Minutes of Webinar

Core Public Event Vocabulary

Meeting Title:	3 rd working group meeting	Meeting Date/Time:	20/04/2018 11:00 – 12:30
Meeting Type:	Webinar	Meeting Location:	Conference call
Meeting Coordinator:	Brecht Wyns	Issue Date:	23/04/2018

Meeting Agenda

- 1. Goal of this webinar
- 2. Presentation of the model
- 3. Example usage
- 4. Next steps

Attendees

Name	Abbreviation	Organisation
Pavlina Fragkou	PF	Greek Ministry of Finance in the department of Interoperability
Peter Winstanley	PW	Scottish Government
Francesca Gleria	FG	Province of Trento, Italy
Carlos Martínez de la		
Casa García	CM	LocaliData, Spain
Hugo Lafuente	HL	LocaliData, Spain
Despina Plevria	DP	Member of the Consultation Committee of the Region of Attica, Greece
Giampaolo Sellitto	GS	Autorita Nazionale Anticorruzione (Italy)
Giorgia Lodi	GL	agID, Italy
Fidel Santiago	FS	ISA ² Programme, European Commission
Marco Combetto	М	Province of Trento, Italy
Vicky Margariti	VM	
Victoria Kalogirou	VK	
Davide Taibi	DT	CNR Palermo
Makx Dekkers	MD	AMI Consult
Emidio Stani	ES	PwC EU Services
Frederik Van Eeghem	FV	PwC EU Services
Brecht Wyns	BW	PwC EU Services
Christophe Parrein	СР	PwC

Discussion points of the model

- All text fields, such as title, description, accessibility, should allow multilingualism.
- For the accessibility, one could start from http://schema.org/accessibilitySummary
- Creative works, such as leaflets, images, posters, are assumed to be retrieved via the url of the event. Still, this is a core model, so if there is a specific need for a dedicated property for certain events, the model can be extended.
- To make sure that the use case for microdata still optimally holds, we could subclass the PublicEvent class from schema:event.
- There are a lot of dependencies on schema.org, but there is no involvement in the management of the vocabulary. What happens if schema.org goes another way?
 - o Indeed strong reliance on schema.org, which comes from the use cases in earlier webinars.
 - o Google has every intention to keep this alive.
 - o An option is to define everything in Core Vocabularies, to guarantee stability.
 - There is no huge risk to go with schema.org for now, we can always change later. It's good for the use cases and for promotional visibility.
 - This is a fundamental discussion between re-use and stability. This discussion can be held further on https://github.com/SEMICeu/Core-Public-Event-Vocabulary/issues/11
- There was a similar remark for location, we could use "Location" from the EC Core Vocabulary instead of schema:Place. This can be added to the discussion from the previous bullet.
- It could be problematic to have too tight a specificity on things like dates, for instance if you want to set up a meeting with only a rough idea (e.g., just the day and not the actual hour).
 - Almost all models assume a specific date
 - o If it is just a rough idea, we better put it in another field. Question is which field...
 - We could remove the date cardinalities to allow events without an exact timing. Which can then still be added later.
 - Maybe for dates we could use the interval URI approach:
 http://reference.data.gov.uk/id/quarter/2006-Q1 [see
 https://www.epimorphics.com/using-interval-set-uris-in-statistical-data/]
 - The discussion can be continued on https://github.com/SEMICeu/Core-Public-Event-Vocabulary/issues/12