of

adms:Release that donot apply to the general dcat:Distribution e.g. status .

[ISACV-51] [Use dcat:Catalog for adms:Repository](#) Created: 07/11/11 Updated: 06/12/11 Due: 09/11/11 Resolved: 06/12/11

Status:	Closed
Project:	ISA e-Government Core Vocabularies
Component/s:	None
Affects Version/s:	None
Fix Version/s:	None

Type:	Documentation	Priority:	Major
Reporter:	ARCHER Phil	Assignee:	Unassigned
Resolution:	Fixed	Votes:	0
Labels:	ADMS		
Remaining Estimate:	0 minutes		
Time Spent:	Not Specified		
Original Estimate:	Not Specified		
Environment:	ADMS conceptual model		

Participant:	ARCHER Phil , DEKKERS Makx
CITnet Message:	

Description

<https://webgate.ec.europa.eu/CITnet/confluence/display/ISACV/ADMS+Section+5+Conceptual+model?focusedCommentId=53739819#comment-53739819>

adms:Repository is identical to dcat:Catalog

Comments

Comment by [DEKKERS Makx](#) [06/Dec/11 17:28]

As decided in 29 November meeting.

<https://webgate.ec.europa.eu/CITnet/confluence/display/ISACV/Virtual+Meeting+2011.11.29>

[ISACV-50] [Decide on relationship between asset and its documentation](#) Created: 07/11/11 Updated: 06/12/11 Due: 09/11/11 Resolved: 06/12/11

Status:	Closed
Project:	ISA e-Government Core Vocabularies
Component/s:	None
Affects Version/s:	None
Fix Version/s:	None

Type:	Documentation	Priority:	Major
Reporter:	ARCHER Phil	Assignee:	Unassigned
Resolution:	Fixed	Votes:	0
Labels:	ADMS		
Remaining Estimate:	0 minutes		
Time Spent:	Not Specified		
Original Estimate:	Not Specified		
Environment:	ADMS Conceptual model		

Participant:	ARCHER Phil , DEKKERS Makx , PEREGO Andrea
CITnet Message:	

Description

<https://webgate.ec.europa.eu/CITnet/confluence/display/ISACV/ADMS+Section+5+Conceptual+model?focusedCommentId=53739819#comment-53739819>

In defining adms:documentation, you've given it a range of foaf:Document (dcterms:references has no such restriction). By asserting that range for adms:documentation, you're asserting that anything it points to is an instance of foaf:Document. Which is fine, but do you foresee it being used to point to an instance of adms:Documentation? In which case that's starting to make inferences about foaf:Documents and adms:Documentation which begins to look dodgy. Do you really need adms:Documentation?

I'd be inclined to use either:

- use dcterms:references in the way that DCAT looks set to do;
- use foaf:isPrimaryTopicOf (which has a range of foaf:Document)

Incidentally, an alternative might be wdrrs:describedby which is a general term that links thing A to thing B where B describes A but imposes no further restrictions on A or B. It's the one bit of POWDER that has seen some traction!

Comments

Comment by [DEKKERS Makx](#) [06/Dec/11 17:19]

As decided in 29 November meeting.

<https://webgate.ec.europa.eu/CITnet/confluence/display/ISACV/Virtual+Meeting+2011.11.29>

Comment by [PEREGO Andrea](#) [29/Nov/11 19:38]

Based on what discussed on [Linked patterns](#) (W3C SW Wiki), an additional alternative might be [foaf:page](#).

Anyway, in case we keep using `adms:documentation`, I would suggest defining it as a subproperty of [wdrs:describedby](#) or `foaf:page`.

NB: About `wdrs:describedby`, [Linked patterns](#) says:

wdrs:describedby

A predicate [defined](#) by the POWDER specification, intended for linking a resource to its description. It has been [discussed](#) as an equivalent to `rdfs:isDefinedBy` for instance (non-vocabulary) data, especially in the context of 303-less “[toucan publishing](#)”. So maybe it can be used as an equivalent to nose-following, again in cases where the latter is problematic. This is however complicated by an [error](#) in the POWDER spec.

Note that the “error” mentioned above has already been fixed (see [POWDER Errata](#) and [POWDER-S Vocabulary](#)). So, `wdrs:describedby` can point to any kind of `rdfs:Resource` (may it be a Web page, a PDF file, etc., or even a non-digital document - of course, provided that it is identified by a URI).

[ISACV-49] [Use DCAT namespace where ADMS concepts coincide with DCAT terms](#) Created: 07/11/11 Updated: 10/11/11 Due: 09/11/11 Resolved: 10/11/11

Status:	Closed
Project:	ISA e-Government Core Vocabularies
Component/s:	None
Affects Version/s:	None
Fix Version/s:	None

Type:	Documentation	Priority:	Major
Reporter:	ARCHER Phil	Assignee:	Unassigned
Resolution:	Fixed	Votes:	0
Labels:	ADMS		
Remaining Estimate:	0 minutes		
Time Spent:	Not Specified		
Original Estimate:	Not Specified		
Environment:	ADMS conceptual model		

Participant:	ARCHER Phil , DEKKERS Makx
CITnet Message:	

Description

<https://webgate.ec.europa.eu/CITnet/confluence/display/ISACV/ADMS+Section+5+Conceptual+model?focusedCommentId=53739819#comment-53739819>

Comments

Comment by [DEKKERS Makx](#) [10/Nov/11 11:13]

Will be taken up in contacts with DCAT community

[ISACV-48] [Decide on ADMS properties related to asset type](#) Created: 06/11/11 Updated: 06/12/11 Due: 09/11/11 Resolved: 06/12/11

Status:	Closed
Project:	ISA e-Government Core Vocabularies
Component/s:	None
Affects Version/s:	None
Fix Version/s:	None

Type:	Documentation	Priority:	Major
Reporter:	DEKKERS Makx	Assignee:	Unassigned
Resolution:	Fixed	Votes:	0
Labels:	ADMS		
Remaining Estimate:	0 minutes		
Time Spent:	Not Specified		
Original Estimate:	Not Specified		

Participant:	DEKKERS Makx , GOEDERTIER Stijn
CITnet Message:	

Description

A set of options is given at <https://webgate.ec.europa.eu/CITnet/confluence/display/ISACV/List+of+asset+types?focusedCommentId=53314105#comment-53314105> and <https://webgate.ec.europa.eu/CITnet/confluence/display/ISACV/List+of+asset+types?focusedCommentId=53739610#comment-53739610>.

Comments

Comment by [DEKKERS Makx](#) [06/Dec/11 17:19]

As decided in 29 November meeting.
<https://webgate.ec.europa.eu/CITnet/confluence/display/ISACV/Virtual+Meeting+2011.11.29>

Comment by [GOEDERTIER Stijn](#) [30/Nov/11 23:22]

John, thank you for your very interesting and relevant work. I may have some additions to your matrix... sorry for only contributing now to the feed.

- Business process model: Would you consider a "business process model" to be an organisational asset type? The EIF (v2) speaks of "business process alignment" as one of the recommendations at the organisational layer.
- Organisation model: Is this an additional organisational asset type?

- Business rule: Is this an additional organisational asset type? Business rules can constrain/define data models and actions, therefore, they could also be considered to be semantic assets...
- Software: Would you consider "Software" to be a technical interoperability asset? In my opinion it can be added to this list, as software is similar (but not the same) as "System/Service". Arguably not all software is to the benefit of interoperability, but this is also true for any other asset.

[ISACV-47] [Decide on proposed matrix of asset types](#) Created: 06/11/11 Updated: 07/12/11
Due: 09/11/11 Resolved: 06/12/11

Status:	Closed
Project:	ISA e-Government Core Vocabularies
Component/s:	None
Affects Version/s:	None
Fix Version/s:	None

Type:	Documentation	Priority:	Major
Reporter:	BORRAS John	Assignee:	PERISTERAS Vassilios
Resolution:	Fixed	Votes:	0
Labels:	ADMS		
Remaining Estimate:	0 minutes		
Time Spent:	Not Specified		
Original Estimate:	Not Specified		

Participant:	BORRAS John , DEKKERS Makx , Peep KÜNGAS , PERISTERAS Vassilios , VAN OVEREEM Arnold
CITnet Message:	

Description

A proposed matrix of asset types is available at
<https://webgate.ec.europa.eu/CITnet/confluence/download/attachments/51086581/Asset+Type+Matrix.rtf?version=1&modificationDate=1320332523000>.

Comments

Comment by [PERISTERAS Vassilios](#) [07/Dec/11 12:07]

Some comments:

a) We need definitions and examples for these assets types as it is not always easy to understand what is meant e.g. "organizational policy document".

b) At the organizational level:

I would add "Roles" as description of roles related to IOP, "Process Models" as generic and reusable model of how to execute specific processes (e.g. issue a certificate), "Organizational Structures" as template description for the organization e.g. of a central eGov unit.

c) For the semantic level:

Not sure for the difference between "Metadata Spec" and "Metadata Schema"

"Register of organizations" could be coupled by a registry of services. However we may want to move them up to the Organizational level. For Peep's comment, I think that the registry is the infrastructure, however here we document the list (or taxonomy of) organizations as an IOP asset and not the infrastructure that provides access to this asset (registry)

"Geographic reference" is not clear to me, examples, definition could help...

