

### Style Guide for semantic data specifications



1 9 April 2023

### Ground rules for the webinar





#### **Audio Connection**

Click "Connect audio" to hear the presenters but please mute your mic when you are not speaking.



#### **Q&A Sessions**

Use the function of raising your hand. We will enable your audio for you to address the speakers directly. You can also use the WebEx chat (optionally).



Technical difficulties?

If you have technical issues with WebEx, please submit your questions in writing to the HOST (privately) by using the WebEx chat.



### Meeting flow

### Presentations of the meeting will be followed by Q&A sessions





Presentation

**Q&A** session



### How to raise your hand?

1. Click 'Participants' and then click 'Raise hand' next to your name.



2. You can also raise and lower your hand from the Reactions menu.











## SEMIC and introduction to the webinar



### Introduction to SEMIC

The objectives of the SEMIC action is to promote Semantic Interoperability amongst the EU Member States by:

Promotion, sharing and reuse of semantic assets, experience and tools and facilitating agreements in key areas.

Identifying opportunities for alignment on semantic definitions, metadata and reference data sources with special focus on identification and definitions of Core Concepts / Vocabularies.

Raising awareness on the importance of data and metadata management.



### **Current SEMIC assets**

### CORE PERSON VOCABULARY

A person's name(s), date and place of birth/death, identifier, addresses, citizenship, etc.

### **Vocabularies**

#### CORE business vocabulary

The legal name, address, identifier, company type, and activities of a legal entity.

### CORE LOCATION VOCABULARY

The different ways of describing a location, e.g. via an address, a geographic name, or a geometry, in alignment with INSPIRE.



The administrative information, hierarchy, identifiers, events and classification of a public organisation.



The requirements and evidence of a procedure or formal process.

### **Application Profiles**

CORE PUBLIC SERVICE VOCABULARY Application Profile

DCAT-AP FOR DATA PORTALS IN EUROPE GeoDCAT-AP FOR GEOSPATIAL DATASETS StatDCAT-AP FOR STATISTICAL DATASETS ADMS ASSET DESCRIPTION METADATA SCHEMA

### Objective of this webinar

- Presenting the finalised Style Guide after the public review period
- Looking ahead on next steps

https://semiceu.github.io/style-guide/public-review/index.html



## Road to this Style Guide



+ Growing experience through practice and piloting with(in) the SEMIC community

Webinars on SEMIC **Style Guide** and toolkit



# Motivation / Context

**Share** the existing experience and current practices in a document to

- Improve the **quality** of the SEMIC assets
- Improve the **coherency** of the SEMIC assets
- Streamline and support extension of Core Vocabularies and Application Profiles by MS
- And, address the challenges of a growing need for data specifications through the data spaces initiative







# Poll I

- 1. Have you read the Style Guide?
- 2. Have you shared the Style Guide?
- 3. Have you performed an assessment on your own semantic data specifications?

https://app.sli.do/event/2W6j85pFqMR7PJTFddm VQL









### E Have you read the Style Guide? Yes 79% & No 21%







# E Have you shared the Style Guide? No Yes 48%











# Motivation of the Style Guide





### Audience Style Guide





# Audience (summary)

Mainly meant for the **editors** of the data specifications (e.g. semantic engineers, data architects, knowledge modelling specialists).

The aim is to ensure the creation of **coherent** data specifications that can be read and used by *domain experts*, *developers* and *machines*.

Scoped to what is needed within SEMIC.



### Semantic asset types

### Semantic data specification

- Core Vocabulary
- Application Profile





# Artefacts

- Persistent URIs
- RDF representation
- OWL representation
- SHACL representation
- HTML representation
- Pictures/Diagrams
- UML representation
- JSON-LD representation
- XML representation

Format

```
+
Use with a purpose
```

+

Addressing one concern



### Single Source of Truth for the Editor



- We have the conceptual model as a SSoT to derive the artefacts
- UML becomes the representation language for the conceptual model



## **Reuse motivations**

### As-is

In the specification the term is used in the way as it is being defined in the source specification

### With terminological changes

In the specification the term is used in a slightly other wording but with the semantics as defined in the source specification

• Typical case: domain specific words, preferred synonyms

### With semantical adaptations

 The term strongly overlaps with the semantics in the source specification, but its usage is independent of that source term, or is narrower.



### Organisation of the rules

- General conventions (7) (+2)
- Conceptual model conventions (18) (+3)
- Semantic conventions (5)
- Data shape conventions (5)
- Methodology conventions (3)
- Publication conventions (6)



### Organisation/formulation of the rules

### **Conciseness of the document**

Avoid repetition: that is why more rules are in the conceptual model conventions

# Balance between precise scope, strong guidance and leaving room for acceptable variations

- Coherent experience / way of working
- By promoting reuse, choices of others get imported:
  - E.g. opaque URIs versus human readable URIs
  - American English vs British English (dcat:Catalog vs Catalogue)

### Provision of a minimal set of aspects to be considered



# Tour of the Style Guide



# Changes based on the public review



# Feedback gathered

### Channels

- Bilateral meetings
- Webinar & Mural
- GitHub issues
- External reports

### Structured as

- General comments
- Architecture
- Reuse
- Specific feedback





## In & out of scope

### **Categorised the feedback:**

- In scope
- Out of scope for the Style Guide
- Out of scope for now but possible to be done in the future



### In scope

- Metadata & governance of the document
- Review of the architecture
- Review of the rules
- Review of the terminology
- Review of the reuse section





### Out of scope

- Handbook for using Core Vocabularies (2015) will receive an update based on the lessons learned of the Style Guide
- Actions related to the tooling
  - Tutorial
  - Validator
  - Support on XML interoperability
  - Mapping artefacts
  - •



## Possibly to be done in the future

### **Possible forms:**

...

