



European
Commission

Core Criterion & Core Evidence Vocabulary

Working Group Meeting 6

28 November 2016

Project Officers:
Vassilios Peristeras
Athanasios Karalopoulos

Agenda

- Tour de table
- Minutes from last meeting (*Oriol*)
- Public review issues (*Oriol*)
- Next steps (*Oriol*)

Introduction to new participants



The list of **people that participated** in the WG meeting is available [here](#)

Approval of the minutes

Minutes from last meeting are available here:
https://joinup.ec.europa.eu/sites/default/files/isa_field_path/ccev_working_group_meeting_minutes_meeting_5_v0.02.pdf

Public Review – Comments from DK

1. The standard could be improved by specifying the RDF elements in the vocabulary of the standard. It is not sufficient to reference the used RDF vocabulary as a whole. It is necessary to reference the specific RDF class and the specific RDF characteristics. We are going to provide an RDF version of the vocabulary.

Resolution: We will provide the RDF elements for the vocabulary

Public Review – Comments from DK

2. Improve the clarity of the descriptions of the different use cases in the document. The use cases have as a goal to give specific descriptions of the needs that the vocabulary will be providing solutions for. However, the use cases seem to be cases which are focused on describing how the needs are fulfilled using the vocabulary. The general use case descriptions for CCCEV should focus on stating the needs only. Describing the fulfilment of the needs should be separated from the description of the needs.

Resolution: The needs are summarised in section 4, so there is no need to change the specification.

Public Review - Changes in ESPD DM

3. Add into the Criterion class required elements to allow for automatic evaluation of criteria.
 - EvaluationTypeCode: a code specifying whether the criterion is of type fail/pass, weight in, or any other.
 - EvaluationWeightTypeCode: a code representing possibly a percentage, a formula returning a weight or whatever other solution specifying a relative weight the CA may come up with when evaluating this criterion.
 - EvaluationInformation: a text field to describe any particular circumstance around the weighting of the criterion or a specific aspect of the application of the general weighting methodology to this particular criterion.

Resolution: The ESPD model is still not finalised so it is not yet stable. The changes in the model cater for a whole new functionality that has never been analysed within our group and it would require changes in many parts of the standard, from the requirements down to the examples. It is suggested to postpone the adoption of these new fields for a future release of the CCCEV.

Next steps

- Agree on the resolutions
- New Release of the CCCEV if needed
- CCCEV Publication



ISA² programme

You click, we link

<http://ec.europa.eu/isa>
ISA@ec.europa.eu
@EU_ISA2

Unit B6, Directorate-General for
Informatics,
European Commission, Brussels



Project Officers

Vassilios.Peristeras@ec.europa.eu

Athanasios.Karalopoulos@ec.europa.eu

Promoting semantic interoperability in Europe

Visit our initiatives

ADMS ASSET DESCRIPTION METADATA SCHEMA	StatDCAT-AP FOR STATISTICAL DATASETS	GeoDCAT-AP FOR GEOSPATIAL DATASETS	DCAT-AP FOR DATA PORTALS IN EUROPE	CORE PUBLIC ORGANISATION VOCABULARY
CORE PERSON VOCABULARY	CORE BUSINESS VOCABULARY	CORE CRITERION & EVIDENCE VOCABULARY	CORE LOCATION VOCABULARY	CORE PUBLIC SERVICE VOCABULARY

Get involved



Follow [@SEMICeu](#) on Twitter



Join the [SEMIC](#) group on LinkedIn



Join the [SEMIC](#) community on Joinup