Technical level:

Not sure whether we want to have the "IOP Framework" here. E.g. the result would be to index EIF as a technical IOP asset, which obviously it is not.

We need to define and differentiate the "technical specification" from the specs what we have in the semantic layer.

System/Service is a bit vague. What do we mean by this?

The "resource type" is an interesting idea. We have text, metadata, schema, dataset, reference as possible values. Again we need to define what its value means. E.g. why "tech spec" is "Metadata"?

Comment by [DEKKERS Makx](#) [06/Dec/11 15:12]

As decided in 29 November meeting.

<https://webgate.ec.europa.eu/CITnet/confluence/display/ISACV/Virtual+Meeting+2011.11.29>

Comment by [Peep KÜNGAS](#) [25/Nov/11 0:29]

The currently referred list contains elements of different "granularity" - "Register of organizations" and "Geographic reference", for instance, are specific supporting systems (instances of asset types), but do not fit to the semantic section. In addition I think that Classification should be added to the list of Semantic Asset types - only a code list does not capture the taxonomic structure within classifications. I am also wondering whether we should add data models and similar to the technical level?

Another intriguing question would be on classifying semantically enriched structures, such as XSD and WSDL files having SA-WSDL model references inside?

Comment by [VAN OVEREEM Arnold](#) [09/Nov/11 15:23]

I have an alternative list (that should include your proposed list), is more abstract and is based on ISO 11179

- Objects
- Properties
- Value-spaces
- Relations
- Contexts
- Classifications
- Security-attributes
- Representations

[ISACV-46] To produce a new version of the specification, taking into account the decisions and issues raised during this meeting Created: 28/10/11 Updated: 28/10/11 Due:

26/10/11 Resolved: 28/10/11

Status:	Resolved
Project:	ISA e-Government Core Vocabularies
Component/s:	None
Affects Version/s:	None
Fix Version/s:	None

Type:	Documentation	Priority:	Major
Reporter:	DEKKERS Makx	Assignee:	DEKKERS Makx
Resolution:	Fixed	Votes:	0
Labels:	ADMS		
Remaining Estimate:	Not Specified		
Time Spent:	Not Specified		
Original Estimate:	Not Specified		
Environment:	ADMS WG technical meeting 24 October 2011		

Participant:	DEKKERS Makx
CITnet Message:	

Description

Action from agenda item 8 of meeting minutes at
<https://webgate.ec.europa.eu/CITnet/confluence/display/ISACV/Virtual+Meeting+2011.10.24>

Comments

Comment by [DEKKERS Makx](#) [28/Oct/11 19:13]

Working Group Draft published for comment at
<https://webgate.ec.europa.eu/CITnet/confluence/display/ISACV/ADMS+Working+Group+Draft>

[ISACV-45] [To request Sofia Zapounidou more information on the ADNOM vocabulary](#) Created: 28/10/11 Updated: 06/11/11 Due: 28/10/11 Resolved: 06/11/11

Status:	Closed
Project:	ISA e-Government Core Vocabularies
Component/s:	None
Affects Version/s:	None
Fix Version/s:	None

Type:	Documentation	Priority:	Major
Reporter:	DEKKERS Makx	Assignee:	DEKKERS Makx
Resolution:	Fixed	Votes:	0
Labels:	ADMS		
Remaining Estimate:	0 minutes		
Time Spent:	Not Specified		
Original Estimate:	Not Specified		
Environment:	ADMS WG technical meeting 24 October 2011		

Participant:	DEKKERS Makx
CITnet Message:	

Description

Action from agenda item 8 of meeting minutes at <https://webgate.ec.europa.eu/CITnet/confluence/display/ISACV/Virtual+Meeting+2011.10.24>

[ISACV-44] [To produce a list of asset types](#) Created: 28/10/11 Updated: 06/11/11 Due: 28/10/11
Resolved: 06/11/11

Status:	Closed
Project:	ISA e-Government Core Vocabularies
Component/s:	None
Affects Version/s:	None
Fix Version/s:	None

Type:	Documentation	Priority:	Major
Reporter:	DEKKERS Makx	Assignee:	BORRAS John
Resolution:	Fixed	Votes:	0
Labels:	ADMS		
Remaining Estimate:	0 minutes		
Time Spent:	Not Specified		
Original Estimate:	Not Specified		
Environment:	ADMS WG technical meeting 24 October 2011		

Participant:	BORRAS John , DEKKERS Makx
CITnet Message:	

Description

Action from agenda item 8 of meeting minutes at
<https://webgate.ec.europa.eu/CITnet/confluence/display/ISACV/Virtual+Meeting+2011.10.24>

Comments

Comment by [DEKKERS Makx](#) [06/Nov/11 18:54]

Proposal available at
<https://webgate.ec.europa.eu/CITnet/confluence/download/attachments/51086581/Asset+Type+Matrix.rtf?version=1&modificationDate=1320332523000>

[ISACV-43] [To produce a short list of asset statuses](#) Created: 28/10/11 Updated: 28/10/11 Due: 28/10/11 Resolved: 28/10/11

Status:	Resolved
Project:	ISA e-Government Core Vocabularies
Component/s:	None
Affects Version/s:	None
Fix Version/s:	None

Type:	Documentation	Priority:	Major
Reporter:	GOEDERTIER Stijn	Assignee:	SHUKAIR Gofran
Resolution:	Fixed	Votes:	0
Labels:	ADMS		
Remaining Estimate:	Not Specified		
Time Spent:	Not Specified		
Original Estimate:	Not Specified		
Environment:	ADMS WG technical meeting 24 October 2011		

Participant:	DEKKERS Makx , GOEDERTIER Stijn , SHUKAIR Gofran
CITnet Message:	

Description

Action from agenda item 8 of meeting minutes at <https://webgate.ec.europa.eu/CITnet/confluence/display/ISACV/Virtual+Meeting+2011.10.24>

Comments

Comment by [DEKKERS Makx](#) [28/Oct/11 19:07]

See: <https://webgate.ec.europa.eu/CITnet/confluence/display/ISACV/ADMS+Section+7+ADMS+taxonomies?focusedCommentId=52037148#comment-52037148>

[ISACV-42] [To remove adms:QualityLevel from the specification](#) Created: 28/10/11
 Updated: 28/10/11 Due: 26/10/11 Resolved: 28/10/11

Status:	Resolved
Project:	ISA e-Government Core Vocabularies
Component/s:	None
Affects Version/s:	None
Fix Version/s:	None

Type:	Documentation	Priority:	Major
Reporter:	DEKKERS Makx	Assignee:	DEKKERS Makx
Resolution:	Fixed	Votes:	0
Labels:	ADMS		
Remaining Estimate:	Not Specified		
Time Spent:	Not Specified		
Original Estimate:	Not Specified		
Environment:	ADMS WG technical meeting 24 October 2011		

Participant:	DEKKERS Makx
CITnet Message:	

Description

Action from agenda item 4 of meeting minutes at
<https://webgate.ec.europa.eu/CITnet/confluence/display/ISACV/Virtual+Meeting+2011.10.24>

Comments

Comment by [DEKKERS Makx](#) [28/Oct/11 19:02]
 Removed in updated model at
<https://webgate.ec.europa.eu/CITnet/confluence/display/ISACV/ADMS+Section+5+Conceptual+model>

[ISACV-41] [To incorporate MZ's comment on the details of searching.](#) Created: 28/10/11 Updated: 09/11/11 Due: 28/10/11 Resolved: 09/11/11

Status:	Resolved
Project:	ISA e-Government Core Vocabularies
Component/s:	None
Affects Version/s:	None
Fix Version/s:	None

Type:	Documentation	Priority:	Major
Reporter:	ZENG Marcia lei	Assignee:	GOEDERTIER Stijn
Resolution:	Fixed	Votes:	0
Labels:	ADMS		
Remaining Estimate:	Not Specified		
Time Spent:	Not Specified		
Original Estimate:	Not Specified		
Environment:	ADMS WG technical meeting 24 October 2011		

Issue Links:	Cloners
	clones ISACV-28 Suggestion to the first use case Closed
Participant:	GOEDERTIER Stijn , ZENG Marcia lei
CITnet Message:	

Description

Action from agenda item 2 of meeting minutes at <https://webgate.ec.europa.eu/CITnet/confluence/display/ISACV/Virtual+Meeting+2011.10.24>

Comments

Comment by [GOEDERTIER Stijn](#) [07/Nov/11 17:16]

Agenda item 2 says: "SG to incorporate MZ's comment on the details of searching."

