



SC6DI06692

Core Public Service Vocabulary
6th ONLINE WG MEETING 2013-03-06
Meeting minutes

JOINING UP GOVERNMENTS



Core Public Service Vocabulary WG ONLINE MEETING 2013-03-06

Venue	Virtual Meeting	Meeting date	2013-03-06
Author	SK	Meeting time	16.00 – 17:30
Reviewed by	NL	Issue date	2013-03-14
Status	<i>For review</i>	Version	0.02

Attendees	Abbreviation	Organisation
Martin Alvarez	MA	ES - CTIC
Owen Ambur	OA	US - AIIM StratML Committee
John Borrás	JB	OASIS
Paul Davidson	PD	UK - Chief Information Officer Sedgemoor District Council
Muriel Foulonneau	MF	LU - Knowledge Intensive Systems and Services Henri Tudor Research Centre
Giorgia Lodi	GL	IT - Interoperability Service Unit at Agency for Digital Italy
Antonio Maccioni	AM	IT - Interoperability Service Unit at Agency for Digital Italy
Ricardo Marques	RM	N/A
Fergal Marrinan	FM	IE - Consultant at European Business Registry
Thodoris Papadopoulos	TP	GR - Informatics Development Agency Ministry of Administrative Reform and eGovernance
Nikolaos Loutas	NL	PwC EU Services
Saky Kourtidis	SK	PwC EU Services
Phil Archer	PA	W3C
Makx Dekkers	MD	AMI Consult

AGENDA

1. Welcome *by Thodoris Papadopoulos*
2. Acceptance of the [previous meeting minutes](#) *by Thodoris Papadopoulos*
3. [Test experience](#) *by Nikolaos Loutas (Pilots)*
4. Presentation of the [Changes made to spec v0.07](#) *by Phil Archer*
5. Discussion on issues raised during the public review period:
 - a. [use of foaf:homepage](#)
 - b. [Authority cf. Formal Framework](#)
 - c. [Replace cpsv:physicallyAvailableAt with gr:availableAtOrFrom](#)
6. Next steps *by Nikos Loutas and Thodoris Papadopoulos*

The [agenda](#) of the online meeting is available on Joinup.

1. Welcome

Discussion

- TP welcomed everyone to the sixth online meeting of the Core Public Service Vocabulary Working Group (WG). He thanked everyone for their contribution to the WG.
- TP explained that the objective of this online meeting is mainly to discuss and agree on how to handle the issues raised during the public review.

Documentation

- [List of WG members](#)

2. Acceptance of the previous minutes

Discussion

- TP invited all WG members to give comments on the [meeting minutes of the previous online meeting](#). No comments were raised. The meeting minutes are therefore considered as accepted.

Documentation

- [Core Public Service Vocabulary Virtual meeting minutes 2013-02-06](#)
 - [Public mail archive](#)
 - [Issue tracker](#)
-

3. Test experience (Core Public Service Pilot: linking public service descriptions)

Discussion

- NL briefly presented the example of the Core Public Service Pilot: linking public service descriptions. This pilot can be used as example for any participants who would like to contribute descriptions of public services.
- The [spreadsheet](#) (made publicly available) can be used as a template to create the description metadata, from which we will be able to generate RDF data using [Refine RDF](#). By using the template, the process is quite straightforward. It requires 1 hour describing a public service.
- The description of the pilot is available on Joinup at: https://joinup.ec.europa.eu/asset/core_public_service/document/core-public-service-pilot-linking-public-service-descriptions
- The pilot can be accessed at: <http://cpsv.testproject.eu/CPSV>

Documentation

- [Core Public Service Pilot: linking public service descriptions](#)

4. Presentation of the [Changes made to spec v0.07](#) by *Phil Archer*

Discussion

- PA briefly presented the current [version of the Core Public Service specification document](#). PA invited WG members to comment on it.
- PA commented on the confusion with regards to 'rule'. He explained that in his view a rule would be a document or web page and that they include the list of the rules by which the service is operated.
- NL said that eligibility rules is a type of rules worth investigating and is also quite related to the type of Agent that is entitled to the service.
- Since the CPSV perceives the service process as a black-box, the WG decided to that the `Rule` class would represent a document that sets out the specific rules, guidelines or procedures that the Public Service follows. It includes the terms of service, licence, and authentication requirements of the service.