- bloglike articles,
- expansion to the current Style Guide,

### Topics

- Governance, monitoring and evolution of specifications
- Alternatives to the UML conceptual model
- Mapping & alignments (to be published by SEMIC team in coming weeks)
- Technical interoperability
- Anti-patterns and bad practices (i.e. why you should never treat XML as RDF)
- Do's and don'ts
- Dereferencing schemes / Recipes
- Authoritative standards
- Multilingualism support



## Major types of changes

- Added metadata & governance
- Extended and rephrased:
  - Terminological clarifications
  - Architectural clarifications (e.g. added contexts for different roles, deontic modals etc.)
  - Reuse compliance
- Editorial fixes
  - Missing titles, rewording of rules, styling and lay-out issues, broken links, ...



### Changes to Architecture

- Added explanation of the need for a conceptual model as different form plain diagrams (also in the Terminology)
- Added clear inventory of what is used from the UML
- Changed to only use OWL 2 instead of both OWL and OWL 2



# Changes to Reuse

- Clarified reuse of URIs and definitions
- Clarified subtyping of Classes
  - emphasised more specific definitions in application profiles being allowed.
- Clarified 'domain' and 'range' constructs



# Changes to Rules

- Rephrased the rules
- Changed the rules on enumerations
- Expanded and clarified on stereotype usage
- Clarifications on Datatypes & Classes
- Clarification on 'lightweight ontology'





## Changelog

<u>https://github.com/SEMICeu/style-guide/blob/main/CHANGELOG.md</u>





# Assessment of specifications





### Self-assessment

Started doing the assessment on SEMIC semantic data specifications ourselves starting with the Core Location Vocabulary:

Total rules	Rules applicable	Total alignment	Percentage of alignment
44	42	39	92.86%
Metric	Definition		
Aligned	The asset follows the guideline.		
Unaligned	The asset does NOT follow the guideline		
Partially aligned	The asset is only partially following the guideline.		
To be revised	The asset will be aligned to this guideline.		
Not applicable	This guideline cannot be applied for a valid reason		





### Self-assessment

Started doing the assessment on SEMIC semantic data specifications ourselves starting with the Core Location Vocabulary:

Total rules	Rules applicable	Total alignment	Percentage of alignment
44	42	39	92.86%

### Outcome

- Lexicalisation (needs attention)
- Awareness of reuse type (needs improvement)
  - Versioning (needs clear version governance)





### Self-assessment

Started doing the assessment on SEMIC semantic data specifications ourselves starting with the Core Location Vocabulary:

Total rules	Rules applicable	Total alignment	Percentage of alignment
44	42	39	92.86%

Template & example available on the <u>GitHub</u> <u>Repository</u>



# Plenary discussion



# What's next?





### Governance

- Should be a living document
- To be revised on a biannual basis
- Future revisions based on needs raised via <u>GitHub</u>.
  - Will address the new issues as much as possible but the intention is to release a first stable version.



## Adoption

### **Blog posts**

Phil Barker

### **Adoption/Reuse**

- Apply all rules on the SEMIC assets in the coming year
  - Adoption of the style guide in the assets
  - Adoption of the style guide in the tooling
- Already aligned tools
  - model2owl (Publications Office), OSLO toolchain (Flanders)
- Assisting others in their own assessments



## Conclusion

- Style Guide is
  - the result of a journey of the past 15 years
  - built on experience, lessons learned, best practices, challenges and guidelines
  - addresses the need for coherency across all SEMIC data specifications

many thanks to everyone that contributed to SEMIC

• Release v1.0.0 – 28 April 2023







# Poll II

- 1. Do you plan on revising your specifications based on the style guide?
- 2. Are you planning on adapting the style guide based on your own (national/regional/organisational) needs?
- 3. Which topics would you like to read blog articles about?

https://app.sli.do/event/ivRenPaMCjw25x4893vG GL









### Do you plan on revising your specifications based on the style guide 11 응 ∷⊟ Yes **55%** a Potentially 45% No 0%







E Are you planning on adapting the style guide based to your own (national/regional/organisational) needs?	14 옩
Yes	64% 8
Potentially in the future 36%	
No 0%	



$\Xi$ Which topics would you like to read blog articles about?			14 은
Governance, monitoring and evolution of specifications			F70/
Controlled vocabularies management			57% 8
Mapping & alignments		50%	
Authoritative standards	_	50%	
Bad practices/ do's & don'ts	) 43%		
Technical interoperability 36%			
Recipes 29%			
Dereferencing schemes 21%			
Domain/range 14%			
Multilingualism support 14%			

# slido





# Part of the activity around building semantic data specifications







# Thank you



### inter erable europe

community innovation ∞ govtech ∞

> Stay in touch



<u>(@InteroperableEU) / Twitter</u>

Interoperable Europe - YouTube

Interoperable Europe | LinkedIn



DIGIT-INTEROPERABILITY@ec.europa.eu

