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AGENDA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ID</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.</td>
<td>Roll call</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.</td>
<td>Minutes from the last WG meeting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.</td>
<td>Status of the Specification</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.</td>
<td>Revision of Open Issues</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.</td>
<td>Next steps</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1. **Roll call**

OB welcomed everyone and presented the agenda for the meeting. A summary of the participants of the previous working group meetings is available on Joinup.
2. MINUTES FROM LAST MEETING

OB informed the participants that the minutes from the previous meeting are available on Joinup, and inquired whether there are any comments.

3. STATUS OF THE SPECIFICATION

The presentation is available here.

OB presented an example of CCCEV based on propositional calculus:

"Criterion: Entitlement to enter"

- Propositions (Criterion Requirements)
  - P = Hold a ticket
  - Q = Be over 18
  - R = Be member of the press

- Sentences (Requirement Groups)
  - P and Q
  - Q and R

In this case the criterion is either (P and Q) or (Q and R)

GS shared with OB extra requirements regarding “Agent“:

- An “Agent” in order to be eligible must fulfil a set of criteria as a whole and he can show evidences to support the assertion of his eligibility.

- The instances of the evidences that he will submit must refer to himself, so we need a property of the evidence that states the owner of the evidence or the subject of the evidence.

- Another property is the predicate “fulfils” that has an agent as domain and a criterion as range. An “Agent” fulfils a criterion or a set of criteria as a whole and the set of evidences that he can show must refer to him as a subject

Based on the proposal from GS, OB presented the updated third draft of the data model:
The participants agreed on the proposed model.

ES mentioned that he agrees, but he cannot speak on behalf of Marc Christopher Schmidt.

GS mentioned that “Agent” represents individuals, and the evidences submitted by them are the authentication means.

MW mentioned that the “Agent” class should also include organisations.

NL suggested including “Agent” which could be a reference to an organisation or a natural person.

NL mentioned that for keeping the model simple, we should add a reference to the existing Core Vocabularies that describe persons and organisations (Core Person Vocabulary and Core Public Organisation vocabulary).

ES inquired whether it is planned to develop a reference implementation of the CCCEV (e.g. in RDF).

NL mentioned that in September, and after finalising the Core Vocabulary, a reference implementation in RDF will be developed.
MW mentioned that any updated regarding CCCEV will be implemented in E-SENS for remaining aligned with the Core Vocabularies.

4. NEXT STEPS

- Final Draft CCCEV end of June 2016
- Publish for Public Review end of June 2016
- Public review during June and July 2016

ACTION POINTS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ID</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Owner</th>
<th>Due date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.</td>
<td>To finalise the specification by incorporating the &quot;Agent&quot; class.</td>
<td>OB</td>
<td>15/06/2016</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CHAT LOG

The chat history has been cleared

Giovanni Paolo Sellitto: Hi all

Athanasios KARALOPOULOS: Hello everyone!

Oriol Bausà: hello

Maria Wimmer (UKL): Hello everyone

Maria Wimmer (UKL): fine with me.

Ansgar Mondorf: ok

Athanasios KARALOPOULOS: Sure

Jerry Dimitriou (e-SENS): I'm calling now

Nikos Loutas, PwC: Hi everyone

Giovanni Paolo Sellitto: yes I am here

Ansgar Mondorf: ok

Athanasios KARALOPOULOS: also find here the list of all the participants: https://joinup.ec.europa.eu/sites/default/files/isa_field_path/cccev_working_group_members_1.pdf

Athanasios KARALOPOULOS: We will update the list with any new participants

Athanasios KARALOPOULOS: You can find the meeting minutes here: https://joinup.ec.europa.eu/asset/criterion_evidence_cv/event/cccev-wg-virtual-meeting-may-20-2016

Enric Staromiejski (GROW): for me this is a good approach

Nikos Loutas, PwC: +1 Oriol
Giovanni Paolo Sellitto: +1

Enric Staromiejski (GROW): +1

Ansgar Mondorf: +1

Enric Staromiejski (GROW): I cannot speak on behalf of MC

Nikos Loutas, PwC: good point, @Oriol, is what we discussed via email just before the call

Nikos Loutas, PwC: we can show it in the diagram too if required

Enric Staromiejski (GROW) 2: @Ansgar +1

Giovanni Paolo Sellitto: "Subject" could be an alternative

Enric Staromiejski (GROW): @Maria+1

Enric Staromiejski (GROW): OK, I'll forward this to MC

Giovanni Paolo Sellitto: Probably an RDF implementation would align this one with other core vocabularies

Enric Staromiejski (GROW): Fine!

Enric Staromiejski (GROW): see u around soon

Nikos Loutas, PwC: thank you very much everyone

Giovanni Paolo Sellitto: see you

Enric Staromiejski (GROW): good job, Oriol and the rest

Giovanni Paolo Sellitto: thank you for your time