This is the same as [ISACV-28](#)

[ISACV-40] [To investigate options to improve the sound quality](#) Created: 28/10/11
Updated: 09/11/11 Resolved: 09/11/11

Status:	Closed
Project:	ISA e-Government Core Vocabularies
Component/s:	None
Affects Version/s:	None
Fix Version/s:	None

Type:	Meeting	Priority:	Major
Reporter:	DEKKERS Makx	Assignee:	GOEDERTIER Stijn
Resolution:	Fixed	Votes:	0
Labels:	ADMS		
Remaining Estimate:	0 minutes		
Time Spent:	Not Specified		
Original Estimate:	Not Specified		
Environment:	ADMS WG kick-off 18 October 2011		

Participant:	DEKKERS Makx , GOEDERTIER Stijn
CITnet Message:	

Description

Action from agenda item 13 of meeting minutes at <https://webgate.ec.europa.eu/CITnet/confluence/display/ISACV/Virtual+Meeting+2011.10.18>

Comments

Comment by [GOEDERTIER Stijn](#) [09/Nov/11 10:01]

The sound quality during the first WG meeting was at times not good. It can be improved by taking simple measures:

- participants must be reminded to mute their audio connection (*1 both to mute and unmute) when they are not speaking;
- participants preferably connect using a normal telephone line (PSTN);
- participants must keep their mobile phone far from their telephone's microphone, to prevent electro-magnetic interference;
- VoIP connections (e.g. Skype) work fine, but only when sufficient bandwidth is available.

The Arkadin service is the only conference call system made available by the European

Commission. Unfortunately, there is no toll free or local connection point available. Participants who continue to experience audio connectivity problems can follow and contribute to the meeting via the chat window in which the scribe records the meeting. Contributions will be read out by the WG chair.

[ISACV-39] [To set up a poll and confirm the dates of the next meetings.](#) Created: 28/10/11 Updated: 03/11/11 Due: 19/10/11 Resolved: 28/10/11

Status:	Closed
Project:	ISA e-Government Core Vocabularies
Component/s:	None
Affects Version/s:	None
Fix Version/s:	None

Type:	Meeting	Priority:	Major
Reporter:	DEKKERS Makx	Assignee:	DEKKERS Makx
Resolution:	Fixed	Votes:	0
Labels:	ADMS		
Remaining Estimate:	0 minutes		
Time Spent:	Not Specified		
Original Estimate:	Not Specified		
Environment:	ADMS WG kick-off 18 October 2011		

Participant:	DEKKERS Makx
CITnet Message:	

Description

Action from agenda item 12 of meeting minutes at <https://webgate.ec.europa.eu/CITnet/confluence/display/ISACV/Virtual+Meeting+2011.10.18>

Comments

Comment by [DEKKERS Makx](#) [28/Oct/11 18:54]

Meetings scheduled see:
<https://webgate.ec.europa.eu/CITnet/confluence/display/ISACV/Virtual+Meeting+2011.11.09> and
<https://webgate.ec.europa.eu/CITnet/confluence/display/ISACV/Virtual+Meeting+2011.11.29>

[ISACV-38] To organize a technical meeting Created: 28/10/11 Updated: 03/11/11 Due: 24/10/11 Resolved: 28/10/11	
Status:	Closed
Project:	ISA e-Government Core Vocabularies
Component/s:	None
Affects Version/s:	None
Fix Version/s:	None

Type:	Meeting	Priority:	Major
Reporter:	DEKKERS Makx	Assignee:	DEKKERS Makx
Resolution:	Fixed	Votes:	0
Labels:	ADMS		
Remaining Estimate:	0 minutes		
Time Spent:	Not Specified		
Original Estimate:	Not Specified		
Environment:	ADMS WG kick-off 18 October 2011		

Participant:	DEKKERS Makx
CITnet Message:	

Description

Action from agenda item 12 of meeting minutes at <https://webgate.ec.europa.eu/CITnet/confluence/display/ISACV/Virtual+Meeting+2011.10.18>

Comments

Comment by [DEKKERS Makx](#) [28/Oct/11 18:52]

See <https://webgate.ec.europa.eu/CITnet/confluence/display/ISACV/Virtual+Meeting+2011.10.24>

[ISACV-37] [To provide feedback, if any, on value vocabularies.](#) Created: 28/10/11
Updated: 28/10/11 Due: 21/10/11 Resolved: 28/10/11

Status:	Closed
Project:	ISA e-Government Core Vocabularies
Component/s:	None
Affects Version/s:	None
Fix Version/s:	None

Type:	Documentation	Priority:	Major
Reporter:	DEKKERS Makx	Assignee:	Unassigned
Resolution:	Fixed	Votes:	0
Labels:	ADMS		
Remaining Estimate:	0 minutes		
Time Spent:	Not Specified		
Original Estimate:	Not Specified		
Environment:	ADMS WG kick-off 18 October 2011		

Participant:	DEKKERS Makx
CITnet Message:	

Description

Action from agenda item 10 of meeting minutes at <https://webgate.ec.europa.eu/CITnet/confluence/display/ISACV/Virtual+Meeting+2011.10.18>

[ISACV-36] [To provide feedback, if any, on the modelling for ADMS.](#) Created: 28/10/11 Updated: 28/10/11 Due: 21/10/11 Resolved: 28/10/11

Status:	Closed
Project:	ISA e-Government Core Vocabularies
Component/s:	None
Affects Version/s:	None
Fix Version/s:	None

Type:	Documentation	Priority:	Major
Reporter:	DEKKERS Makx	Assignee:	Unassigned
Resolution:	Fixed	Votes:	0
Labels:	ADMS		
Remaining Estimate:	0 minutes		
Time Spent:	Not Specified		
Original Estimate:	0 minutes		
Environment:	ADMS WG kick-off 18 October 2011		

Participant:	DEKKERS Makx
CITnet Message:	

Description

Action from agenda item 9 of meeting minutes at <https://webgate.ec.europa.eu/CITnet/confluence/display/ISACV/Virtual+Meeting+2011.10.18>

[ISACV-35] [To include the proposed use case on comparing metadata](#) Created: 28/10/11 Updated: 28/10/11 Resolved: 28/10/11

Status:	Resolved
Project:	ISA e-Government Core Vocabularies
Component/s:	None
Affects Version/s:	None
Fix Version/s:	None

Type:	Documentation	Priority:	Major
Reporter:	VAN HEE Niels	Assignee:	GOEDERTIER Stijn
Resolution:	Fixed	Votes:	0
Labels:	ADMS		
Remaining Estimate:	Not Specified		
Time Spent:	Not Specified		
Original Estimate:	Not Specified		
Environment:	ADMS WG kick-off 18 October 2011		

Participant:	DEKKERS Makx , GOEDERTIER Stijn , VAN HEE Niels
CITnet Message:	

Description

Action from agenda item meeting minutes at <https://webgate.ec.europa.eu/CITnet/confluence/display/ISACV/Virtual+Meeting+2011.10.18>

Comments

Comment by [DEKKERS Makx](#) [28/Oct/11 18:45]

Included in <https://webgate.ec.europa.eu/CITnet/confluence/display/ISACV/ADMS+Use+Cases>

[ISACV-34] [To comment on uses cases and provide ideas for potential use cases](#) Created: 28/10/11 Updated: 07/11/11 Resolved: 07/11/11

Status:	Resolved
Project:	ISA e-Government Core Vocabularies
Component/s:	None
Affects Version/s:	None
Fix Version/s:	None

Type:	Documentation	Priority:	Major
Reporter:	VAN HEE Niels	Assignee:	Unassigned
Resolution:	Fixed	Votes:	0
Labels:	ADMS		
Remaining Estimate:	Not Specified		
Time Spent:	Not Specified		
Original Estimate:	Not Specified		
Environment:	ADMS WG kick-off 18 October 2011		

Issue Links:	Subtask
	is a subtask of ISACV-28 Suggestion to the first use case Closed
Participant:	GOEDERTIER Stijn , VAN HEE Niels
CITnet Message:	

Description

Action from agenda item 7 of meeting minutes at <https://webgate.ec.europa.eu/CITnet/confluence/display/ISACV/Virtual+Meeting+2011.10.18>

Comments

Comment by [GOEDERTIER Stijn](#) [07/Nov/11 17:12]

"SG to include the proposed use case on comparing metadata."

This aspect has been incorporated into the first use case, which is now based on the FRBR. In the generic user task "select", the notion of comparing several items is included.