Documentation

- [Core Public Service Vocabulary specification](#)

5. Discussion on issues raised during the public review period

Discussion

PA introduced the issues that have been raised during the public review period.

- **Issue 1:** [use of foaf:homepage](#)
- **Issue 2:** [Authority cf. Formal Framework](#)
- **Issue 3:** [Replace cpsv:physicallyAvailableAt with gr:availableAtOrFrom](#)

Issue 1: [use of foaf:homepage](#)

- PA asked whether the use of *foaf:homepage* should be made more generic, for example by using *foaf:page* instead *foaf:homepage* (and have *oaf:homepage* as a sub-property of *foaf:page*).
- PD commented and said that having a property 'channel' would be more useful than what currently exists in the conceptual model.
- NL said that the status of *foaf:page* is still in testing. NL said that any URLs could fit under homepage.
- The WG participants agreed on the following:
 - Introduce class Channel and *cpsv:hasChannel* as an object property.
 - Link to Class of *cpsv:Channel*
 - Define *foaf:homepage* as a sub-property of *cpsv:hasChannel*

Issue 2: [Authority cf. Formal Framework](#)

- PA explained that in the context of CPSV, *cpsv:FormalFramework* is linked to legislation.
- OA explained that the term Framework is too nebulous. There is a difference between the interpretation of rules modelled in the CPSV and that of StratML.
- OA also said that in StratML, 'Authority' could include any sort of authority under which a goal is being pursuit.
- PA suggested including a reflexive attribute (*dcterms:related*) from a FormalFramework to another to express relations between different frameworks.
- OA agreed.
- It has been agreed to leave the conceptual model as it is with regards to FormalFramework. However, *dcterms:related* will be added to show that one FormalFramework instance can be related to another.
- The WG agreed that a detailed model of legislation, powers and duties etc, is out of scope of the CPSV.

Issue 3: [Replace cpsv:physicallyAvailableAt with gr:availableAtOrFrom](#)

- PA explained briefly introduced the GoodRelations Ontology. It is used by many e-Commerce sites and search engines to describe products.
- In this ontology, Location is defined as a point of area where services / products are

available (*gr:availableAtOrFrom*). The idea would be use this property instead of physical location (*physicallyAvailableAt*) currently used in the CPSV.

- NL said that *dcterms:location* is better established and would rather keep it.
- MD and TP agreed.
- It has been agreed to keep using *dcterms:location* instead of *gr:availableAtOrFrom*.

6. Next steps

Discussion

- PA explained that he will update the CPSV specification document to reflect the decisions of the WG. The document will be ready end of next week.
- NL said that the next version of the document should be the final draft. The WG members can comment on the next version using the mailing list. No next online meeting is planned to happen at this stage.
- However, should additional issues be raised on the final draft, a call could be scheduled to resolve them.
- NL and TP thanked all WG members for their participations in the CPSV.
- SK will summarize the notes and action points discussed during this call and publish them for review on Joinup by the end of next week. WG members are invited to review the minutes by 2013-03-15.

Decision

- To change the conceptual model as follows:
 - Introduce *cpsv:Channel*.
 - Create a property of *cpsv:hasChannel* with a range of *cpsv:Channel*.
 - Define *foaf:homepage* as a sub property of *cpsv:hasChannel*.
 - Mint *cpsv:physicallyLocatedAt* and make that also a sub property of *cpsv:hasChannel*.
- To leave the conceptual model as it is with regards to *FormalFramework*. However, *dcterms:related* will be added to show that one *FormalFramework* instance can be related to another.
- To use *dcterms:location* instead of using *gr:availableAtOrFrom*.

Action	Task Owner	Date
SK to write meeting minutes and publish them on Joinup	SK	2013-03-13
PA to close all issues (they have resolved)	PA	2013-03-13
All to review the meeting minutes.	All	2013-02-13
SK to circulate final version of the meeting minutes.	SK	2013-03-13
PA to update the model and the draft and send out the specification	PA	2013-03-13