

WP2

F****SSA

Free and Open Source Software Auditing



Index

1. List of requirements for code reviews

2. List of Tools and Methods for Communicating Results of Code Reviews

3. Design of the Method for Performing the Code Reviews for the European Institutions

4. Feasibility Study



List of requirements for code reviews





List of requirements for code reviews

Tools selected for code review depending on the language:





VCG <u>https://github.com/nccgroup/VCG</u>

 Yasca http://www.scovetta.com/yasca.html



FlawFinder
<u>http://www.dwheeler.com/flawfinder/</u>

RATS

https://code.google.com/archive/p/ro ugh-auditing-tool-for-security/



• RIPS <u>http://rips-scanner.sourceforge.net/</u>

Yasca

http://www.scovetta.com/yasca.html



List of Tools and Methods for Communicating the Results of Code Reviews

Industry Standards

- CVE (Common Vulnerabilities and Exposures)
- CWE (Common Weakness Enumeration)
- CVSS (Common Vulnerability Scoring System)
- CVRF (Common Vulnerability Reporting Framework)



Proposed Tools







http://jsreport.net/



Design of the Method for Performing the Code Reviews for the European Institutions (1/4)

The methodology is divided into 4 phases:





Design of the Method for Performing the Code Reviews for the European Institutions (2/4)

The code review controls are grouped by their nature:

General controls

- 💾 🛛 Data/Input Management
- Authentication Controls
- Session Management
- Authorization Management
- Cryptography
- **Error Handling/Information Leakage**
- **Software Communications**
- Logging/Auditing
- 😬 Secure Code Design

Optimised controls

- **Concurrency**
- Denial of Service
- Memory/Resource management
- Code structure
- 🗹 Role/privilege matrix
- Specific Language Controls



Design of the Method for Performing the Code Reviews for the European Institutions (3/4)

Three different types of code review

Ν	lanaged mode				
•	Automated review	Defined mode			
•	All controls included	 Manual review Automated results validation Deep-level review of 	Optimised mode		
•	Additional weaknesses and bugs found		Manual reviewIn-depth analysis		
		potential weak spots	 Language controls Review features that are context-dependant 		



Design of the Method for Performing the Code Reviews for the European Institutions (4/4)

Three distribution groups have been defined:

Internal



Only accessible to **European Institutions**



European Institutions and **external organisations**, but not the general public

Public



Anyone can see the report



Feasibility study

The code review project needs to fulfil several requirements to be successful:





Thank you!

Free and Open Source Software Auditing