[ISACV-33] [To contribute to taxonomy of interoperability assets](#) Created: 28/10/11
Updated: 28/10/11 Due: 21/10/11 Resolved: 28/10/11

Status:	Closed
Project:	ISA e-Government Core Vocabularies
Component/s:	None
Affects Version/s:	None
Fix Version/s:	None

Type:	Documentation	Priority:	Major
Reporter:	DEKKERS Makx	Assignee:	Unassigned
Resolution:	Fixed	Votes:	0
Labels:	ADMS		
Remaining Estimate:	0 minutes		
Time Spent:	Not Specified		
Original Estimate:	0 minutes		
Environment:	ADMS WG kick-off 18 October 2011		

Participant:	DEKKERS Makx
CITnet Message:	

Description

Action from agenda item 6 at
<https://webgate.ec.europa.eu/CITnet/confluence/display/ISACV/Virtual+Meeting+2011.10.18>

[ISACV-32] [To share work on INSPIRE](#) Created: 28/10/11 Updated: 28/10/11 Resolved: 28/10/11

Status:	Resolved
Project:	ISA e-Government Core Vocabularies
Component/s:	None
Affects Version/s:	None
Fix Version/s:	None

Type:	Documentation	Priority:	Major
Reporter:	VAN HEE Niels	Assignee:	CRAGLIA Max
Resolution:	Fixed	Votes:	0
Labels:	ADMS		
Remaining Estimate:	Not Specified		
Time Spent:	Not Specified		
Original Estimate:	Not Specified		
Environment:	ADMS WG kick-off 18 October 2011		

Participant:	CRAGLIA Max , DEKKERS Makx , VAN HEE Niels
CITnet Message:	

Description

Action on agenda item 5 of
<https://webgate.ec.europa.eu/CITnet/confluence/display/ISACV/Virtual+Meeting+2011.10.18>

Comments

Comment by [DEKKERS Makx](#) [28/Oct/11 18:33]

Links to documentation at
<https://webgate.ec.europa.eu/CITnet/confluence/display/ISACV/Virtual+Meeting+2011.10.18?focusedCommentId=52037142#comment-52037142>

[ISACV-31] [To share information on UDEF](#) Created: 28/10/11 Updated: 10/11/11 Resolved: 10/11/11

Status:	Closed
Project:	ISA e-Government Core Vocabularies
Component/s:	None
Affects Version/s:	None
Fix Version/s:	None

Type:	Documentation	Priority:	Major
Reporter:	VAN HEE Niels	Assignee:	VAN OVEREEM Arnold
Resolution:	Fixed	Votes:	0
Labels:	ADMS		
Remaining Estimate:	0 minutes		
Time Spent:	Not Specified		
Original Estimate:	Not Specified		
Environment:	ADMS WG kick-off 18 October 2011		

Participant:	VAN HEE Niels , VAN OVEREEM Arnold
CITnet Message:	

Description

Action under agenda item 5 from meeting report
<https://webgate.ec.europa.eu/CITnet/confluence/display/ISACV/Virtual+Meeting+2011.10.18>

Comments

Comment by [VAN OVEREEM Arnold](#) [09/Nov/11 15:17]

Entrypoint for all published UDEF info: <http://www.opengroup.org/udef>
Development info UDEF is available at <https://wiki.opengroup.org/si-wiki/doku.php?id=projects>

[ISACV-30] [To share contact persons at Commission services](#) Created: 28/10/11 Updated: 28/10/11 Resolved: 28/10/11

Status:	Resolved
Project:	ISA e-Government Core Vocabularies
Component/s:	None
Affects Version/s:	None
Fix Version/s:	None

Type:	Documentation	Priority:	Major
Reporter:	VAN HEE Niels	Assignee:	BREAM Carol
Resolution:	Fixed	Votes:	0
Labels:	ADMS		
Remaining Estimate:	Not Specified		
Time Spent:	Not Specified		
Original Estimate:	Not Specified		
Environment:	ADMS kick-off 18 October		

Participant:	BREAM Carol , VAN HEE Niels
CITnet Message:	

Description

Action from meeting minutes
<https://webgate.ec.europa.eu/CITnet/confluence/display/ISACV/Virtual+Meeting+2011.10.18>, agenda item 5

[ISACV-29] [Present the formalized statements about IPR, for both the final work and the contributions](#) Created: 28/10/11 Updated: 14/11/11 Due: 25/11/11

Status:	Open
Project:	ISA e-Government Core Vocabularies
Component/s:	None
Affects Version/s:	None
Fix Version/s:	None

Type:	Documentation	Priority:	Major
Reporter:	VAN HEE Niels	Assignee:	FRADE RODRIGUES Joao
Resolution:	Unresolved	Votes:	0
Labels:	ADMS		
Remaining Estimate:	Not Specified		
Time Spent:	Not Specified		
Original Estimate:	Not Specified		
Environment:	ADMS WG kick-off 18 October 2011		

Participant:	FRADE RODRIGUES Joao , GOEDERTIER Stijn , VAN HEE Niels
CITnet Message:	

Description

Action item from kick-off meeting, item 3 in meeting minutes at <https://webgate.ec.europa.eu/CITnet/confluence/display/ISACV/Virtual+Meeting+2011.10.18>

Comments

Comment by [GOEDERTIER Stijn](#) [09/Nov/11 12:30]

The IPR statements are currently still under review by the EC lawyer.

[ISACV-28] [Suggestion to the first use case](#) Created: 28/10/11 Updated: 09/11/11 Due: 09/11/11
Resolved: 09/11/11

Status:	Closed
Project:	ISA e-Government Core Vocabularies
Component/s:	None
Affects Version/s:	None
Fix Version/s:	None

Type:	Issue	Priority:	Major
Reporter:	ZENG Marcia lei	Assignee:	GOEDERTIER Stijn
Resolution:	Fixed	Votes:	0
Labels:	ADMS		
Remaining Estimate:	0 minutes		
Time Spent:	Not Specified		
Original Estimate:	Not Specified		
Environment:	ADMS Use Cases		

Issue Links:	Cloners		
	is cloned by ISACV-41	To incorporate MZ's comment on the de...	Resolved
	Subtask		
	contains this subtask ISACV-34	To comment on uses cases and provide ...	Resolved
Participant:	GOEDERTIER Stijn , ZENG Marcia lei		
CITnet Message:			

Description

Suggestion to the first use case:

in addition to 'explore' function (when a user do not know what exist and want to explore) that is already included in the #1 use case, maybe also add the use cases for 'find', 'identify', and 'obtain' (when a user already know that there is something exist, and want to verify its status, its new version, its owner, etc. or if someone else translated in a particular language, and the availability).

The 'compare' (then 'select') use task is already added in 1bis.

(This is based on the FRBR report of user tasks: <http://archive.ifla.org/VII/s13/frbr/frbr3.htm> for bibliographic resources. Which says:

to find entities that correspond to the user's stated search criteria (i.e., to locate either a single entity or a set of entities in a file or database as the result of a search using an attribute or relationship of the entity);

to identify an entity (i.e., to confirm that the entity described corresponds to the entity sought, or to distinguish between two or more entities with similar characteristics);

to select an entity that is appropriate to the user's needs (i.e., to choose an entity that meets the user's requirements with respect to content, physical format, etc., or to reject an entity as being inappropriate to the user's needs);

to acquire or obtain access to the entity described (i.e., to acquire an entity through purchase, loan, etc., or to access an entity electronically through an online connection to a remote computer).

<https://webgate.ec.europa.eu/CITnet/confluence/display/ISACV/ADMS+Use+Cases?focusedCommentId=52036093#comment-52036093>

[ISACV-27] [Make sure that there is a one-to-one mapping between the ADMS asset types and types in existing repositories](#) Created: 28/10/11 Updated: 06/12/11 Due: 09/11/11

Resolved: 06/12/11

Status:	Closed
Project:	ISA e-Government Core Vocabularies
Component/s:	None
Affects Version/s:	None
Fix Version/s:	None

Type:	Issue	Priority:	Major
Reporter:	DEKKERS Makx	Assignee:	Unassigned
Resolution:	Fixed	Votes:	0
Labels:	ADMS		
Remaining Estimate:	0 minutes		
Time Spent:	Not Specified		
Original Estimate:	Not Specified		
Environment:	List of asset types		

Participant:	DEKKERS Makx
CITnet Message:	

Description

<https://webgate.ec.europa.eu/CITnet/confluence/display/ISACV/List+of+asset+types?focusedCommentId=52036759#comment-52036759>

Comments

Comment by [DEKKERS Makx](#) [06/Dec/11 15:12]

As decided in 29 November meeting.

<https://webgate.ec.europa.eu/CITnet/confluence/display/ISACV/Virtual+Meeting+2011.11.29>

[ISACV-26] Can we do with comprehensive list "set in stone", or should it be an open (extensible) list? Created: 28/10/11 Updated: 06/12/11 Due: 09/11/11 Resolved: 06/12/11

Status:	Closed
Project:	ISA e-Government Core Vocabularies
Component/s:	None
Affects Version/s:	None
Fix Version/s:	None

Type:	Issue	Priority:	Major
Reporter:	Priit PARMAKSON	Assignee:	Unassigned
Resolution:	Fixed	Votes:	0
Labels:	ADMS		
Remaining Estimate:	0 minutes		
Time Spent:	Not Specified		
Original Estimate:	Not Specified		
Environment:	List of asset types		

Participant:	DEKKERS Makx , Priit PARMAKSON
CITnet Message:	

Description

<https://webgate.ec.europa.eu/CITnet/confluence/display/ISACV/List+of+asset+types?focusedCommentId=52036435#comment-52036435>

Comments

Comment by [DEKKERS Makx](#) [06/Dec/11 15:12]

As decided in 29 November meeting.

<https://webgate.ec.europa.eu/CITnet/confluence/display/ISACV/Virtual+Meeting+2011.11.29>

[ISACV-25] Purpose of taxonomy: a) regulate asset types; b) facilitate search & discovery of assets; c) produce statistics; d) enable automated processing

Created: 28/10/11 Updated: 06/12/11 Due: 09/11/11 Resolved: 06/12/11

Status:	Closed
Project:	ISA e-Government Core Vocabularies
Component/s:	None
Affects Version/s:	None
Fix Version/s:	None

Type:	Issue	Priority:	Major
Reporter:	Priit PARMAKSON	Assignee:	Unassigned
Resolution:	Fixed	Votes:	0
Labels:	ADMS		
Remaining Estimate:	0 minutes		
Time Spent:	Not Specified		
Original Estimate:	Not Specified		

Participant:	DEKKERS Makx , Priit PARMAKSON
CITnet Message:	

Description

<https://webgate.ec.europa.eu/CITnet/confluence/display/ISACV/List+of+asset+types?focusedCommentId=52036435#comment-52036435>

Comments

Comment by [DEKKERS Makx](#) [06/Dec/11 15:11]

As decided in 29 November meeting.

<https://webgate.ec.europa.eu/CITnet/confluence/display/ISACV/Virtual+Meeting+2011.11.29>

[ISACV-24] [Ontology libraries, classifications and Creative Commons licenses as asset types](#) Created: 28/10/11 Updated: 06/12/11 Due: 09/11/11 Resolved: 06/12/11

Status:	Closed
Project:	ISA e-Government Core Vocabularies
Component/s:	None
Affects Version/s:	None
Fix Version/s:	None

Type:	Issue	Priority:	Major
Reporter:	KAUHANEN-SIMANAINEN Anne	Assignee:	Unassigned
Resolution:	Fixed	Votes:	0
Labels:	ADMS		
Remaining Estimate:	0 minutes		
Time Spent:	Not Specified		
Original Estimate:	Not Specified		
Environment:	List of asset types		

Participant:	DEKKERS Makx , KAUHANEN-SIMANAINEN Anne
CITnet Message:	

Description

Hi, one asset type could be ontology libraries, f.eg. <http://onki.fi/en/>

Ontologies could be also an asset type.

What about Classifications as an asset type?

On type of an asset could also be Creative Common Licences (Vocabulary containing the six main Creative Common Licences).

<https://webgate.ec.europa.eu/CITnet/confluence/display/ISACV/List+of+asset+types?focusedCommentId=52036002#comment-52036002>

Comments

Comment by [DEKKERS Makx](#) [06/Dec/11 15:11]

As decided in 29 November meeting.

<https://webgate.ec.europa.eu/CITnet/confluence/display/ISACV/Virtual+Meeting+2011.11.29>



[ISACV-23] [Structure asset types around policy products](#) Created: 28/10/11 Updated: 06/12/11 Due: 28/10/11 Resolved: 06/12/11

Status:	Closed
Project:	ISA e-Government Core Vocabularies
Component/s:	None
Affects Version/s:	None
Fix Version/s:	None

Type:	Issue	Priority:	Major
Reporter:	BORRAS John	Assignee:	Unassigned
Resolution:	Fixed	Votes:	0
Labels:	ADMS		
Remaining Estimate:	0 minutes		
Time Spent:	Not Specified		
Original Estimate:	Not Specified		
Environment:	List of asset types		

Participant:	BORRAS John , DEKKERS Makx
CITnet Message:	

Description

<https://webgate.ec.europa.eu/CITnet/confluence/display/ISACV/List+of+asset+types?focusedCommentId=51675141#comment-51675141>

Comments

Comment by [DEKKERS Makx](#) [06/Dec/11 15:11]

As decided in 29 November meeting.

<https://webgate.ec.europa.eu/CITnet/confluence/display/ISACV/Virtual+Meeting+2011.11.29>

[ISACV-22] [Would SemMF be useful?](#) Created: 28/10/11 Updated: 11/11/11 Due: 09/11/11 Resolved: 11/11/11

Status:	Resolved
Project:	ISA e-Government Core Vocabularies
Component/s:	None
Affects Version/s:	None
Fix Version/s:	None

Type:	Issue	Priority:	Major
Reporter:	HANSSENS Bart	Assignee:	Unassigned
Resolution:	Fixed	Votes:	0
Labels:	ADMS		
Remaining Estimate:	Not Specified		
Time Spent:	Not Specified		
Original Estimate:	Not Specified		
Environment:	ADMS Terminology and Taxonomy		

Participant:	GOEDERTIER Stijn , HANSSENS Bart
CITnet Message:	

Description

Would SemMF be useful ? <http://lod2.eu/Project/SemMF.html>

<https://webgate.ec.europa.eu/CITnet/confluence/display/ISACV/ADMS+Terminology+and+Taxonomy?focusedCommentId=52036959#comment-52036959>

Comments

Comment by [GOEDERTIER Stijn](#) [11/Nov/11 10:48]

According to the website: "SemMF is a flexible framework for calculating semantic similarity between objects that are represented as arbitrary RDF graphs. The framework allows taxonomic and non-taxonomic concept matching techniques to be applied to selected object properties."

<http://lod2.eu/Project/SemMF.html>

I think SemMF could be useful to calculate similarity between RDF vocabularies and compare semantic assets that can be represented as RDF graphs. In general, however, not every asset can be represented as an RDF graph, so this tool would not be generally applicable.



[ISACV-21] [Metadata and Reference Data as first level of taxonomy](#) Created: 28/10/11 Updated: 06/12/11 Due: 09/11/11 Resolved: 06/12/11

Status:	Closed
Project:	ISA e-Government Core Vocabularies
Component/s:	None
Affects Version/s:	None
Fix Version/s:	None

Type:	Issue	Priority:	Major
Reporter:	Priit PARMAKSON	Assignee:	Unassigned
Resolution:	Fixed	Votes:	0
Labels:	ADMS		
Remaining Estimate:	0 minutes		
Time Spent:	Not Specified		
Original Estimate:	Not Specified		
Environment:	ADMS Terminology and Taxonomy (probably better in List of asset types)		

Participant:	DEKKERS Makx , Priit PARMAKSON
CITnet Message:	

Description

D2.1 Report of Existing Semantic Asset Repositories (p 5) differentiates metadata and reference data. Semantic assets = Metadata + Reference data

This makes very much sense to me. Maybe these two categories may even form the first level of the taxonomy. (I've never felt comfortable with division to 'syntactic assets' and 'semantic assets'.

<https://webgate.ec.europa.eu/CITnet/confluence/display/ISACV/ADMS+Terminology+and+Taxonomy?focusedCommentId=52036444#comment-52036444>

Comments

Comment by [DEKKERS Makx](#) [06/Dec/11 15:10]

As decided in 29 November meeting.

<https://webgate.ec.europa.eu/CITnet/confluence/display/ISACV/Virtual+Meeting+2011.11.29>

[ISACV-20] [FAO Geopolitical ontology](#) Created: 28/10/11 Updated: 14/11/11 Due: 09/11/11 Resolved: 14/11/11

Status:	Closed
Project:	ISA e-Government Core Vocabularies
Component/s:	None
Affects Version/s:	None
Fix Version/s:	None

Type:	Issue	Priority:	Major
Reporter:	ZENG Marcia lei	Assignee:	DEKKERS Makx
Resolution:	Fixed	Votes:	0
Labels:	ADMS		
Remaining Estimate:	0 minutes		
Time Spent:	Not Specified		
Original Estimate:	Not Specified		
Environment:	ADMS Terminology and Taxonomy		

Participant:	DEKKERS Makx , ZENG Marcia lei
CITnet Message:	

Description

FAO Geopolitical ontology
The geopolitical ontology has been populated using FAO, UN and internationally recognized data sources.

The geopolitical ontology manages the following information:

Area types1:

Territories: self-governing, non-self-governing, disputed, other

Groups: geographic, economic, organizations, special groups

Data associated to areas:

Names (official, short and names for lists) in Arabic, Chinese, English, French, Spanish, Russian and Italian.

International codes: UN code - M49, ISO-3166 Alpha-2 and Alpha-3, UNDP code, GAUL code, FAOSTAT, AGROVOC and FAOTERM

Coordinates: maximum latitude, minimum latitude, maximum longitude, minimum longitude
DBPedia ID

Currency names and codes

Adjectives of nationality

Basic statistical data: country area, land area, agricultural land area, population and GDP

Relations:

Groups membership

Land borders

Historic changes: predecessor, successor, valid since2, valid until3

The geopolitical ontology is available for download in OWL format. It is also available in RDF format so that the content of the ontology is easy to explore and navigate.

<http://www.fao.org/countryprofiles/geoinfo.asp>

Comments

Comment by [DEKKERS Makx](#) [14/Nov/11 17:46]

Suggestions will be included in new draft

[ISACV-19] [Include UML Diagrams in the types](#) Created: 28/10/11 Updated: 06/12/11 Due: 09/11/11 Resolved: 06/12/11

Status:	Closed
Project:	ISA e-Government Core Vocabularies
Component/s:	None
Affects Version/s:	None
Fix Version/s:	None

Type:	Issue	Priority:	Major
Reporter:	SHUKAIR Gofran	Assignee:	Unassigned
Resolution:	Fixed	Votes:	0
Labels:	ADMS		
Remaining Estimate:	0 minutes		
Time Spent:	Not Specified		
Original Estimate:	Not Specified		
Environment:	ADMS Terminology and Taxonomy (probably more appropriately part of List of asset types)		

Participant:	DEKKERS Makx , SHUKAIR Gofran
CITnet Message:	

Description

what about UML diagrams , do we want to include them in the types? Some SEMIC.eu assets has UML diagrams in there releases and it can be reusable.

Comments

Comment by [DEKKERS Makx](#) [06/Dec/11 15:10]

As decided in 29 November meeting.

<https://webgate.ec.europa.eu/CITnet/confluence/display/ISACV/Virtual+Meeting+2011.11.29>

[ISACV-18] [Subject vocabularies](#) Created: 28/10/11 Updated: 14/11/11 Due: 09/11/11 Resolved: 14/11/11

Status:	Closed
Project:	ISA e-Government Core Vocabularies
Component/s:	None
Affects Version/s:	None
Fix Version/s:	None

Type:	Issue	Priority:	Major
Reporter:	ZAPOUNIDOU Sofia	Assignee:	DEKKERS Makx
Resolution:	Fixed	Votes:	0
Labels:	ADMS		
Remaining Estimate:	0 minutes		
Time Spent:	Not Specified		
Original Estimate:	Not Specified		
Environment:	ADMS Terminology and Taxonomy		

Participant:	DEKKERS Makx , ZAPOUNIDOU Sofia
CITnet Message:	

Description

*Subject: *As a librarian, I bring Library of Congress Vocabularies (6) and LoC Subjects (7) to your knowledge. LoC vocabularies are available as linked data.

6. <http://id.loc.gov/>

7. <http://id.loc.gov/authorities/subjects.html>

Comments

Comment by [DEKKERS Makx](#) [14/Nov/11 17:45]

Suggestions will be included in new draft

[ISACV-17] [Security level vocabulary](#) Created: 28/10/11 Updated: 28/10/11 Resolved: 28/10/11

Status:	Resolved
Project:	ISA e-Government Core Vocabularies
Component/s:	None
Affects Version/s:	None
Fix Version/s:	None

Type:	Issue	Priority:	Major
Reporter:	ZAPOUNIDOU Sofia	Assignee:	Unassigned
Resolution:	Won't Fix	Votes:	0
Labels:	ADMS		
Remaining Estimate:	Not Specified		
Time Spent:	Not Specified		
Original Estimate:	Not Specified		
Environment:	ADMS Terminology and Taxonomy		

Participant:	DEKKERS Makx , ZAPOUNIDOU Sofia
CITnet Message:	

Description

*Security level: *May be we can get ideas from US. Health Information KnowledgeBase (5) 5.
<http://ushik.ahrq.gov/ViewItemDetails?&system=mdr&itemKey=18487000&type=Value%20Domain&item=Security%20Level%20Code&show=details%20view>

Comments

Comment by [DEKKERS Makx](#) [28/Oct/11 13:01]

At virtual meeting 24 October, it was agreed not to cover security issues in the ADMS model. See item 4 at
<https://webgate.ec.europa.eu/CITnet/confluence/download/attachments/51087163/ADMS+WG+Virtual+Meeting+2011.10.24+-+Minutes+-+v0.03.docx?version=1&modificationDate=1319715593000>.

[ISACV-16] [Domain vocabularies](#) Created: 28/10/11 Updated: 14/11/11 Due: 09/11/11 Resolved: 14/11/11

Status:	Closed
Project:	ISA e-Government Core Vocabularies
Component/s:	None
Affects Version/s:	None
Fix Version/s:	None

Type:	Issue	Priority:	Major
Reporter:	ZAPOUNIDOU Sofia	Assignee:	DEKKERS Makx
Resolution:	Fixed	Votes:	0
Labels:	ADMS		
Remaining Estimate:	0 minutes		
Time Spent:	Not Specified		
Original Estimate:	Not Specified		
Environment:	ADMS Terminology and Taxonomy		

Participant:	DEKKERS Makx , ZAPOUNIDOU Sofia
CITnet Message:	

Description

*Domains: *Besides well-known national vocabularies such as UK IPSV, I found the United Nations COFOG vocabulary (1). I also found a past project named 'ADNOM: European administrative nomenclature' that seems interesting. The project team developed a multilingual vocabulary using Topic Maps. The most recent information I found regarding this project is 2006 (2) See also ppt (3). Maybe other experts in this group know more about this project. I found it interesting and if other experts in the ADMS Working Group find this work interesting too, we could contact the project team (4).

1. <http://unstats.un.org/unsd/cr/registry/regcst.asp?Cl=4&Lg=1&Top=1>
2. <http://www.springerlink.com/content/533445351207q732/>
3. http://www.slidefinder.net/a/adnom_topic_maps_european_administrative/6282258
4. http://www.topicmapslab.de/publications/topic_maps_for_european_administrative_nomenclature

Comments

Comment by [DEKKERS Makx](#) [14/Nov/11 17:45]

Suggestions will be included in new draft

[ISACV-15] [VocBench tool](#) Created: 28/10/11 Updated: 06/12/11 Due: 09/11/11 Resolved: 06/12/11

Status:	Closed
Project:	ISA e-Government Core Vocabularies
Component/s:	None
Affects Version/s:	None
Fix Version/s:	None

Type:	Issue	Priority:	Major
Reporter:	HANSSENS Bart	Assignee:	GOEDERTIER Stijn
Resolution:	Fixed	Votes:	0
Labels:	ADMS		
Remaining Estimate:	0 minutes		
Time Spent:	Not Specified		
Original Estimate:	Not Specified		
Environment:	ADMS Terminology and Taxonomy		

Participant:	GOEDERTIER Stijn , HANSSENS Bart
CITnet Message:	

Description

Not sure if it is helpful, but FAO has published VocBench tool ("a web-based, multilingual, vocabulary editing and workflow tool")

<http://code.google.com/p/agrovoc-cs-workbench/wiki/VocBench>

Comments

Comment by [GOEDERTIER Stijn](#) [11/Nov/11 17:03]

Thanks for your suggestion on VocBench. Following the November 9 ADMS WG meeting, I was tasked to explore the possibilities of using of VocBench.

VocBench is a web-based tool to collaboratively edit, validate, and publish multilingual thesauri such as AgroVoc. It is freely available for reuse; there is also an online sandbox available to explore its features [2].

[1] <http://code.google.com/p/agrovoc-cs-workbench/>

[2] <http://agrovoc.mimos.my/vocbenchv1.1i/>

VocBench would be suitable to define the controlled vocabularies (e.g. domain, asset type, asset status, etc) as SKOS taxonomies. We are currently discussin this here:

- <https://webgate.ec.europa.eu/CITnet/confluence/display/ISACV/List+of+asset+types>
- <https://webgate.ec.europa.eu/CITnet/confluence/display/ISACV/ADMS+Terminology+and+Taxonomy>

In my view, however, VocBench can be particularly useful to maintain large, multilingual thesauri. For our work, it seems a heavy.

[ISACV-14] [Add ECLAS thesaurus \(European Commission Central Library\) to list of value vocabularies](#) Created: 28/10/11 Updated: 14/11/11 Due: 09/11/11 Resolved: 14/11/11

Status:	Closed
Project:	ISA e-Government Core Vocabularies
Component/s:	None
Affects Version/s:	None
Fix Version/s:	None

Type:	Issue	Priority:	Major
Reporter:	BREAME Carol	Assignee:	DEKKERS Makx
Resolution:	Fixed	Votes:	0
Labels:	ADMS		
Remaining Estimate:	0 minutes		
Time Spent:	Not Specified		
Original Estimate:	Not Specified		
Environment:	ADMS Terminology and Taxonomy		

Participant:	BREAME Carol , DEKKERS Makx
CITnet Message:	

Description

You could add ECLAS thesaurus (European Commission Central Library) to this list - we are working on an interoperability with Eurovoc who are in turn working with GEMET, AGROVOC, the CEDEFOP thesaurus and others on interoperability.

Also the EU Office of Publications CELLAR (CELLAR contains the CMR (Common metadata repository) and the CCR (Common content repository)).

Comments

Comment by [DEKKERS Makx](#) [14/Nov/11 17:44]

Suggestions will be included in new draft

[ISACV-13] [List of value vocabularies which may be useful](#) Created: 28/10/11 Updated: 14/11/11 Due: 09/11/11 Resolved: 14/11/11

Status:	Closed
Project:	ISA e-Government Core Vocabularies
Component/s:	None
Affects Version/s:	None
Fix Version/s:	None

Type:	Issue	Priority:	Major
Reporter:	ZENG Marcia lei	Assignee:	DEKKERS Makx
Resolution:	Fixed	Votes:	0
Labels:	ADMS		
Remaining Estimate:	0 minutes		
Time Spent:	Not Specified		
Original Estimate:	Not Specified		
Environment:	ADMS Terminology and Taxonomy		

Participant:	DEKKERS Makx , ZENG Marcia lei
CITnet Message:	

Description

We have a recently compiled list of value vocabularies, which may be useful for reuse:

http://www.w3.org/2005/Incubator/1ld/wiki/Vocabulary_and_Dataset

Comments

Comment by [DEKKERS Makx](#) [14/Nov/11 17:43]

Suggestions will be included in new draft

[ISACV-12] [Taxonomy that might be useful: GEMET](#) Created: 28/10/11 Updated: 14/11/11
Due: 09/11/11 Resolved: 14/11/11

Status:	Closed
Project:	ISA e-Government Core Vocabularies
Component/s:	None
Affects Version/s:	None
Fix Version/s:	None

Type:	Issue	Priority:	Major
Reporter:	HANSSENS Bart	Assignee:	DEKKERS Makx
Resolution:	Fixed	Votes:	0
Labels:	ADMS		
Remaining Estimate:	0 minutes		
Time Spent:	Not Specified		
Original Estimate:	Not Specified		
Environment:	ADMS Terminology and Taxonomy		

Participant:	DEKKERS Makx , HANSSENS Bart
CITnet Message:	

Comments

Comment by DEKKERS Makx [14/Nov/11 17:42]
Suggestions will be included in new draft
Comment by DEKKERS Makx [28/Oct/11 12:42]
To be considered as a Domain taxonomy for next Working Group draft.

[ISACV-11] [Take a look at general metadata of VOID](#) Created: 28/10/11 Updated: 14/11/11
Due: 09/11/11 Resolved: 10/11/11

Status:	Closed
Project:	ISA e-Government Core Vocabularies
Component/s:	None
Affects Version/s:	None
Fix Version/s:	None

Type:	Issue	Priority:	Major
Reporter:	ZENG Marcia lei	Assignee:	Unassigned
Resolution:	Fixed	Votes:	0
Labels:	ADMS		
Remaining Estimate:	0 minutes		
Time Spent:	Not Specified		
Original Estimate:	Not Specified		
Environment:	ADMS Modelling		

Participant:	DEKKERS Makx , ZENG Marcia lei
CITnet Message:	

Description

<https://webgate.ec.europa.eu/CITnet/confluence/display/ISACV/ADMS+Modelling?focusedCommentId=52035735#comment-52035735>

Comments

Comment by [DEKKERS Makx](#) [10/Nov/11 11:03]

VOID is included in the section on Related work.

[ISACV-10] [Datasets in multiple languages](#) Created: 28/10/11 Updated: 28/10/11 Resolved: 28/10/11

Status:	Resolved
Project:	ISA e-Government Core Vocabularies
Component/s:	None
Affects Version/s:	None
Fix Version/s:	None

Type:	Issue	Priority:	Major
Reporter:	HANSSENS Bart	Assignee:	Unassigned
Resolution:	Fixed	Votes:	0
Labels:	ADMS		
Remaining Estimate:	Not Specified		
Time Spent:	Not Specified		
Original Estimate:	Not Specified		
Environment:	ADMS Modelling		

Participant:	DEKKERS Makx , HANSSENS Bart
CITnet Message:	

Description

A question on the proposed model: in the data.gov.be website, we can have descriptions and a short titles about datasets in multiple languages. The datasets themselves can also be available in different languages.

There is no 1-on-1 relation between the languages of the metadata and the languages of the data: quite often, the dataset is only available in 1 or 2 languages, while the short descriptions may be available in 4 languages. Sometimes the opposite is also true: a dataset may be available in 4 languages or language-independent (like a topographical map), while the descriptions and short title (metadata) are only available in 1 or 2 languages.

So will it be possible to express this (or is there a better alternative) ? I assume a relation type "hasTranslation" could be created, and perhaps an optional property , or a quality level, to indicate which is the "source" / "authoritative" ?

Comments

Comment by [DEKKERS Makx](#) [28/Oct/11 12:16]

First Working Group Draft at

<https://webgate.ec.europa.eu/CITnet/confluence/display/ISACV/ADMS+Section+5+Conceptual+model> now contains adms:translation relationship to link between translated Assets, a

relationship `dcterms:language` to indicate the language of the Asset, while the language of the metadata can be expressed with a language attribute on the string. This offers the flexibility requested.



[ISACV-9] [Separation of Asset and Release](#) Created: 28/10/11 Updated: 28/10/11 Resolved: 28/10/11

Status:	Resolved
Project:	ISA e-Government Core Vocabularies
Component/s:	None
Affects Version/s:	None
Fix Version/s:	None

Type:	Issue	Priority:	Major
Reporter:	SHUKAIR Gofran	Assignee:	Unassigned
Resolution:	Fixed	Votes:	0
Labels:	ADMS		
Remaining Estimate:	Not Specified		
Time Spent:	Not Specified		
Original Estimate:	Not Specified		
Environment:	ADMS Modelling		

Participant:	DEKKERS Makx , SHUKAIR Gofran
CITnet Message:	

Description

<https://webgate.ec.europa.eu/CITnet/confluence/display/ISACV/ADMS+Modelling?focusedCommentId=51086673#comment-51086673>

Comments

Comment by [DEKKERS Makx](#) [28/Oct/11 12:09]

Decision in virtual meeting on 24 October 2011, see <https://webgate.ec.europa.eu/CITnet/confluence/download/attachments/51087163/ADMS+WG+Virtual+Meeting+2011.10.24+-+Minutes+-+v0.03.docx?version=1&modificationDate=1319715593000> agenda item 3.

[ISACV-8] [Look at Dutch Web Metadata Standard](#) Created: 28/10/11 Updated: 28/10/11
Resolved: 28/10/11

Status:	Resolved
Project:	ISA e-Government Core Vocabularies
Component/s:	None
Affects Version/s:	None
Fix Version/s:	None

Type:	Issue	Priority:	Major
Reporter:	HANSSENS Bart	Assignee:	Unassigned
Resolution:	Fixed	Votes:	0
Labels:	ADMS		
Remaining Estimate:	Not Specified		
Time Spent:	Not Specified		
Original Estimate:	Not Specified		
Environment:	ADMS Modelling		

Participant:	DEKKERS Makx , HANSSENS Bart
CITnet Message:	

Description

<https://webgate.ec.europa.eu/CITnet/confluence/display/ISACV/ADMS+Modelling?focusedCommentId=51086322#comment-51086322>

Comments

Comment by [DEKKERS Makx](#) [28/Oct/11 12:05]

OWMS would be an interoperability asset (as a metadata schema) that can be described by ADMS. I don't think it defines something on the level of ADMS, but it surely points to all kinds of controlled vocabularies, standards, guidelines etc. that would all be candidate assets for description with ADMS.

[ISACV-7] [Relationship between assets](#) Created: 28/10/11 Updated: 25/11/11 Resolved: 28/10/11

Status:	Resolved
Project:	ISA e-Government Core Vocabularies
Component/s:	None
Affects Version/s:	None
Fix Version/s:	None

Type:	Issue	Priority:	Major
Reporter:	ZENG Marcia lei	Assignee:	Unassigned
Resolution:	Fixed	Votes:	0
Labels:	ADMS		
Remaining Estimate:	Not Specified		
Time Spent:	Not Specified		
Original Estimate:	Not Specified		

Participant:	DEKKERS Makx , SKLARß Sebastian , ZENG Marcia lei
CITnet Message:	

Description

<https://webgate.ec.europa.eu/CITnet/confluence/display/ISACV/ADMS+Modelling?focusedCommentId=51086318#comment-51086318>

Comments

Comment by [SKLARß Sebastian](#) [25/Nov/11 17:57]

At least for Assets from SEMIC.EU site there is the need of more sophisticated relationships:
Are the following statements possible?

Asset-Asset relationship:

- o Contained in
- o Contains
- o Is module of
- ?

Comment by [DEKKERS Makx](#) [16/Nov/11 15:16]

Yes, the idea is that the general relation (now called Related Asset in the new version) can be used for any type of relationship between assets. In the example that I posted on the Wiki page for the Conceptual model with DCMES-related assets, I used it for the link between the spec on the DCMI site and the ISO standard.

Comment by [ZENG Marcia lei](#) [14/Nov/11 6:14]

Will the situations that are not covered by the subproperties (such as adms:translation) will be

using the top-level property dcterms:relation?

Comment by [DEKKERS Makx](#) [28/Oct/11 11:57]

Working Group Draft at

<https://webgate.ec.europa.eu/CITnet/confluence/display/ISACV/ADMS+Section+5+Conceptual+model> now contains dcterms:relation as top-level Asset-to-Asset Relation, and includes subproperties dcterms:replaces adm dcterms:isReplacedBy (for links between versions of the Asset) and adms:translation (for translations of the Asset).

[ISACV-6] [Restrict asset status to Published and Unpublished](#) Created: 28/10/11 Updated: 14/11/11 Due: 09/11/11 Resolved: 14/11/11

Status:	Resolved
Project:	ISA e-Government Core Vocabularies
Component/s:	None
Affects Version/s:	None
Fix Version/s:	None

Type:	Issue	Priority:	Major
Reporter:	SHUKAIR Gofran	Assignee:	DEKKERS Makx
Resolution:	Fixed	Votes:	0
Labels:	ADMS		
Remaining Estimate:	Not Specified		
Time Spent:	Not Specified		
Original Estimate:	Not Specified		

Participant:	DEKKERS Makx , SHUKAIR Gofran , SKLARß Sebastian
CITnet Message:	

Description

<https://webgate.ec.europa.eu/CITnet/confluence/display/ISACV/ADMS+Section+7+ADMS+taxonomies?focusedCommentId=52037148#comment-52037148>

Comments

Comment by [DEKKERS Makx](#) [14/Nov/11 17:33]

New draft will contain status list of four members:

Published, Under development, Withdrawn, Deprecated

Report of November 9 meeting, item 10

Comment by [SKLARß Sebastian](#) [09/Nov/11 11:05]

Finding a way to deal with the different asset states used in different repositories is of course a challenging task.

The proposed restriction of only two publication statuses seems too strict in my opinion. A lot of information is lost here.

Still there needs to be a profound semantic description of the meaning of that status. There should remain the possibility of saying "under development" in terms of the idea of an asset

exists but it is not yet published as a release.

Having this "under development" status will enable to see ongoing work and not only finished (published) work which also will foster reuse by avoiding reinventing the wheel.

[ISACV-5] [Purpose of adms:URI](#) Created: 27/10/11 Updated: 20/11/11 Due: 09/11/11 Resolved: 14/11/11

Status:	Closed
Project:	ISA e-Government Core Vocabularies
Component/s:	None
Affects Version/s:	None
Fix Version/s:	None

Type:	Issue	Priority:	Major
Reporter:	CRAGLIA Max	Assignee:	SHUKAIR Gofran
Resolution:	Fixed	Votes:	0
Labels:	ADMS		
Remaining Estimate:	0 minutes		
Time Spent:	Not Specified		
Original Estimate:	Not Specified		
Environment:	ADMS Conceptual model; RDF schema		

Participant:	CRAGLIA Max , DEKKERS Makx , SHUKAIR Gofran
CITnet Message:	

Description

Which is the purpose of adms:URI, and why is the asset's URI specified as a string? Shouldn't the URI be already specified by the @rdf:about attribute as a URI reference? Or rather adms:URI is an element of the ADMS XML schema?

Also:

<https://webgate.ec.europa.eu/CITnet/confluence/display/ISACV/ADMS+Section+5+Conceptual+model?focusedCommentId=53739819#comment-53739819>

What is the difference between URI and accessURL?? It looks to me as if we could use just dcat:accessURL??

Comments

Comment by [DEKKERS Makx](#) [20/Nov/11 19:40]

The fact that URI appears as a semantic element in the specification (in addition to Identifier) is that I wanted to make clear that every instance of the entities Repository, Asset and Release needs to have a URI assigned to it. The implementation of URI could of course well be as dcterms:identifier. It may well be that for RDF having the URI as dcterms:identifier is redundant (as it also appears in rdf:about) but for an XML implementation there is no other way to carry the URI. Correct?

Comment by [SHUKAIR Gofran](#) [18/Nov/11 1:36]

A URI is used to identify an instance globally . using adms:URI as property is wrong from RDF perspective. dc:identifier can be used for identifiers but these are strings optionally syntactically typed to some xsd: type or to a custom type but they are not the URI of an instance . For example an Identifier is the unique ID of an Asset within a repository, if a link to some URL is needed foaf:homepage and foaf:page can be used.

Comment by [DEKKERS Makx](#) [14/Nov/11 17:27]

Report on November 9 meeting, item 8

<https://webgate.ec.europa.eu/CITnet/confluence/download/attachments/52037106/ADMS+WG+Virtual+Meeting+2011.11.09+-+Minutes+-+v0.03.docx?version=1&modificationDate=1321025119000>

GS explains that a URI is used as an identifier, accessURL as the location on the web

[ISACV-4] [Available format could be instance of dcterms:FileFormat class](#)

Created: 27/10/11 Updated: 06/12/11 Due: 25/11/11 Resolved: 06/12/11

Status:	Closed
Project:	ISA e-Government Core Vocabularies
Component/s:	None
Affects Version/s:	None
Fix Version/s:	None

Type:	Issue	Priority:	Major
Reporter:	CRAGLIA Max	Assignee:	Unassigned
Resolution:	Fixed	Votes:	0
Labels:	ADMS		
Remaining Estimate:	0 minutes		
Time Spent:	Not Specified		
Original Estimate:	Not Specified		
Environment:	ADMS WG		

Participant:	CRAGLIA Max , DEKKERS Makx
CITnet Message:	

Description

Why are the available formats of an asset instances of dcterms:MediaTypeOrExtent instead of dcterms:MediaType or dcterms:FileFormat? Class dcterms:MediaTypeOrExtent denotes not only digital and physical media, but also spatial / temporal extent.

Comments

Comment by [DEKKERS Makx](#) [06/Dec/11 17:28]

As decided in 29 November meeting.

<https://webgate.ec.europa.eu/CITnet/confluence/display/ISACV/Virtual+Meeting+2011.11.29>

[ISACV-3] [adms:Asset as subclass of a DataSeries class](#) Created: 27/10/11 Updated: 06/12/11
Due: 25/11/11 Resolved: 06/12/11

Status:	Closed
Project:	ISA e-Government Core Vocabularies
Component/s:	None
Affects Version/s:	None
Fix Version/s:	None

Type:	Issue	Priority:	Major
Reporter:	CRAGLIA Max	Assignee:	Unassigned
Resolution:	Fixed	Votes:	0
Labels:	ADMS		
Remaining Estimate:	0 minutes		
Time Spent:	Not Specified		
Original Estimate:	Not Specified		
Environment:	ADMS WG		

Participant:	CRAGLIA Max , DEKKERS Makx
CITnet Message:	

Description

Based on how it is modelled, an asset is somehow similar to the notion of "dataset series", which is also used in INSPIRE for geospatial information. We have a set of datasets (the asset's data items) with a given "coverage" (which may be spatial, temporal, and/or concerning a given topic / domain). In such a case, adms:Asset might be modelled as a subclass of a :DatasetSeries class (an RDF container / collection?), to be defined in the ADMS ontology or elsewhere - e.g., the DCAT ontology, which has a class for datasets (dcat:Dataset), but not one for dataset series.

Comments

Comment by [DEKKERS Makx](#) [10/Nov/11 11:11]

Issue postponed until decisions on use of namespaces.

[ISACV-2] [ADMS to support searching inside an asset](#) Created: 27/10/11 Updated: 25/11/11
Due: 14/11/11 Resolved: 14/11/11

Status:	Resolved
Project:	ISA e-Government Core Vocabularies
Component/s:	None
Affects Version/s:	None
Fix Version/s:	None

Type:	Requirement	Priority:	Major
Reporter:	CRAGLIA Max	Assignee:	Unassigned
Resolution:	Fixed	Votes:	0
Labels:	ADMS		
Remaining Estimate:	Not Specified		
Time Spent:	Not Specified		
Original Estimate:	Not Specified		
Environment:	ADMS WG		

Participant:	BREAME Carol , CRAGLIA Max , DEKKERS Makx
CITnet Message:	

Description

Why, in the ADMS model, there's nothing concerning how to associate an asset with the corresponding "data items"? I wonder whether this is intentional or, rather, this is an issue the WG is going to address. Anyway, this would have some advantages - e.g., it can help searching inside an asset (by attaching a description to the data items listed in the asset) and fetching data items individually (if their URLs are available).

Comments

Comment by [BREAME Carol](#) [25/Nov/11 23:07]

For info only - in support of the extra granularity in the new model - and sorry if you've seen this already: The Report of the Stanford Linked data workshop of June-July 2011
http://www-sul.stanford.edu/about_sulair/news_and_events/Stanford_Linked_Data_Workshop_Report_FINAL.pdf p. 18-19 (British Library Data Model) seems to me to confirm the need for this level of granularity.

Comment by [DEKKERS Makx](#) [14/Nov/11 16:59]

ADMS specification will be restricted to Level 2 granularity: asset as a black box

Comment by [DEKKERS Makx](#) [10/Nov/11 10:59]

Next version of model will include indication whether there is more granularity in the asset, and an option to list main concepts inside the asset.



Generated at Thu Jan 05 11:31:19 CET 2012 by GOEDERTIER Stijn using JIRA 4.3.4#620-r152668.